

Study on Determinants of Contraceptive Use: A Community Based Study in Eligible Couples

Bhaskar Prasad Singh¹, Amrendra Narayan Choudhary², Kamran Fazal³

¹Tutor, Department of Community Medicine, JLNMC, Bhagalpur

²Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, JLNMC, Bhagalpur

³Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, JLNMC, Bhagalpur

Received: 11-02-2024 / Revised: 09-03-2024 / Accepted: 08-04-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Bhaskar Prasad Singh

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Background: family planning methods are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors such as age at marriage, education, economic status, religion, number of living children, knowledge about contraceptives, availability, accessibility and quality of services. In spite of many programmes, couples are not aware of contraceptive methods even though they wish to follow small family norm and still there is a gap between knowledge, attitude and practice. In a developing country like India, despite widely available contraception methods, still there is poor acceptance due to ignorance or fear of complications using them.

Methodology: The present cross sectional study was conducted at PHC, field practice area of Department of community medicine, Jawahar Lal Nehru medical college and Hospital Bhagalpur. Study duration of two years. According to DLHS-3 prevalence of contraceptive acceptance in Bihar state was 63.2%. Sample size is calculated by taking prevalence rate of contraceptive use (63.2%) at 5% significance level and 10% allowable error. There are 28 anganawadi centers in our study area. A list of eligible couples was obtained from each anganawadi and 10 eligible couples was selected randomly by Stratified Random Sampling.

Results: The total prevalence of contraceptive use in the study area was 58.6%. It was found that practice of family planning methods was high among Hindu (87.2%) compared to Muslims (12.8%). It has been found that 40% of study population was from nuclear family. Forty six percent of the acceptors of contraceptives were held from nuclear family as compared to 32% from joint family.

Conclusion: The Female literacy rate is exceptionally higher than national average. Early marriage is a prominent feature in the study area. Different factors namely age at marriage, type of family, number of living children, literacy status of female partner, and socioeconomic status significantly affect contraceptive behaviour of the study population.

Keywords: Eligible Couple, Contraception, Sterilization.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Family planning is defined as the ability for individuals and couples to attain their desired number of children and plan the spacing and timing of their births through use of contraceptive methods¹. The benefits of family planning have become increasingly recognized worldwide, including improved health, economic, and social outcomes for women and families, as well as public health, economic, and environmental benefits at the population-level. At the individual-level, the health benefits for women and infants include the prevention of pregnancy-related health risks and deaths in women, reductions in infant mortality and the rate of unsafe abortions, the prevention of the transmission of HIV/AIDS from mother-to-child (PMTCT), and prevention of sexual transmission of HIV and sexually transmitted infections (STI) between partners [1]. Family planning also has significant economic

benefits for families and for society as a whole [2]. By slowing the growth of a population, women have more earning potential and families are able to devote more resources to each child, resulting in reductions of poverty. Despite the known benefits of family planning, globally more than 120 million women aged 15 to 49 who are married or in a union have an unmet need for family planning [3,4]. An unmet need for family planning refers to women capable of reproducing who are not using contraception, but wish to postpone their next birth or to stop childbearing all together [5]. Since 1952, the National Family Planning Program has been implemented in India to stabilize the birth rate, as the rapid growth of population affects the economic status of the country. Reducing fertility holds a high priority in national public health objective of India. In today's scenario, fertility control is the most

important way to check the highly growing population. According to NFHS 3, the contraceptive acceptance rate in India is 56%, of which only about 10% are using spacing methods. [6,7] The most popular method of contraception used is sterilization (67%). Among those using sterilization 99% are women. Although the couple protection rate has increased from 22.8% in 1981 to 56%, the impact in reduction of birth rate has not been as significant as most of the couples who accepted sterilization had 3 or more children. Therefore to bring down the birth rate significantly special attention is being given to younger couples with two or less children through the promotion of spacing methods. Acceptance of family planning methods are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors such as age at marriage, education, economic status, religion, number of living children, knowledge about contraceptives, availability, accessibility and quality of services. In many developing countries males often dominate when important decisions are taken in the family such as on reproduction, family size and contraceptive use. [8] All the above mentioned facts favor the interviewing of both husbands and wives when ascertaining family planning attitudes. Post-partum family planning services offer a unique opportunity to address both the high unmet need for family planning and birth spacing services. Women are most likely to access health services during the peripartum period. Both maternal and child health visits provide an important chance for contraceptive counseling and provision. [9] Educating the women about family planning methods during antenatal visits is useful to make decision so that they start contraceptive method immediately following delivery. In countries such as India, health services still remain underutilized because of limited knowledge and accessibility.

Objectives

To study socio-demographic factors related to contraceptive use, To assess the types of family planning methods practiced by eligible couples.

Material and Methods

A cross sectional study was carried out in Primary Health Centre Bhagalpur the rural field practice area of Department of Community Medicine, Jawahar Lal Nehru medical college and Hospital Bhagalpur, Bihar. This study was conducted for a period of two

year. The study population included eligible couples residing in rural field practice area of JLN MCH Bhagalpur. Couples with wives aged between 15 and 49 years who were in need of family planning services are referred to as eligible couples. There are 28 anganawadi centers in the study area. A list of eligible couples was obtained from each anganawadi centre. The inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria

Couples with wives age between 15 and 49 years who were in need of family planning services.

Exclusion Criteria

Those who were not willing to participate in the study.

The sample size for each anganawadi strata worked out to be 8. It was decided to add 20% for the current sample size and decided to take 10 eligible couples per Anganawadi and made the sample size to 280. There are 28 anganawadi centers in the study area. A list of eligible couples was obtained from each anganawadi and 10 eligible couples were randomly selected.

Stage – 1: list of eligible couples in 28 anganawadi centers made in 28 strata

Stage – 2: In each strata, 10 study subjects were selected by using simple random sampling method using random.

The Questionnaire was presented in the Department for critical review of the content, following which necessary changes were made in the Questionnaire. Data was collected using Pre tested semi structured Questionnaire by interviewing both wife and husband. The subjects were informed about the study and each question was explained while responding them up with clarification of doubts. Simultaneously, height and weight was measured.

Results

Among 280 eligible couples, the proportion of husband was found to be high in 31 – 40 years of age group (54.6%) followed by 21 – 30 years (29.3%), >40 years (12.5%) and ≤20 years (3.6%). The proportion of wife was found to be high in ≤20 years of age group (82.8%) followed by 21 – 30 years (13.6%). Only 3.6% were found in age group of 31 – 40 years

Table 1: Distribution Based on Education

Education	Husband		Wife	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Illiterate	41	14.6	50	17.9
Primary	83	29.6	63	22.5
Secondary	118	42.1	140	50.0
Degree only	38	13.1	27	9.6
Total	280	100	280	100.0

In the present study, 14.6% of husbands were illiterate whereas 17.9% of wives were also illiterates, 29.6% of husbands had their education up to primary and 22.5% of wives had their education up to primary school. Secondary level education was 42.1%

among husbands and 50% among wives. Altogether post SSLC and Degree state of education was found in nearly 13% of husbands compared to 9.6% of wives.

Table 2: Distribution Based on Type of Family

Type of family	Frequency	Percentage
Nuclear	112	40.0
Joint	87	31.1
Three generation	57	20.4
Extended	24	8.6
Total	280	100.0

The most common type of family was nuclear (40%) followed by joint family (31.1%), three generation (20.4%), and extended (8.6%). Among total couples, 53.9% of them completed more than 5 years of married life, 18.9% of them completed 4 – 5 years of married life, 18.5% of them completed 2 – 3 years of married life and only 8.5% of them completed less than 2 years of married life. About 73.6% of study subjects mentioned tubectomy as permanent family planning method and 11.8% as vasectomy whereas 14.6% of them did not know about permanent method of family planning. The reasons for not using contraceptives was also explored and it was found that, ignorance was the reason in 19.5%, wanting male baby in 15.8%, religious in 9.7%, fear of side effects in 3.6% and want of more children in 23.1%. The opposition from the family to adoption of family planning was found in 45.1%. Among husbands who were practicing family planning methods, high proportion of them were in 35 – 39 years (34.7%) followed by 30 – 34 years (28.6%) and 25 – 29 years (17.6%). Whereas among those who are not practicing family planning methods, high proportion of them were in 25 – 29 years (34.4%) followed by 30 – 34 years (25.8%) and less than 25 years (17.2%). This difference is found to be statistically significant. Among study subjects who were practicing family planning methods, 68.2% of them had completed their married life of more than 5 years, 19.5% completed for 4 – 5 years, 8.5% completed for 2 – 3 years and only 3.6% completed for less than 2 years. Among study subjects who were not practicing family planning methods, 33.6% of them had completed their married life of more than 5 years, 18.1% completed for 4 – 5 years, 32.7% completed for 2 – 3 years and only 15.5% completed for less than 2 years. This difference was found to be statistically significant.

Discussion

The population growth is yet to be stable in India after 4 decades of implementation of the family welfare issues at the primary care level. The health sector had attempted to find the contraceptive prevalence with various correlates that could have affected the contraceptive behavior. Factors known to

affect contraceptive use are complex and interrelated, for example, women's status has been linked to their use of contraceptives and thus their fertility. [10] Among indicators of female status are level of education, employment, mobility, and political activity. [11] Multiple stakeholders, non-governmental organizations, and private sectors have been engaged in providing contraceptive services. In spite of these efforts, several issues continue to daunt the programme and many goals remain underachieved, such as a significant proportion of pregnancies continue to be unplanned, contraceptive needs of millions of women remain unmet, and several subpopulation groups including adolescents and men continue to be underserved and neglected. [12] Greater autonomy for women are also shown to lead greater contraceptive use. In the present study, 17.9% of wives were illiterate and 22.5% of wives had their education up to primary and Secondary level education was 42.1% among 50% among wives. Overall female literacy rate is 82.1%. Maximum proportion (75%) of study population used contraceptive fall within class V–X. In contrast, only 16.5% contraceptive use rate is found among eligible couple who are illiterate. National average of female literacy was 65.5% as per census 2011. [13] Similarly, male literacy rate among study population was 85.4%, which was also much higher than national average of 82.14%. [14] In the present study, it was noted that 41.4% of couples had only one child and 21.1% of couples had two children whereas 2.9% of couples had three children. Present study reveals that contraceptive prevalence varies with the number of living children of study population. In subjects practicing family planning methods, 49.4% of families had one child, 28.7% of families had two children and 3% had three children. In this study 7.3% graduate or higher educated ladies were practicing family planning but Roumi Deb (2010) found it to be 3.9%. [15] All these may be due to lower literacy status of the ladies in that study. In the present study, 55.9% couples using contraception had no desire for further children. S Sultana et al, 2007 also found that most of the respondents had no desire for having more children. Analysis of type of family and contraceptive acceptance revealed slightly higher

contraceptive use among nuclear families compared to joint families and these results were consistent with findings of Girdhar et al. [16] Positive influence of education on contraceptive acceptance found in our study was in consistence with another study conducted by Girdhar et al in Ludhiana. Increase in number of living children increased the acceptance. The main reasons for non-acceptance among the non-users were: desire of pregnancy (23.1%), male child syndrome (15.8%), family opposition (45.1%), and lack of information on the source of availability of contraceptives (19.5%). [17,18] Acceptance of contraception showed wide variation across the country and need to be investigated locally. Family income is at best a proxy indicator of the community. However, it has been found in this study and supported by other studies also that showed improved economic status of the families promote acceptance of family planning methods. 'Male child syndrome' is still a widely prevalent concept. [19]

Conclusion

This study had shown that female literacy rate is exceptionally higher than national average and early marriage is a prominent feature in the study area. Different factors namely age at marriage, type of family, number of living children, literacy status of female partner, and socioeconomic status significantly affect contraceptive behaviour of the study population.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Family planning fact sheet. 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs351/en/> accessed on 01-07-2014.
2. Gribble J. N. Fact Sheet: Unmet Need for Family Planning. Washington, D.C.: Population-ReferenceBureau.2012.Retrieved from: <http://www.prb.org/Publications/Datasheets/2012/world-population-data-sheet/fact-sheet-unmet-need.aspx>. accessed on 01-07- 2014
3. Gribble J. N., Murray N., & Menotti E. P. Re-considering childhood under nutrition: Can birth spacing make a difference? An analysis of the 2002-2003 El Salvador National Family Health Survey. *Maternal and Child Nutrition*. 2008,5(1),49-63.
4. United Nations. The millennium development goals report. 2011. Retrieved from www.un.org/millenniumgoals/11_MDG%20Report_EN.pdf. accessed on 01-07- 2014
5. Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS), & IFC International Inc. Uganda Demographic Health Survey 2011. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS and Calverton, Maryland: Macro International Inc. 2012.
6. Guttmacher Institute. Benefits of meeting the contraceptive needs of Ugandan women (In Brief, 2009 series, No. 4). New York, Washington D.C.: Guttmacher Institute & Economic Policy Research Centre, 2009.
7. Saluja N, Sharma S, Choudhary S, Gaur D, Pandey S. Contraceptive Knowledge, Attitude and Practice among Eligible Couples of Rural Haryana. *The Internet J of Health*. 2009; 12 (1)1.
8. Yadav K, Singh B, Goswami K. Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India. A cross-sectional study on Agreement and Concordance Regarding Reproductive Intentions and Contraception Between Husbands and Wives in Rural Ballabgarh, India. 2010; 35(1): 19-23.
9. DLHS-3, pdf Report. 2007-08. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare Government of India. Chudhuri, SK. Demographic changes- socio-economic trends and family welfare programme in India. Practice of fertility control. 6th ed. New Delhi, Elsevier, 2004. P.44-52
10. Julia Mosse, Joseline Heaton. Fertility and contraception in Britain. *The Fertility and contraception Book* 2:52-61.
11. Government of India. 1. *Target-free approach in family welfare programme*. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 1996.
12. Park K. Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine. 23rd ed. Jabalpur. M/s Banarsidas Bhanot (Publishers); 2015.p.494- 512.
13. Chacko E. Women's use of contraception in Rural India; *Health Plan* 2001 Sep; 7(3):197-208.
14. Malcolm Potts; The unmet need for Family Planning. *Scientific American*, January 2000, 8 8-93. [www.bio.utexas.edu/courses/kalthoff/bio346/.../22Potts%20\(2000\).pdf](http://www.bio.utexas.edu/courses/kalthoff/bio346/.../22Potts%20(2000).pdf)
15. Alagarajan, Manoj, Kulkarni, P.M (1998), "Fertility differentials by Religion in Kerala. A period parity progression Rate analysis", *Demography India*, 27 (1) Pp: 213-228
16. Alagarajan, Manoj, Kulkarni, P.M (1998), "Fertility differentials by Religion in Kerala. A period parity progression Rate analysis", *Demography India*, 27 (1) Pp: 213-228
17. Halli, S.S. "The fertility of Ethnic groups ": Canadian Racial and Cultural Variation, Claeleton University Press, Ottawa Ontario,1990, 4 : 61-74
18. Gulati, S.C. "Role of Contraception and Development Factors in fertility transition in the Asian Region: A cross-Country Analysis", *India's basic Demographic Statistics*,1989; 9: 16 1 – 172.
19. Ahamed B. "Determinants of desired family size in rural Bangladesh: A two-stage Analysis", *The Journal of Family Welfare*, 1990; 1.36:1: 22- 31