Research Article

ISSN- 0975 1556

The Effectiveness of Video Education How to Use Diskus® *Dry-Powder inhaler* on Out-Patients Copd In Mojokerto, Indonesia

Rifaatul Laila Mahmudah^{1,2*}, Zullies Ikawati³, Djoko Wahyono³

¹Doctoral Student of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Gadjah Mada, Sekip Utara Yogyakarta, 55281 Indonesia

²Public Health Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Majapahit, Mojokerto 61365 Indonesia

³Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Gadjah Mada, Sekip Utara Yogyakarta, 55281 Indonesia

Available Online: 25th March, 2017

ABSTRACT

COPD therapy aims to prevent and overcome acute exacerbation on COPD which could be fatal and even lead to death. Thus, it must be prevented with optimum medication during stable condition. Bronchodilator in the form of inhalation are preferred in COPD medication because systemic bronchodilator has many side effects compared to that of topical bronchodilator (inhalation). DPI (dry-powder inhaler) has been developed and introduced to the market since 1967 as a solution or choice concerning MDI (metered-dose inhaler) setbacks where patients felt difficult in coordinating hands and lungs. Evidences suggested that multi-unit dose DPI such as Diskus® offered most reliable and consistent performance and it is preferred by patients. The improper using of inhaler is one of the main causes holding up asthma control since it can affect patients dosage intake which is not optimal. This research aimed to know the efficacy of Diskus® preparation usage education given to COPD patients. Method used in this research was one study group pre-test dan post test. The number of respondents involved in this research was 55 respondents. The result of t-test suggested that t-count is fewer than p-value (0.05) suggesting that there was difference between pre and post test score as a result of oral or motor evaluation. It is then concluded that Diskus® usage education affected the patients in the improvement of inhaler usage accuracy based on oral and motor evaluation. This research only reviewed COPD patients skill in using Diskus®, thus it is required to conduct further research to dig the understanding in the usage of Diskus® like drug indications, interval, how to notice side effects and how to overcome them. Also, this research only reviewed knowledge increase, but yet described outcome improvement from the using of COPD therapy itself.

Keywords: Education, Video, Diskus, COPD.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a chronic disease marked with progressive exacerbation in the limitation of flow and related with abnormal pulmonary inflammation response on dangerous particles or gases^{1,1} COPD is classified as chronic disease and it has various complications but generally preventable and curable^{2,3,4,5}. COPd also lead to the increase of direct cost up to \$ 18 billion and indirect cost as much as \$14.1 billion in combating COPd in Europe.² Indonesia, a country with high number of smokers, is estimeated to have high COPd prevalence, but accurate data concerning COPD prevalence is not owned by Indonesia.² Based on the survey conducted by Basic Health Research in 2013 suggested that COPD prevalence aged above 30 years in Indonesia is 3.7% per thousand⁶.

The objectives of COPD therapy is to prevent and overcome accute exacerbation on COPD could have fatal outcome and even lead to death, that it must be prevented with optimal medication during stable conndition.¹ Main choices of COPD treatment in stable conditions

bronchodilator and corticosteroid. The use of bronchodilator is a main therapy for COPD symptoms. It could be given if necessary or regularly, depending on patient's condition^{1,7}. Corticosteroid group is often combined with beta agonist group to increase effectiveness and decrease side effects compared to that of single usage^{1,8}.

The handling of chronic COPD is conducted with regular medication, because COPD is one of the chronic diseases, and its nature is progressive and not fully reversible. Medication is required to decrease the rate of this disease progress. However, there are many factors that could lead to exacerbation for COPD patients, one of which is lacking of understanding concerning how to take the drugs properly since they must be deposited in the right place^{1,7}. Inhalation preparation form is preferred in COPD treatment with bronchodilator because systemic bronchodilator has many side effects compared to that of topical bronchodilator (inhalation)¹. DPI (dry-powder inhaler) has started to be developed and introduced to the market around 1967 as a solution or option regarding MDI (*metered-dose inhaler*) shortage, where the patient got difficulties in coordinating hands and lungs^{9,10}. MDI is the most common inhaler used for asthma therapy, but due to its limitedness it can decrease the effectiveness of medication and lead to poor asthma control. DPI became second choice of most often used inhaler for asthma because it is easy to use^{9,11}. Ideal DPI is the DPI providing consistent dosage and is easy to use. Evidences suggested that *multi-unit dose* DPI such as Diskus® offered most reliable and consistent performance and it is preferred by patients^{9,11}.

NAC (*National Asthma Council Australia*, 2006)¹² said that inhaler technique has important clinical impact related to symptoms control, including asthma and COPD. The improper using of inhaler is one of the main causes holding up asthma control since it can affect patient's dosage intake which is not optimal. However, many researches suggest that patients were unable to show proper inhaler usage technique¹³.

COPD treatment is a long term treatment that patient's compliance in taking asthma medicine is highly required. Hence, like in asthma medication, pharmacists' role is very important in providing informational suggestion through education for patients with mild or even chronic condition^{14,15,16,17}. Education can be given by using current technology to directly demonstrate how to use inhaler, e.g. by video^{18,19,20}. There have been many educational videos regarding how to use Diskus[®], but there have not been many researches studying the effectiveness of the video and use Indonesian as its language of instruction. This research aimed to know the efficacy of Diskus[®] preparation education given to COPD patients, to increase their ability in using Diskus[®] to be more optimal.

METHOD

Research Design

This research, conducted by the researchers, was a *pre-experimental*. This research used *one study group pre-test and post test* method. There is no control group in this design but initial observation has been conducted with *pre-test*.

Research Variables

The variable of this research is the completeness of the demonstration regarding how to use Diskus® which will be valued with *checklist* adopted from previous research conducted by Osman *et al.* $(2012)^{20}$.

Population and Samples

The population was all COPD patients in Mojokerto hospitals. Research sample (research subject) was all COPD patients in Mojokerto hospital meeting research inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria of research sample are: (a.) Adult COPD patients (>40 years old);¹ (b.) COPD patients that have been diagnosed >3 months; (c.) Patients currently using Diskus® and have been using it for at least 1 month; (d.) COPD Patients *stage* I-III¹. Exclusion criteria of research sample are:²¹ (a.) Patients requiring manual ventilation; (b.) Patients having serious comorbidity (renal filure, liver failure, and heart

failure); (c.) Patients suffering from malignant disease; (d.) Other conditions or diseases causes the patients unable to participate (e.g.: dementia or delirium). Withdrawal criteria is the patient unwilling to participate the program to the end or disappearing or hard to contact patient. *Subject Selection Techniques*

Sampling technique in program aplication stage of the research is *consecutive sampling*, since no sample framework is gotten, sampling is conducted for samples meeting inclusion and exclusion criteria. *Consecutive sampling* was conducted by determining research samples quota from each site where the research took place²². Based on *Medical* Statistic formula,²³ to calculate the size of unknown samples with prevalence data of COPD in East Java as much as 3.7% taken from Basic Health Research Year 2013,²⁴ the big formula for research sample is: $n = (Z^2 \cdot P \cdot Q)$

$$n = \underline{(Z^2 \cdot P \cdot Q^2)}{Q^2}$$

If: Z= 1,96; P= 0,037; Q= 1-P = 1-0,037 = 0,963; d= 0,05 (precision)

The size of samples for this research (n) for each group is $54,75 \sim 55$ respondents.

Course of Research

The research was conducted by seeking for research samples (subjects) at outpatient pulmonology in 4 (four) hospitals in Mojokerto. Research approval letter was issued by each of four hospitals where the research was conducted, among others: (1) No. 028/RSIS-NU/Dir/2016; (2) No .445/1165/417.407/2016; (3) No. 423.4/575/416-211/2016; and (4) No. 070/226/418-206/2016.

Checklist validation and educational video on how to use Diskus®.

Selection of research subjects i.e. COPD patients meeting research criteria and willing to sign *informed consent*.

Subject was asked to demonstrate how to use Diskus® (*pre-test*), then they would be educated on how to use Diskus® available at: https://youtu.be/2JYww3RAXZI and the re-evaluated after two weeks because on the average most COPD patients will have their next follow-up visit after two weeks (*follow-up-test*). Evaluation was conducted by *rater* by using *checklist* as in table 1.

RESULTS OF RESEARCH

The number of respondents involved in this research was 55 respondents, most were male COPD patients, their age range was mostly 40-65 years old, and their latest education was mostly senior high school (Table 2).

Reliability of Rater Rating the Demonstration of Asthma Inhaler Usage Technique

The reliability of both *raters* used in the research was determined by using *intraclass corelation coefficient*. Reliability scale is displayed in the form of *cronbach alpha*, with expected value of >0.7. The result of rater reliability test can be seen on table 3, suggesting that both *raters* were reliable.

Improvement on the Completeness of Diskus® Usage by Respondents

Table 1: Checklist on How to Use Diskus® adopted from Osman *et al.* (2012).²⁰

No	Steps on How to	Oral Evaluation		Motor Evaluation	
110.	Use Diskus®	Evaluation Told by Subject	Value	Evaluation Demonstrated by	Value
	based on Osman	Evaluation Told by Subject	(circle)	Subject	(circle)
	et al (2012)		(clicle)	Subject	(chere)
1	Holding diskus	Hold diskus horizontally	1	holding diskus horizontally	1
1.	horizontally	fiold diskus holizontally	1	nordning diskus norizontany	1
	nonzontany	Hold diskus	0.5	Not holding diskus	0.5
		Hold diskub	0.5	horizontally (improper)	0.5
		Said nothing	0	Did nothing	0
2	Place the thumb	Place the thumb on thumbgrin	1	Demonstrating thumb	1
2.	on thumberin	and slide to the right until you	1	positioning on thumberin and	1
	and slide to the	hear click		sliding direction to the right	
	right	Place the thumb on thumbgrin	0.5	Demonstration is incomplete	0.5
		(but not explaining until click	0.0		0.10
		sound)			
		Said nothing (silent)	0	Did nothing (silent)	0
3.	Full exhalation	Full exhalation through mouth	1	Exhalation fully through mouth	1
61	through mouth	and keep the item away	-	and keep the item away	-
	un ough mouth	Exhale (without saving how to	0.5	Exhaling ordinary breath	0.5
		exhale through mouth or the	0.0	through mouth (without	0.10
		importance of keeping the item		demonstrating how to exhale	
		away)		through mouth properly or to	
				keep the tool away)	
		Said nothing	0	Did nothing	0
4.	Place diskus in	Place diskus in the mouth	1	- Demonstrating clasped	1
	the mouth	properly between teeth and lips		mouth between teeth and lips	
	properly			and	
	between teeth			- Getting the mouth close to	
	and lips			mouthpiece (pointing the	
				<i>mouthpiece</i> -nya)	
		Place diskus in the mouth (but	0.5	- Demonstrating clasped	0.5
		not explaining it in detail)		mouth between teeth and lips	
				or	
				- Getting the mouth close to	
				mouthpiece (pointing the	
				<i>mouthpiece-</i> nya)	
		Said nothing (silent)	0	Did nothing (silent)	0
5.	Take off diskus	- Take off diskus mouthpiece	1	- Getting <i>mouthpiece</i> away	1
	mouthpiece	from mouth		from mouth	
	from mouth and	- Hold the breath for at most		- Holding breath	
	hold deep breath	10 seconds,		- Demonstrating while in	
	for 5-10 seconds	- If unable to hold breath for		standing position	
		10 seconds, hold it as long as			
		you can	0.5	Only taking off mouthnings	0.5
		note the ofeath (explaining out	0.5	only taking on mouthpiece	0.5
		meomprete/improper)		(incomplete)	
		Said nothing (silent)	0	(incomplete) Did nothing (silent)	0
6	Exhalo and	Expanse and broatha slowly	0	avhaling and broathing slowly	1
0.	breathe slowly	through mouth	1	through mouth	1
	oreautic stowry	Exhale (without saving slowly)	0.5	exhaling (hut not slowly)	0.5
		Said nothing (silent)	0	Did nothing (silent)	0
7.	Place the thumb	Place the thumb on <i>thumborin</i>	1	- Demonstrating how to place	1
	on thumborin	and slide back to the left until	-	thumb on <i>thumberin</i> and	-
	and slide back to	you hear click		- Demonstrating how to slide	
	the left until you			back to the left	
	hear click	place the thumb on thumbgrip	0.5	Demonstrating how to place	0.5
		or only explain until sound		thumb on thumbgrip	
		click			
		Said nothing (silent)	0	Did nothing (silent)	0

Characteristics		Frequency (n: 55)	Percentage (%)
Gender:	Male	41	74.5
	Female	14	25.5
Age (years old) ²⁵	40-65 (adult)	28	50.9
	> 65 (geriatrics)	27	49.1
Latest Education	SD (Elementary School)	6	10.9
	SMP (junior high school)	12	21.8
	SMA (senior high school)	32	58.2
	S-1 (bachelor)	5	9.1

Table 2: Respondents Characteristics.

 Table 3: Rater Reliability Test on Diskus®

 Demonstration by Respondents.

 Mathed
 Value

Method		Value	Conclusion
Intraclass coefficient	corelation	0,909	reliable
Kappa agreement		0,767	reliable

The result of normality test on *pre-test*, *post-test*, and *follow-up* was tested with Kolmogorov-smirnov and the result of P value suggested 0,055; 0,078; and 0053 consecutively. It means those three groups had normal distribution and that the next test can be conducted, paired t-test.

In oral evaluation, improvement on step 2 ("place the thumb on thumbgrip and slide it to the right") and step 4 ("Place diskus properly in the mouth between teeth and lips") were the most visible improvement compared to those of other steps. While in motor evaluation, the

improvement of step 2 was the most visible compared to those of other steps (Table 4).

Based on table 5, in oral evaluation data on the completeness of the explanation on how to use Diskus® by respondents by comparing the data before education (pretest) and after education (post-test), it was suggested that there was an improvement on the accuracy of Diskus® usage based on oral evaluation suggested by score average increase. The result of t-test suggested that sig (0.000) is lower than p-value (0.05) suggesting that there was difference between pre and post test score as a result of oral evaluation. Thus, it is concluded that Diskus® usage education affected the patients in the improvement of inhaler usage accuracy based on oral evaluation. While in motor data evaluation it was known that there was an improvement on the accuracy of diskus usage based on motor evaluation suggested with score average increase. The result of t-count suggested that t count (0.025) is lower than p-value (0.05) suggesting that there was difference between pre and post test score as a result of motor evaluation. Thus, it is concluded that Diskus® usage

Table 4: Pre-test and Post-test Evaluation on the Completeness of Diskus® Usage Steps by Respondents

Tuble		uuuio	n on	une v	com		ness	01 D	iona		suge	Diep	5 U J	Resp	Jonu	Jinto			
No.	Steps on How to Use	Pre	-test					Pos	t-tes	t				Fol	low-i	ир			
	Diskus® based on Osman	Ora	1		Mo	tor		Ora	1		Mo	tor		Ora	1		Mo	tor	
	et al. (2012)	Eva	luati	on	Eva	luati	on	Eva	luati	on	Eva	luati	on	Eva	luati	on	Eva	luati	on
	The number of respondents	1	0.5	0	1	0.5	0	1	0.5	0	1	0.5	0	1	0.5	0	1	0.5	0
	getting score (n):																		
1.	Holding diskus horizontally	32	14	9	32	14	9	30	25	0	29	23	3	31	14	10	32	18	5
•		10	10	~ 1	10	10	~ 1	1.0	22	-	1.4	22	0	10	22	0.1			•
2.	Place the thumb on	12	19	24	12	19	24	16	32	1	14	32	9	12	22	21	11	24	20
	thumbgrip and slide to the																		
	right	-	• •		-	~~	~ -	0	•		10	10		-		•••	-	• •	
3.	Full exhalation through	6	23	26	6	22	27	9	20	26	10	19	26	6	26	23	6	23	26
	mouth	~		•	-		~~				10	10	~ -	0	•	10	-	10	
4.	Place diskus in the mouth	9	17	29	6	Γ7	32	11	22	22	10	10	25	9	28	18	6	18	31
	properly between teeth and																		
_	lips	_						_		•				_			_		
5.	Take off diskus mouthpiece	6	27	32	2	9	44	6	19	30	2	13	40	6	23	26	5	10	40
	from mouth and hold deep																		
	breath for 5-10 seconds				_	_											_		
6.	Exhale and breathe slowly	12	14	29	3	5	47	13	17	25	6	6	43	12	18	25	3	10	42
7	Place the thumb on	Q	0	28	0	7	40	16	12	26	Q	6	41	28	0	Q	0	0	38
1.	thumbarin and alida heals to	0	9	30	0	/	40	10	15	20	0	0	41	30	9	0	0	9	30
	the left until you have alight																		
	the tert until you hear click																		

<i>test</i> compared h <i>Follow-up</i>	l Motor tion Evaluation	4 0.67145	5 to 0,2757 to 7 0,39061	2.309 0.025
h Post- wit	Ora on Evalua	0.5354	to 0,2360) 0,5265	5.288 0.000
mpared witl ow-up	Motor Evaluatio	0.39312) -0,31537) (-0,10281	-3.944 0.000
Pre-test co Foll	Oral Evaluation	0.42837	0,34308) to (-0,11147)	-3.935 0.000
mpared with t-test	Motor Evaluation	0.91674	-0,66601) to (-0,17035)	-3.383 0.001
Pre-test col	Oral Evaluation	0.82040	-0,83088) to (-0,38730)	-5.506 0.000
CITATION OF	Motor Evaluation	2.3091 0.9598	2,0496- 2,5686	
	Oral Evaluation	2.8000 0.9699	2.5378- 3.0622	
tt-test	Motor Evaluation	2.5182 0.9717	2,2555- 2.7809	
Post	Oral Evaluation	3.1818 1.0513	2,8976- 3,4660	
-test	Motor Evaluation	2.1000 1.0202	1,8242- 2.3758	
Pre	Oral Evaluation	2.5727 1.0904	2,2779- 2,8675	
		Average Standard Deviation	CI 95%	t count value Sig

education affected the patients in the improvement of inhaler usage accuracy based on motor evaluation.

DISCUSSION

Step 1 of the usage of Diskus® is one of the *critical steps* aimed to open outer *slider* which can be slided to open or close with the help of *thumbgrip*. The slide functions to protect lever and *mouthpiece* from humidity and other environmental factors. *Mouthpiece* is an area directing the flow of medicine particles into oral cavity in order to flow into the lungs. This is designed as convenience as possible^{9,20,26}. This step is relatively easy and clear, according to *pre-test* and *post-test* data most have been able to explain and demonstrate it.

Step 2 is one of the critical steps on Diskus® preparation which is necessary to be mentioned in every explanation. Lever liding functions to open upper blister foil of each dosage to rise onto mouthpiece and the new dosage is ready to be used. Blister *foil* is the wrapping of each medicine dosage (60 dosages) functions to protect dry powder from environmental humidity and other conditions to keep it always dry. When the lever is pulled down, a small hole in the mouthpiece opens, this small hole is a path for medicinal particles to get into oral cavity when inhaled. Besides, click sound can be heard and indicator wheel can be felt that it moves to show the amount of remaining dosages through dosage *counter* enabling the patient to monitor the remaining amount of the dosage. When five last dosages have been reached, a red number shall appear on dosage *counter* to notify the patient of remaining dosage^{9,10,20,26,27}

Step 3 must be initiated by breathing deeply and exhaling the breath away from the *mouthpiece* of Diskus®. The purpose of this *step* is to help us preparing ourselves to breathe as deep and strong as possible to create good inspiration air flow and to be capable of inhaling medicinal dosage optimally. Normal exhalation but not too close from the *mouthpiece* of Diskus® because medicinal dosage can be blown away that it brings humidity into Diskus® and *the dry powder* eventually clot, makes it hard to be inhaled^{9,20,26}.

Step 4 will prevent the patient from losing dosage if their mouth position is tight on the *mouthpiece*. If the dosage is gone, it will decrease inhalation dosage that medicine effectiveness becomes not optimal^{20,26}. It is then continued with step 5 i.e. exhalation after taking off the

mouthpiece of Diskus® from mouth and hold the breath deeply for 5-10 seconds. This gives enough contact time that the medicine will be optimally deposited into bronchioles. New research suggested that the patient is not obliged to hold their breath until 10 seconds because the medicine will directly be dissolved into membrane mucous^{20,26}.

Step 6 is the closing, it aimed to close the upper protecting cover in order that the *mouthpiece* will stay clean and dry and will automatically reset to initial position and is ready to be re-used. If this step is not performed, it is worried that humidity and environmental conditions could affect the stability of *dry powder*^{9,20,26,27,28}.

CONCLUSION

Based on the result of the research, it can be concluded that educating the way how to use Diskus® to COPD patients gave significant increase on patients' knowledge to it decreased several weeks later. Therefore, continuous education is required to improve and maintain COPD patients' knowledge.

SUGGESTION

This research only reviewed COPD patients skill in using Diskus®, thus it is required to conduct further research to dig the understanding in the usage of Diskus®, like drug indications, drug interval, how to notice side effects and how to overcome them. Also, this research only reviewed knowledge increase, but yet described *outcome* improvement from the using of COPD therapy itself.

REFERENCES

- 1. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. (2015). Gobal Strategy for The Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (Updated).
- 2. Oemiati Ratih. (2013). Kajian Epidemiologi Penyakit Paru Obstuktif Kronik (PPOK). *Media Litbangkes*. Vol.23 No.2, Juni:82-88.
- 3. Calverley PM, Stockley RA, Seemungal TA, Hagan G, Willits LR, Riley JH, Wedzicha JA. (2011) Investigating New Standards for Prophylaxis in Reduction of Exacerbations (INSPIRE) Investigators: Reported pneumonia in patients with COPD: findings from the INSPIRE study.*Chest.* Mar;139(3):505-12. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-2992. Epub 2010 Jun 24.
- 4. Adil Shujaat, Ruth Minkin, Edward Eden. (2007). Pulmonary hypertension and chronic corpulmonale in COPD. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. September; 2(3): 273–282.
- Kurt B Stage, Thomas Middelboe, Tore B Stage, and Claus H Sørensen. (2006). Depression in COPD – management and quality of life considerations. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. September; 1(3): 315-320.
- 6. Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia: Direktorat Jendral Pengendalian Penyakit dan Penyehatan Lingkungan, Direktorat Pengendalian Penyakit Menular. *Pedoman Pengendalian Penyakit Paru Republik Indonesia*. 2008.
- 7. Ikawati Z. (2011). *Farmakologi Sistem Pernafasan*. Pustaka Adipura, Yogyakarta
- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Weinberger SE, Hanania NA, Criner G, Molen TVD, Marciniuk DD, Denberg T, Nemann HS, Wedzicha W, MacDonald R, Shekelle P. 2011. Diagnosis and Management of Stable Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Clinical Practice Guideline Update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. American College of Physicians. 155(3):179-191.
- 9. Chrystyn H & Azouz W, 2012, Clarifying the dilemmas about inhalation techniques for drypowder inhalers:

integrating science with clinical practice, PCRS, UK, 208-213.

- 10. Reddy S, 2014. Past and Present Trends o Dry Powder Inhaler Devices: A Review. Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics, 4(1).
- 11. Khassawneh BY, Al-Ali MK, Alzoubi KH, Batarseh MZ, Al-Safi SA, Sharara AM, Alnasr HM. 2008. Handling of Inhaler Devices in Actual Pulmonary Practice: Metered-Dose Inhaler Versus Dry Powder Inhalers. Respiratory Care. 53(3):324-328
- 12. National Asthma Council Australia, 2008, Inhaler Technique in Adults with Asthma or COPD
- 13. Nadi E, Zeraati F, 2005. Evaluation of The Metered-Dose Inhaler Technique Among Healthcare Providers. Acta Medica Iranica 2005. 43(4):268-272.
- Erickson SR, Landino HM, Zarowitz BJ, Kirking DM. 2000. Pharmacists' understanding of patient education on metered-dose inhaler technique. Ann Pharmacother.34(11):1249-56.
- 15.Farabaugh N, McMillan A, Garofoli G, et al. Assessment of Asthma Inhaler Technique in Two Community Pharmacies. Inov Pharm. 2014;5(3): Article 171.
- 16. Hämmerlein A, Müller U, Schulz M. 2010. Pharmacistled intervention study to improve inhalation technique in asthma and COPD patients. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice.2-10.
- 17. Bouwmeester C, Kraft J, Bungay KM.2015. Optimizing inhaler use by pharmacist-provided education to community-dwelling elderly. Respir Med. 109(10):1363-8.
- 18. CPPE (Centre for Pharmacy Postgraduate Education). https://www.cppe.ac.uk/programmes/l/inhalers-e-00/
- 19. National Asthma Council Australia. 2015. How-to Videos. https://www.nationalasthma.org.au/livingwith-asthma/how-to-videos
- 20. Osman A, Hassan ISA, Ibrahim MIM, 2012, Are Sudanese community pharmacists capable to prescribe and demonstrate asthma inhaler devices to patrons? A mystery patient study. Pharmacy Practice (Internet) 2012 Apr-Jun;10(2):110-115.
- 21. Dyk, L. Van, Campus, P., & Africa, S. (2014). A Review of Telehealth Service Implementation Frameworks, 1279–1298.
- 22. Kendall, J.M. 2003, Designing a research project: randomised controlled trials and their principles, Emergency Medical Journal, **20**:164–168.
- 23. Naing, L., Win, T., Rusli, B.N. 2008, Medical Statistic: Practical Issues in Calculating the Sample Size for Prevence Studies, *Archives of Orofacial Sciences* 2006; 1:9-14.
- 24. Riset Kesehatan Dasar Tahun (2013). Kementerian Kesehatan.
- 25. Kementerian Kesehatan RI. Sekretariat Jenderal. Profil Kesehatan Indonesia Tahun 2013. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI. 2014
- 26. Beaucage D dan Nesbittt S, 2002, Using Inhalation Device, in Bourbeau, Nault, Borycki, Comprehensive Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, BC Decker Inc., Canada, p.83-107.

- 27. Rubin BK, Fink JB. Optimizing aerosol delivery by pressurized metered-dose inhalers. Respir Care. 2005;50(9):1191-1200.
- 28. Lavorini F, Magnan A, Dubus JC, Voshaar T, Corbetta L, Broeders M, Dekhuijzen R, Sanchis J, Viejo

JL, Barnes P, Corrigan C, Levy M, Crompton GK. Effect of incorrect use of dry powder inhalers on management of patients with asthma and COPD. Respir Med 2008; 102: 593-604. In: National Asthma Council Australia (NACA) 2008.