ISSN: 0975-4873

**Research Article** 

# Antifungal Activity and in Silico Toxicology of the O-Eugenol

Araújo M I F<sup>1</sup>, Freitas F O R<sup>1</sup>, Morais A M B<sup>1</sup>, Brustein V P<sup>1</sup>, Nogueira T B Sá S<sup>1</sup>, Nogueira R B Sá S<sup>1</sup>, Sousa M N A<sup>1</sup>, Uchoa D P L<sup>1</sup>, Nobre M S C<sup>1</sup>, Duarte L S M<sup>1</sup>, Lima U J M<sup>1</sup>, Almeida Filho G G<sup>1</sup>, Medeiros C I S <sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Oliveira Filho A A<sup>4</sup>, Lima E O<sup>2</sup>, Pessôa H L F<sup>3</sup>, Salgado P R R<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Laboratory in Microbiology, Integrated College of Patos, Patos, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Mycology Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Paraíba,

Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil <sup>4</sup>Academic Unit of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Campina Grande, Patos, Paraíba, Brazil

Available Online:25th July, 2018

### ABSTRACT

The frequent and indiscriminate use of antifungal drugs corroborates to the emergence of highly resistant micro-organisms, forcing the research and development of new compounds with fungicide activity. Among these are the phenylpropanoids, in particular the *o*-eugenol in, which its antifungal activity and *in silico* toxicology was evaluated in this study. The MIC and the MFC of the product against *C. albicans* LM 35 and ATCC 76645 were 64 and 128µg/mL respectively. However, the MIC and the MFC of the strain LM 45 was  $64\mu$ g/mL. For the strains LM 102 and 233 the MIC, as well as the MFC was respectively 128µg/mL. For *C. tropicalis* LM 665 the MIC and the MFC were 128 and 256µg/mL respectively, and for the strain ATCC 13803 the MIC, as well as the MFC was  $32\mu$ g/mL. In the *in silico* toxicology analysis was observed that the *o*-eugenol is similar to drugs with 27 possible activities with probability of being active superior to 70% (Pa > 70%), as well as the absence of tumorigenic effects and damage to the reproductive system. Therefore, the *o*-eugenol showed antifungal activity against the strains used in this study and presents low risk of theoretical toxicity.

Keywords: O-eugenol; Antifungal activity; In silico toxicity; Candida albicans; Candida tropicalis.

# INTRODUCTION

The frequent and indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs has enabled the development of micro-organisms capable of developing auto-protective mechanisms, making them highly selective and/or resistant<sup>1</sup>. The multiple drug resistance (MDR) is associated to the pathogens capacity to promote alterations in the absorption, elimination and in the enzymatic inactivation of the antifungal drugs. Considerably reducing their action spectrum, process which has boosted the emergence of new pharmacological perspectives<sup>2,3</sup>. The use of plants for medicinal purposes began in the primitive civilizations and is related to the presence of bioactive metabolites, responsible for the triggering of pharmacological properties<sup>4</sup>. These compounds may act synergistically or solely during the therapeutic response, seen as the heterogeneity and chemical versatility of the molecules can enhance the biological action<sup>5</sup>. Among these bio-constituents is the eugenol, considered to be a phenylpropanoid isolated mainly from essential oils (EO) derived from aromatic plants, being commonly found in the Eugenia caryophyllata (clove) and Pimenta dioica (L.) Merr (Jamaican pepper)<sup>6,7</sup>. It is used as anesthetic in dental treatments, aroma in cosmetics and food products, in addition to promote biological activity related to the antioxidant and hemo-protection capacity<sup>8-10</sup>. The antibacterial and antifungal nature of the compound is related to the formation of biofilms, as well as of planktonic cells particularly in the strains of enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC)<sup>11</sup>, S. aureus<sup>12</sup> and C. albicans<sup>13</sup>. In the later the inhibition of the fungal aggregation occurs by means of the insertion of the molecule to  $\beta$ -ciclodextrin, promoting damage to the cell walls of the hyphae<sup>14</sup>. The reduction of the proliferation and the fugal survival caused by the eugenol, raises the interest in the development of studies with molecules derived from this compound, such as the *o*-eugenol. Therefore, the objective of this work was to evaluate the antifungal activity performed by the *o*-eugenol, as well as to compare its toxicological potential to standard drugs, by means of in silico analysis.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# In silico analysis

Pass online

The spectrum of biological activities of a chemical compound is a set of different types of biological activities which reflect the results of the interaction of the compound with various biological entities<sup>15</sup>. The biological activity is qualitatively defined ("Yes"/"No") suggesting that the

N° activities	Pa	Pi	Activity
1	0.944	0.003	Aspulvinone dimethyl allyltransferase inhibitor
2	0.928	0.001	Carminative
3	0.896	0.001	Steroid N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase inhibitor
4	0.876	0.008	Chlordecone reductase inhibitor
5	0.870	0.019	Membrane integrity agonist
6	0.857	0.008	Beta-adrenergic receptor kinase inhibitor
7	0.857	0.008	G-protein-coupled receptor kinase inhibitor
8	0.848	0.004	Caspase 3 stimulant
9	0.851	0.009	Antieczematic
10	0.828	0.004	Antiseptic
11	0.830	0.006	JAK2 expression inhibitor
12	0.832	0.009	Feruloylesterase inhibitor
13	0.838	0.020	Ubiquinol-cytochrome-c reductase inhibitor
14	0.817	0.013	Gluconate 2-dehydrogenase (acceptor) inhibitor
15	0.800	0.004	Antimutagenic
16	0.798	0.030	CDP-glycerolglycerophospho transferase inhibitor
17	0.774	0.009	Apoptosis agonist
18	0.763	0.005	Anesthetic general
19	0.757	0.008	CYP2A substrate
20	0.756	0.019	5 Hydroxytryptamine release stimulant
21	0.741	0.006	CYP2E1 substrate
22	0.737	0.006	CYP2E substrate
23	0.759	0.032	Mucomembranous protector
24	0.724	0.010	Fatty-acyl-CoA synthase inhibitor
25	0.739	0.024	Membrane permeability inhibitor
26	0.716	0.005	MMP9 expression inhibitor
27	0.704	0.014	Respiratory analeptic

Table 1. Predicted activities of the *o*-eugenolat Pa >70% depicted through Pass online tool.Pa: probability "being active" and Pi: probability "of being idle"

spectrum of biological activity represents the "intrinsic" property of a substance depending only on its structural physical and chemical characteristics<sup>16,17</sup>.

Pass (Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances) is a tool designed to evaluate the general biological potential of an organic molecule candidate to become a drug<sup>18</sup>. Pass provides simultaneous predictions of many types of biological activities based on the structure of the organic compounds. However, Pass can be used to estimate the profiles of the biological activities in relation to the virtual molecules, before their chemical synthesis and biological tests. Pa (probability of "being active") and Pi (probability of "being inactive"), estimate the categorization of the compounds potential to belong to the subclass of active or inactive compounds respectively<sup>19,20</sup>.

#### Osiris

The software Osiris (http://www.organicchemistry.org/prog/peo/) is used to verify the biological effects of the organic compounds by means of computational experiments of molecular fragments, generating toxicity predictions<sup>21</sup> resulting from possible mutagenic, tumorigenic, irritating properties and harmful effects on the reproductive system, as well as the cLogP, druglikeness and drug-score parameters of the molecules<sup>22,23</sup>.

For the evaluation of the possible pharmacological activities, as well as the oral administration of the drugs, it is necessary the use of Lipinski's rule of five, which

stipulates that the compound presents at least three of four requirements so as to have considerable theoretical oral bioavailability<sup>24</sup>.

The established rule for the majority of the "drug-like" molecules have cLogP  $\leq$  5, molecular weight  $\leq$  500 Da, number of hydrogen acceptors  $\leq$  10 (nALH  $\leq$  10) and the number of hydrogen donors  $\leq$  5 (nDLH  $\leq$  5)<sup>25,26</sup>.

# Microbiological tests

# Phytoconstituent

The substances used in this work were: *o*-eugenol [2-methoxy-6-prop-2-enylphenol] (purity  $\geq$  98%), dimethylsulfoxide acid (DMSO) and tween 80 (0.02%) (all obtained commercially from Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). The tween 80 and the DMSO were solubilized at a proportion which did not exceed 0.5% in tests, later it was diluted in sterile distilled water with the *o*-eugenol so as to obtain a doubly concentrated emulsion of 2048µg/mL<sup>27,28</sup>.

#### Fungal strains

The tests were carried out with seven fungal strains: *C. albicans* LM 35, 45, 102, 233, *C. tropicalis* LM 665 (clinical isolates), *C. albicans* ATCC 76645 and *C. tropicalis* ATCC 13803 (standard strains) obtained from the collection from the Mycology Laboratory (LM) of the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences (DCF), Health Sciences Center (CCS) of the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB). All the strains were maintained in SDA

Table 2: Osiris calculations of toxicity risks and drug-score of compound *o*-eugenol monoterpenes compared to the standard antibiotics drugs.

Compounds	Toxicity risk <sup>[a]</sup>				Drug score <sup>[b]</sup>						
	MUT	TUMO	IRRI	REP	CLP	S	D-L	D-S	nALH	nDLH	Da
O-eugenol					2.27	-2.05	-4.64	0.22	2	1	164.2
Fluconazole					-0.10	-2.17	3.03	0.90	7	1	306.2
Nystatin					0.57	-5.30	-4.26	0.18	18	12	926.1
Amphotericin B			_		0.32	-5.07	-0.13	0.27	18	12	924.0

Nontoxic; Slighlytoxic; Highlytoxic; Slighlytoxic; NUMO: Tumorigenic; IRRI: Irritant; REP: Reproductive effective. <sup>[b]</sup>CLP: cLogP; S: Solubility; DL: Drug-likeness; DS: Drug-Score; nALH: number of acceptors hydrogen bonding; nDLH: number of hydrogen bond donor groups; Da: Molecular Weight.

Table 3: MIC values (µg/mL) of the monoterpene *o*-eugenol against fungal strains.

Fungal strains / Treatment	C. albicans LM 35	C. albicans LM 45	C. albicans LM 102	C. albicans LPM 233	C. albicans ATCC 76645	C. tropicalis LM 665	C. tropicalis ATCC 13803
1024µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
512µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
256µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
128µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
64µg/mL	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
32µg/mL	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
16µg/mL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Negative control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Positive control	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

(+) inhibition (-) no inhibition

at 4°C. Cultures of 24-48h incubated at  $35\pm2$ °C were used in the tests.

#### Inoculum

The suspensions were prepared from recent fungal cultures sown in SDA and incubated at  $35\pm2^{\circ}$ C during 24-48h. After the incubation, around 4-5 yeast colonies were transferred (with a sterile microbiological handle) to test tubes containing 5.0mL of sterile saline solution (NaCl 0.85%). The resulting suspensions were agitated during 15 seconds with the aid of a vortex mixer (Fanem Ltd., Guarulhos, SP, Brazil). The turbidity of the final inoculum was normalized using a barium sulfate suspension (McFarland 0.5 standard tube). The final concentration obtained was of  $1-5 \times 10^6$  colony forming units per milliliters (CFU/mL)<sup>29,30</sup>.

Determination of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and the Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) The MIC of the compound on the strains used was determined by means of the microdilution in broth technique<sup>31,32</sup>. 100µL of liquid medium RPMI-1640 were distributed doubly concentrated in the 96 holes of the microdilution plates. Then, 100µL of the emulsion of the doubly concentrated product were dispensed in the cavities of the first horizontal line of the plate by means of serial dilution in which an aliquot of 100µL was transferred from a more concentrated well to a less concentrated one, obtaining concentrations of 1024µg/mL up to 16µg/mL. Later, 10µL of the fungal suspension were added in the wells of the plate, in which each column was represented by a strain. At the same time, controls were made in order to verify the fungal viability and susceptibility with the standard antifungal nystatin (100UI/mL), and 100 $\mu$ L of the standard drug was injected in the control wells. The prepared and closed plates were submitted to incubation at a temperature of  $35\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 24-48 hours. After the established time the presence or absence of growth of fungal strains was visually verified, by means of cell clusters in the orifices of the plate. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the product capable of producing visible inhibition of the fungal growth verified in the holes when in comparison with the control.

The effectiveness of the product was defined according to the MIC concentrations, based on the following criteria: good antifungal action (MIC<100µg/mL), moderate action  $(MIC100-500 \mu g/mL),$ weak action (MIC 500-1000 $\mu$ g/mL) and inactive product (MIC>1000 $\mu$ g/mL)<sup>33-35</sup>. After the reading of the MIC, aliquots of 10µL of the supernatant from the cavities where were observed complete inhibition of fungal growth (MIC, MIC  $\times$  2 and MIC  $\times$  4) in the microdilution plates were sown in Petri dishes containing SDA and subsequently incubated at 35±2°C for 24-48 hours. The MFC was considered as the lowest concentration in which there was no growth or that the fungal growth was inferior to three colonies (approximately 99 to 99.5% of death action)<sup>36</sup>.

The biological activity tests were carried out in duplicate and the results were expressed as the arithmetic average of the MIC and the MFC.

# RESULTS

The analysis of the possibilities of actions of the *o*-eugenol revealed that the molecule is "drug-like" with 27 possible

Fungal strains / Treatment	<i>C.</i> <i>albicans</i> LM 35	<i>C. albicans</i> LM 45	<i>C. albicans</i> LM 102	<i>C. albicans</i> LPM 233	<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 76645	C. tropicalis LM 665	C. tropicalis ATCC 13803
1024µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
$512\mu g/mL$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
256µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
128µg/mL	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
64µg/mL	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
32µg/mL	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
16µg/mL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Negative control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Positive control	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Table 4: MFC values (µg/mL) of the monoterpene o-eugenol against the fungal strains

(+) inhibition; (-) no inhibition

actions in the Pa > 70% (Table 1), and numerous properties similar to drugs in the Pa > 30%, for example: Antimutagenic (Pa: 0.800 and Pi: 0.004), Antiprotozoal (Leishmania) (Pa: 0.544 and Pi: 0.019), Insecticide (Pa: 0.507 and Pi: 0.004), Antifungal (Pa: 0.471 and Pi: 0.032), Antiparasitic (Pa: 0.471 and Pi: 0.019), Hepatoprotective (Pa: 0.443 and Pi: 0.026), Antioxidant (Pa: 0.422 and Pi: 0.010) and Antibacterial (Pa: 0.336 and Pi: 0.047).

The toxicological evaluation of the *o*-eugenol, as well as its pharmacological properties were analysed *in silico*, enabling the determination of mutagenic, tumogeneric, irritating characteristics and damage to the reproductive system, and its theoretical oral bioavailability<sup>22</sup> (Table 2). The values of the MIC and the MFC of the *o*-eugenol against *C. albicans* LM 35 and ATCC 76645 were of  $64\mu$ g/mL and  $128\mu$ g/mL respectively. However, the strain LM 45 presented MIC and MFC of  $64\mu$ g/mL. By contrast, the strains LM 102 and LPM 233 has MIC and MFC of  $128\mu$ g/mL. For *C. tropicalis* LM 665 and ATCC 13803 the MIC was of 128 and  $32\mu$ g/mL respectively and the MFC was 256 and  $32\mu$ g/mL (Tables 3 and 4).

# DISCUSSION

The in silico models are being applied for the toxicity evaluation of organic compounds in metabolic environment of mammals simulated in computers. Its use in regulated environments has been encouraged by the recent legislation<sup>17</sup>. However, the main limitation of the toxicity evaluation in animal models is that they are efficient in the evaluation of organic molecules with low average molecular weight<sup>37</sup>. Therefore, several statistical methods of efficient automatic learning have been used to develop in silico tools to predict the toxicological hazards of the molecular structures. This way, these tools are used to study hypothetical existing compounds, which are fast, reproducible and that are typically based in human biorregulators<sup>38</sup>.

The pharmacological effects can be predicted by computational tools capable of producing reliable results, in addition to emerge as a viable alternative in the assessment of the bioavailability and theoretical toxicity of the compound<sup>39</sup>. The software Osiris generates alerts about the mutagenicity, tumorigenicity, irritability and possible damage to the reproductive system. These results are

codified by colors, and the color red indicates risks of undesirable effects, yellow, moderate risk and green, absence of risk<sup>23</sup> (Table 2).

According to Lipinski *et al.*  $(2001)^{25}$ , the use of these tools assists in the pharmacokinetic analysis of the phytoconstituents, in which is established some physical and chemical parameters, so that the compound presents at least three of the four proposed requirements (nDLH  $\leq$  5, nALH  $\leq$ 10, DA  $\leq$  500 and cLogP  $\leq$  5).

Therefore, the *o*-eugenol presents itself within the limitations proposed by Lipinski's rule of five, not obtaining problems with the theoretical bioavailability. However, it demonstrated an irritating and mutagenic potential as a possible toxic effect (Table 2). For the data "Drug-Likeness" nystatin, fluconazole and amphotericin B were used, drugs used in the treatment of fungal infections, in which were not theoretically observed toxic action, however some values of nDLH, nALH, Da and cLogP did not follow the standards pre-established by Lipinski. According to Ujikawa (2003)<sup>40</sup>, these substances have little stability and solubility, being, therefore are toxic to the organism.

Researches carried out with fluconazole and amphotericin B, showed hepatoxic action in both as a main collateral effect, however in the later may cause nephrotoxicity and myelotoxicity<sup>41-43</sup>, results which may be associated to the discrepancy in the reference values of the *in silico* analysis verified in this research with these drugs.

The self-medication or even the indiscriminate prescription of antimicrobials contributes to the emergence of micro-organisms resistant to various pharmacological classes. In which, these drugs are capable of promoting intrinsic physiological alterations in the pathogens which over time will subdue the pharmacological selectivity<sup>44</sup>. Therefore, the natural compounds which have pharmacological activity and low toxicity, among them, the essential oils constitute a promising alternative for possible treatment of bacterial and fungal infections<sup>45</sup>.

Plants of the genus *Eugenia caryophyllus*, *Campylocentrum zehntneri* and *Croton nepetaefolius* have EO constituted of bioactive molecules such as the eugenol, considered to be a phenylpropanoid capable of exerting analgesic and anti-convulsant activity<sup>46</sup>. Besides the effects on the nervous system, the molecule connects in the

fungal structure and conducts the release of its internal content causing its destruction<sup>47</sup>.

The *Eugenia caryophyllus* presents fungicide action against species of *Fusarium oxysporum* in different concentrations, function which may be related to the presence of the eugenol which it contains<sup>48</sup>. Similarly, it was observed in this study with the *o*-eugenol, a promising molecular prototype capable of reducing and/or eliminating fungal strains. These pharmacological effects may be related to the molecular structure of this phytoconstituent which basically differ in the position of some atoms linked to the benzene ring common to both molecules.

The hydroxyl present in the molecule promotes the compound's acid characteristic, in addition to forming a hydrogen bond with the micro-organism's enzymes, facilitating its destruction<sup>49</sup>. Mechanism which predisposes the antifungal action of the eugenol, considering that the concentrations of  $62.9\mu$ g/mL and  $36.9\mu$ g/mL inhibit 50% of the *Laetiporus sulphureus* and *Lenzites betulina* mycelium respectively<sup>50</sup>, conformity analyzed against *Fusarium verticillioide* which obtained MIC of  $0.3\mu$ g/mL<sup>51</sup>.

Similarly, it was observed in this study that the dilutions of  $64\mu$ g/mL and  $128\mu$ g/mL of the *o*-eugenol proved to be fungicide against species of *C. albicans* suggesting that relatively low concentrations of the compound have similar actions to its analogue eugenol (Table 3 and 4).

The fungicity of the compound may be related to the broad hydrophobic spectrum which facilitates the penetration in the fungal cells and, consequently generates ionic disorders in these micro-organisms<sup>52</sup>. This mechanism correlates with the vulnerability presented by one of the species of *C. tropicalis* ATCC 13803, in which the MIC and the MFC were effectively  $32\mu g/mL$ .

On the other hand, *C. tropicalis* LM 665 required higher concentrations of the *o*-eugenol (MIC  $128\mu$ g/mL and MFC  $256\mu$ g/mL) for the complete inhibition and death. According to Janssen *et al.* (1986)<sup>53</sup>, the EO can suffer alterations during the laboratorial tests, and enables the micro-organisms belonging to the same species to present distinct MIC and MFC values.

The phytoconstituent with fungicide properties needs to present the relation between MFC/MIC between 1 and 2, being defined as the lowest concentration of the substance capable of causing the death of 99.9% of the pathogens<sup>54</sup>. Therefore the *o*-eugenol presents fungicide effect, and has shown to be effective against all the species used in this study.

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the compounds derived from plants constitute an effective alternative in the medicinal therapy, as observed in the broad spectrum of biological activities envisaged by the Pass online software, as they are constituted of bioactive molecules capable of exerting pharmacological effects. Based on the results of this study, the *o*-eugenol has fungicide effect against the strains *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis.* Therefore, the molecule emerges as a promising prototype with antifungal effect,

with a good theoretical oral bioavailability, however with possible toxic effects, worthy of more robust pharmacological and toxicological evaluations with *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Integrated Faculties of Patos for the structural and financial support for the implementation of this work. The Deborah Medcraft the translation of the article.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Hogberg LD, Heddini A, Cars O. The global need for effective antibiotics: challenges and recent advances. Trends in pharmacological sciences 2010;31(11):509-515.
- 2. Regli AD, Bolla JM, James CE, Lavigne JP, Chevalier J, Garnotel E, Molitor A. Membrane permeability and regulation of drug "influx and efflux" in enterobacterial pathogens. Current drug targets 2008;9(9):750-759.
- 3. Davies J, Davies D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews 2010;74(3):417-433.
- 4. Ernst M, Lagoudakis HS, Grace OM, Nilsson N, Simonsen HT, Horn JW, Rønsted, N. Evolutionary prediction of medicinal properties in the genus Euphorbia L. Scientific Reports 2016;6.
- 5. Wangchuk P, Giacomin PR, Pearson MS, Smout MJ, Loukas A. Identification of lead chemotherapeutic agents from medicinal plants against blood flukes and whipworms. Scientific reports 2016;6.
- 6. Cunha MA, Zeppenfeld CC, Garcia LO, Loro VL, Fonseca MB, Emanuelli T, Veeck APL, Copatti CE, Baldisserotto B. Anesthesia of silver catfish with eugenol: time of induction, cortisol response and sensory analysis of fillet. Ciência Rural 2010;40(10):2107-2114.
- 7. Pinto E, Silva LV, Cavaleiro C, Salgueiro L. Antifungal activity of the clove essential oil from Syzygium aromaticum on Candida, Aspergillus and dermatophyt especies. Journal of medical microbiology 2009;58(11):1454-1462.
- 8. Daniel AN, Sartoretto SM, Schmidt G, Assef SMC, Amado CAB, Cuman RKN. Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities A of eugenol essential oil in experimental animal models. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia 2009;19(1):212-217.
- Park SH, Sim YB, Lee JK,Kim SM, Kang YJ, Jung JS, Suh HW. The analgesic effects and mechanisms of orally administered eugenol. Archives of pharmacal research 2011;34(3):501-507.
- Hong SK, Anestis DK, Brown PI, Rankin GO. Effect of glucoronidation substrates/inhibitors on N-(3,5dichlorophenyl) succinimide nephrotoxicity in Fisher 344 rats. Toxicology 1999;132:43-55.
- 11. Kim YG, Lee JH, Gwon G, Kim S, Park JG, Lee J. Essential Oils and Eugenols Inhibit Biofilm Formation and the Virulence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Scientific reports 2016.

- 12. Yadav MK, Chae SW, Im GJ, Chung JW, Song JJ. Eugenol: a phyto-compound effective against methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical strain biofilms. PLoS One 2015;10(3).
- 13. Paula SB,Bartelli TF, RaimoVD, Santos JP, Morey AT, Bosini MA, Nakamura CV, Yamauchi LM, Yamada-Ogatta SF. Effect of eugenol on cell surface hydrophobicity, adhesion, and biofilm of *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida dubliniensis* isolated from oral cavity of HIV-infected patients. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2014;2014.
- 14. Gong L, Li T, Chen F, Duan X, Yuan Y, Zhang D, Jiang Y. An inclusion complex of eugenol into  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin: Preparation, and physicochemical and antifungal characterization. Food chemistry 2016;196:324-330.
- 15. Rehaily AJA, Ahmad MS, Mustafa J,Al-Oqail MM, Hassan WH, Khan SI, Khan IA. Solanopubamine, a rare steroidal alkaloid from *Solanum schimperianum*: Synthesis of some new alkyl and acyl derivatives, their anticancer and antimicrobial evaluation. Journal of Saudi Chemical Society 2011.
- 16. Koutsoukas A, Simms B, Kirchmair J,Bond PJ, Whitmore AV, Zimmer S, Young MP, Jenkins JL, Glick M, Glen RC, Bender A. From *in silico* target prediction to multi-target drug design: Current databases, methods and applications. Journal of Proteomics. 2011;74(12):2554-2574.
- 17. Srinivas N, Sandeep KS, Anusha Y, Devendra BN. *In Vitro* Cytotoxic Evaluation and Detoxification of Monocrotaline (Mct) Alkaloid: An *In Silico* Approach. International Invention Journal Biochemistry Bioinformatics 2014.
- 18. Nigsch F, Lounkine E, Mccarren P, Cornett B, Glick M, Azzaoui K, Urban L, Marc P, Muller A, Hahne F, Heard D, Jenkins JL. Computational methods for early predictive safety assessment from biological and chemical data. Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology 2011;7(12):1497-1511.
- Chand B. Structure-Bioactivity-Relationships and Crystallographic Analysis of Secondary Interactions in Pregnane-Based Steroids. Journal Chemical Crystallography 2011;41(12):1901-1926.
- 20. Khurana NI, Mohan PS, Gajbhiye A, Goel RK. PASS assisted prediction and pharmacological evaluation of novel nicotinic analogs for nootropic activity in mice. European Journal of Pharmacology 2011;662(1-3):22-30.
- 21. Kavlock RJ, Ankley G, Blancato J, Breen M, Conolly R, Dix D, Houck K, Hubal E, Judson R, Richard JRA, Setzer RW, Shah I, Villeneuve D, Weber E. Computational Toxicology—A State of the Science Mini Review. Toxicological sciences 2008;103(1):14-27.
- 22. Ursu O, Oprea TI. Model-Free Drug-Likeness from Fragments. Journal Chemical Information and Modeling 2010;50(8):1387-1394.

- 23. Ursu O, Rayan A, Goldblum A, Oprea TI. Understanding drug-likeness. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science 2011;1(5):760-781.
- 24. Ayati A, Falahati M, Irannejad H, Emami S. Synthesis, *in vitro* antifungal evaluation and in silico study of 3azolyl-4-chromanone phenylhydrazones. DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 2012;20(1):46.
- 25. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 2001;46(1-3):3-26.
- 26. Lipinski CA. Drug discovery today. Technologies 2004;1(1):337-341.
- 27. Bruni R, Medici A, Andreotti E, Fantin C, Muzzoli M, Dehesa M, Romagnoli C, Sacchetti G. Chemical composition and biological activities of Ishpingo essential oil, a traditional Ecuadorian spice from Ocoteaquixos (Lam.) Kosterm. (Lauraceae) flowercalices. Foodchemistry 2004;85(3):415-421.
- 28. Nascimento PFC, Nascimento AC, Rodrigues CS, Antoniolli AR, Santos PO, Barbosa-Júnior AM, Trindade RC. Atividade antimicrobiana dos óleos essenciais: uma abordagem multifatorial dos métodos. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia 2007;17:108-13.
- 29. Ostrosky EA, Mizumoto MK, Lima MEL, Kaneko TM, Nishikawa SO, Freitas BR. Métodos para avaliação da atividade antimicrobiana de determinação de concentração mínima inibitória (CMI) de plantas medicinais. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia 2008;18(2):301-307.
- 30. Moreira TMS, Moreira RR, Sacramento LV, Pietro RC. Histochemical, phytochemical and biological screening of Pliniacauliflora (DC.) Kausel, Myrtaceae, leaves. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia 2010;20(1):48-53.
- 31. Hadacek F, Greger H. Testing of antifungal natural products: methodologies, comparability of results and assay coices. Phytochem Analysis 2000;11(3):137-147.
- 32. Pfaller MA, Andes D, Diekema DJ, Ingroff AE, Sheehan D. Wild-type MIC distributions, epidemiological cutoff values and species-specific clinical breakpoints for fluconazole and Candida: time for harmonization of CLSI and EUCAST broth microdilution methods. Drug Resistance Updates 2010;13(6):180-195.
- 33. Mabeku LBK, Roger KJ, Louis OEJ. Screening of some plants used in the Cameroonian folk medicine for the treatment of infectious diseases. International Journal of Biology 2011;3(4):13.
- 34. Morales G, Paredes A, Sierra P, Loyola LA. Antimicrobial activity of three Baccharis species used in the traditional medicine of Northern Chile. Molecules 2008;13 (4)790-794.
- 35. Holetz FB, Pessini GL, Sanches NR, Cortez DAG, Nakamura CV, Filho BPD. Screening of Some Plants Used in the Brazilian Folk Medicine for the Treatment

of Infectious Diseases. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2002;97(7):1027-1031.

- 36. Ingroff AE, Chaturvedi V, Fothergill A, Rinaldi MG. Optimal testing conditions for determining MICs and minimum fungicidal concentrations of new and established antifungal agents for uncommon molds: NCCLS collaborative study. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2002;40(10):3776-3781.
- 37. Angelo V, Max D, Markus AL. The Challenge of Predicting Drug Toxicity *in silico*. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology 2006;99(1):195-208.
- 38. Marchant CA. Computational toxicology: a tool for all industries. WIREs Computational Molecular Science 2012;2(1):424-434.
- 39. Stone M, Jonathan P. Statistical thinking and technique for QSAR and related studies. Part I. General theory. Journalof Chemometrics 1993;7:455-475.
- 40. UJIKAWA K. Antibióticos antifúngicos produzidos por actinomicetos do Brasil e sua determinação preliminar nos meios experimentais. Revista Brasileira de Ciências Farmacêuticas 2003;39(2):149-158.
- 41. Kingo AR, Smyth JA, Waisman D. Lack of evidence of amphotericin B toxicity in very low birth weight infants treated for systemic candidiasis. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 1997;16(10):1002-1003.
- 42. Frattarelli, DA, Reed MD, Giacoia GP, Aranda JV. Antifungals in systemic neonatal candidiasis. Drugs 2004;64(9):949-968.
- 43. Nicolle LE, Bradley S, Colgan R, Rice JC, Schaeffer A, Hooton TM. Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2005;643-654.
- Alekshun MN, Levy SB. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. Cell 2007; 128(6):1037-1050.
- 45. Nerio LS, Verbel JO, Stashenko E. Repellent activity of essential oils: a review. Bioresource technology 2010;101(1):372-378.
- 46. Uddin MA, Shahinuzzaman M, Rana MS, Yaakob Z. Study of chemical composition and medicinal

properties of volatile oil from clove buds (Eugenia caryophyllus). International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research 2017; 8(2):895.

- 47. Bennis S, Chami F, Chami N, Bouchikhi T, Remmal A. Surface alteration of Saccharomyces cerevisiae induced by thymol and eugenol. Lett Appl Microbiol 2004;38(6):454-458.
- 48. Jafar FN. Effect of clove extracts *Eugenia caryophllus* on *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici the causative of tomato wilt disease. Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences (JCBPS) 2016;7(1):114.
- 49. Vieira PR, Morais SM, Bezerra FH, Ferreira PAT, Oliveira ÍR, Silva MGV. Chemical composition and antifungal activity of essential oils from Ocimum species. Industrial Crops and Products 2014;55:267-271.
- 50. Cheng SS, Liu JY, Chang EH, Chang ST. Antifungal activity of cinnamaldehyde and eugenol congeners against wood-rot fungi. Bioresource technology 2008; 99(11): 5145-5149.
- 51. Dambolena JS, Zunino MP, López AG, Rubinstein HR, Zygadlo JA, Mwangi JW, Thoithi GN, Kibwage IO, Mwalukumbi JM, Kariuki ST. Essential oils composition of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *Ocimum gratissimum* L. from Kenya and their inhibitory effects on growth and fumonisin production by *Fusarium verticillioides*. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies 2010; 11(2):410-414.
- 52. Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. Biological effects of essential oils–a review. Food and chemical toxicology 2008; 46(2):446-475.
- 53. Janssen AM, Scheffer JJC, Svendsen AB. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils: a 1976-1986 literature review. Aspects of the test methods. Plantamedica 1987; 53(5): 395-398.
- 54. Hafidh RR, Abdulamir AS, Vern LS, Bakar FA; Jahanshiri F, Sekawi Z. Inhibition of Growth of Highly Resistant Bacterial and Fungal Pathogens by a Natural Product. The Open Microbiol. J 2011; 5(1):96-106.