International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research 2023; 15(2); 72-80

eISSN: 0975-4873, pISSN: 2961-6069 Review Article

A Review on Classification, Treatment and Economic burden of Allergic rhinitis

Amandeep Kaur¹, Manisha², Tejinderjit Singh³, Pankaj Sharma⁴, Rajesh Kumari Patil⁵

^{1,2}Pharm. D (Student), Adesh Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Adesh University, Bathinda ³Assistant Professor, Department Pharmaceutics, Adesh Institute of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, AU,

Bathinda

⁴Professor, Department Pharmacology, Adesh Institute of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, AU, Bathinda ⁵Professor & HOD, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Adesh Institute of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, AU, Bathinda

Received: 30th March 23; Revised: 20th April 23; Accepted: 24th May 23; Available Online: 25th June 23

ABSTRACT

Objectives: A growing global public health, medical, and economic issue is allergic rhinitis (AR). To describe the Classification, management and economic burden of allergic rhinitis.

Data Sources: MEDLINE, OVID, PubMed (1950–November 2009), Research articles

Study Criteria: The publications were chosen on the basis of their suitability to the management and impact of allergic rhinitis.

Results: Despite the fact that allergic rhinitis frequently causes symptoms, its effects on patients' quality of life, and the enormous costs associated with its treatment. A burden is undoubtedly created by allergic rhinitis in context to people affected, overall costs. Additionally, it shows that a sizable majority of those suffering with allergic rhinitis did not seek physician opinion.

Conclusion: The overall financial expenses estimated to be \$3.4 billion, with nearly half of this expense being composed of prescription drugs. As a result, allergic rhinitis has a significant economic impact. There are numerous treatment alternatives, and we reviewed them to give you an update on their efficacy and any side effects that can impair patient adherence.

Keywords: Allergic rhinitis; Classification; Treatment; Economic burden.

INTRODUCTION

Allergic Rhinitis, also known as hay fever, is most common ailment.[1] The most typical allergy chronic illness is allergic rhinitis. Constant or sporadic nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, sneezing, itchy eyes and nose, overall malaise, irritability, and exhaustion are some symptoms. Worldwide, allergic rhinitis (AR) is becoming a more serious medical, economic, and public health issue.

For instance, in the United States, the population's prevalence varied from 11.9% to 30.2%.

In the United States, between 30 and 60 million people suffer from allergic rhinitis each year, with 10% to 30% of adults and up to 40% of children being affected.[3,2,4]

Medical alternatives for management of allergic rhinitis symptoms are often well-tolerated, safe, and successful in controlling symptoms. The mainstays of treatment for the disease are intranasal corticosteroids and second-generation oral antihistamines. A side from other medications like decongestants and oral corticosteroids, allergy immunotherapy may also be effective in some circumstances.

Although it is frequently challenging to put this theory into practice, avoiding allergies is nonetheless a guiding principle. Some molecules exhibit negligible systemic absorption even with prolonged treatment children. in Currently, immunotherapy is accessible via sublingual and subcutaneous methods, mostly for people with allergic rhinitis who are unable to control their symptoms with medication and allergen avoidance. The only medication currently available that is likely to change the course of the condition is immunotherapy, which slows the progression of rhinitis to asthma as well as sensitization.[5]

In order to understand how health care resources are being used and to inform policy decisions about the control of health care costs, it is becoming more and more important to assess the economic impact of illness states, trends in drug usage, and the delivery of healthcare. The efficient use of existing resources has grown to be crucial to everyday medical practice.[6]

Despite the fact that allergic rhinitis's typical symptoms can be expensive in terms of time and money, as well as having an impact on patients' standard of living, subjects follow their prescribed medication schedules frequently because the drugs either don't work well enough to treat the symptoms or are perceived as having other drawbacks. The effectiveness of and side effects that can affect patient compliance with various treatment options for allergic rhinitis were updated.

Given that 50% of patients have complaints for over four months out of the year and 20% have symptoms for a minimum of 9 months out of the year, it is easier to comprehend the overall impact of allergic rhinitis.

Classification

IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis is an immunological disorder caused by an exaggerated response of the immune system to allergens, such as pollen and mites present in the environment. The symptoms of IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis include sneezing, nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, and itching. The autonomic nerve system is afflicted by autonomic rhinitis. Infectious rhinitis is caused by viruses and bacteria, such as the common cold. The symptoms of infectious rhinitis include rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, sneezing, and cough. Idiopathic rhinitis is a form of rhinitis with an unknown cause. Its symptoms resemble those of IgE-mediated allergic rhinitis. However, unlike allergic rhinitis, there is no evidence of an immune system response. Treatment of idiopathic rhinitis is similar to that of allergic rhinitis, and includes avoidance strategies, lifestyle modifications, and pharmacotherapy.



Figure 1:

In conclusion, rhinitis is a disorder that can be classified as:

	8,
IgE-mediated (allergic)	• IgE-mediated inflammation of the nasal mucosa, resulting in eosinophilic and Th2-cell infiltration of
	the nasal lining.
	• Further classified as intermittent or persistent
Autonomic	Vasomotor
	Drug-induced (rhinitis medicamentosa)
	Hypothyroidism
	• Hormonal
	• Non-allergic rhinitis with eosinophilia syndrome
	(NIADES)
	(INARLS)
Infectious	• Precipitated by viral (most common), bacterial, or
	fungal infection
Idiopathic	Etiology cannot be determined

Table 1: Classification based on etiology.

Traditionally, allergic rhinitis has been categorized into two main types: seasonal and perennial. However, this classification is not always applicable as some environmental allergens may be seasonal in certain climates and perennial in others. Additionally, some patients may experience multiple allergens which can cause symptoms to be present year-round [10]. Therefore, new methods for categorisation are being used to classify allergic rhinitis based on symptom duration (intermittent versus persistent) and severity (mild, moderate or severe) [11, 12]



rigu

Treatment

Relieving symptoms is the aim of treatment for allergic rhinitis. Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs), oral allergy medications, intranasal steroid medication, combination intranasal corticosteroid/antihistamine sprays, avoidance tactics, nasal saline irrigation, intranasal corticosteroids, and allergen immunotherapy are some of the therapeutic alternatives available to achieve this goal. An allergist should be consulted if the patient's symptoms don't improve despite receiving the proper care. As was previously mentioned, asthma medication is an essential aspect to take into account in patients with allergic rhinitis since the two conditions seem to reflect a single airway inflammatory disease.



Figure 3:

Type Of Therapy	Usual Dose	Adverse Effects	
Non-selective (first generation) antihistamines			
Chlorpheniramine maleate,plain	4mg daily every 6h	Constipation, vision problems, chest congestion.	
Chlorpheniramine maleate, sustained release	8-12mg daily at bedtime, 8mg every 8-12 h, or 12mg every 12 h	Loss of appetite, drowsiness, nausea, dry mouth.	
Clemastine fumarate	1.34mg every 8h	Dizziness, decreased coordination, excitement.	
Diphenhydramine hydrochloride	25-50mg every 8h	Drowsiness, restlessness, weakness, dry mouth, headache.	
Peripherally selective (second generation) antihistamines			
Loratadine	10mg once daily	Headache, nosebleed, sore throat, nervousness, difficulty falling asleep.	
Fexofenadine	60mg twice daily or 180mg once daily	Headache, backache, diarrhea, coughing, rash, chills, difficulty breathing, drowsiness.	
Cetirizine	5-10mg once daily	Headache, dry mouth, nausea, diarrhoea, sneezing or blocked and runny nose.	
Levocetirizine	5mg in evening	Sleepiness, tiredness, weakness, fever,	

 Table 2: Pharmacological Treatment Of Allergic Rhinitis

Intranasal corticosteroids		
Beclomethasone (Beconase)	1-2 sprays (50 µg/spray) EN,	Dry or sore throat, unpleasant taste
	twice daily	and smell, sore nose, nosebleeding.
Budesonide (Rhinocort)	2 sprays (64 µg/spray) EN, once	Sneezing, sore nose, nose bleeding.
	daily or 1 spray	
	EN, twice daily	
Ciclesonide (Omnaris)	2 sprays (50 µg/spray) EN, once	Bloody mucus, sore nose,
	daily	unexplained nosebleeds or a whistling
		sound while breathing.
Fluticasone furoate (Avamys)	2 sprays (27.5 µg/spray) EN, once	Darkening of the skin, diarrhea,
	daily	dizziness, fainting, loss of appetite,
		mental depression, nausea, skin rash,
		weakness, vomiting.
Fluticasone propionate (Flonase)	2 sprays (50 µg/spray) EN, once	Darkening of the skin, diarrhea,
	daily or every	dizziness, fainting, loss of appetite,
	12 h (for severe rhinitis)	mental depression, nausea, skin rash,
		weakness, vomiting.
Mometasone furoate (Nasonex)	2 sprays (50 µg/spray) EN, once	Headache, unpleasant taste or smell,
	daily	sneezing, nosebleeds, sore nose, sore
		throat.
Triamcinolone acetonide (Nasacort)	2 sprays (55 μ g/spray) EN, once	Darkening of the skin, diarrhea,
	daily	dizziness, fainting, loss of appetite,
		mental depression, nausea, skin rash,
		weakness, vomiting.
Flunisolide	2 sprays (25mcg/spray) EN, once	Headache, nasal irritation, burning,
0.11	daily	stuffiness or soreness.
Oral decongestants		
Pseudoephedrine, plain	60 mg every 4-6 h	Feeling sick, rashes, restlessness.
	120 121	Sleepiness.
Pseudoephedrine, sustained release	120 mg every 12 h	Nervousness, headache, shaking,
Di succia di sino	10.12	blured vision.
Phenylephrine	10-12 mg every 4 h	Nervousness, sweating, neart burn,
Combination introngeal continectoreid/	ntihistomino nogol snrov	Tainting, itcning.
Combination intranasal corticosteroid/a	1 array EN trying daily	Negeblands conception shills cold
Futicasone propionale/azerasune	I spray EN, twice daily	Nosebleeds, congestion, chills, cold,
I substriene meenten auto a mista		cougn, sore inroai.
Leukotriene receptor antagonists		
Montolukost	1 tablat (10 mg) anag daily	Unner require terry infection forcer
Wontelukast	T tablet (10 mg), once dany	beadache sore throat cough diarrhea
Immunotherany		neadache, sole throat, cough, diarmea.
Sublingual Grastek (timpthy grass	_	Mild mouth/eve/ear/throat itching
nollen extract		sore throat cough mouth blister
Sublingual Oralair (5-glass pollen	-	Mild mouth/eve/ear/throat itching
extract)		sore throat cough mouth blister
Sublingual Ragwitek (short ragweed	-	Mild mouth/eve/ear/throat itching
pollen extract)		sore throat, cough, mouth blister
Subcutaneous allergen extracts: weed	-	Redness or swelling or tenderness at
pollens, dust mites		the site of injection.

Antihistamines

All patients with allergic rhinitis should start taking second-generation oral antihistamines, such as desloratadine (Aerius), fexofenadine (Allegra), loratadine (Claritin), and cetirizine (Reactine). Bilastine (Blexten) and rupatadine (Rupall), two brand-new second-generation antihistamines, have recently been made available in Canada. **Intranasal corticosteroids**

Intranasal Corticosteroids are also first-line therapy choices for people with mild chronic or moderate/severe symptoms, and they can be taken either alone or in conjunction with oral antihistamines. Intranasal corticosteroids efficiently reduce nasal mucosal inflammation when taken consistently and correctly, and they also improve mucosal pathology. In individuals with concomitant allergic rhinitis and asthma, they have also been proven to lessen lower airway symptoms and improve ocular symptoms.[17-19]

Nasal irritation and stinging are the most frequent adverse effects of intranasal corticosteroids. Aiming the sprav just a little bit away from the nasal septum will typically prevent these negative effects.

Combination intranasal corticosteroid and antihistaminenasal sprav

A combined corticosteroid/antihistamine spray can be used if intranasal corticosteroids are ineffective. The drug Dymista has the combination of fluticasone propionate and azelastine hydrochloride. With a safety profile resembling that of intranasal corticosteroids, this method has been found to be more efficient [20-23]

Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs)

While beneficial in treating allergic rhinitis, the LTRAs montelukast and zafirlukast do not seem efficacious.[24-26] LTRAs to be as and antihistamines have been proven to be as effective as intranasal corticosteroids in one short-term research, though.[27] Intranasal corticosteroids are more effective than the combination for decreasing nasal and nocturnal symptoms, according to longer-term trials. [14,28]

Oral and intranasal decongestants

These are helpful for persons with allergic rhinitis to relieve nasal congestion. Oral

decongestants' side-effect profile, which includes agitation, sleeplessness, headaches, and palpitations, may prevent long-term use. Additionally, patients with significant coronary artery disease and uncontrolled hypertension should not use these medications. Intranasal decongestants shouldn't be taken for longer than 3-5 days because doing so increases the chance of developing rhinitis medicamentosa (rebound nasal congestion) Immunotherapy

In immunotherapy, the patient's relevant allergens are subcutaneously administered in progressively larger doses. In particular, patients with seasonal rhinitis respond well to allergen immunotherapy. Immunotherapy should only be used on patients for whom the best avoidance strategies and medications are ineffective at controlling symptoms or are poorly tolerated.

Patients who are undergoing sublingual immunotherapy are desensitized by holding an allergen extract tablet under their tongue until it dissolves. Grass and ragweed allergies, together with allergic rhinitis brought on by house dust mites (with or without conjunctivitis), can all be treated with it at Immunotherapy moment. administered the sublingually instead of subcutaneously has a number of potential advantages. After the first week of therapy, these symptoms usually go away. This method of immunotherapy carries a very low risk of more serious systemic allergic responses.

Economic burden of Allergic rhinitis

About 50 to 60 percent of all cases of rhinitis are allergic. In the United States, between 30 and 60 million people suffer from allergic rhinitis each year, with 10% to 30% of adults and up to 40% of children being affected.

Barrier	Impact on cost
Lack of health-care plan or limited coverage and	Incresed direct and indirect costs due to serious complications
access to health care	resulting from untreated AR can lead to serious complications
	and increases direct and indirect costs
Lack of preventive services or focus	Increase direct costs and increased indirect costs due to more
	frequent and severe exacerbations of allergen- induced airway
	disease
Restrictions on referrals to specialists(e.g.,	Decreased direct cost and increased indirect cots associated
allergists)	with reduced HRQoL and productivity due to complications
Limits on prescription refills	Increased costs for ER and office visits to obtain medication
	on emergency basis
Multitiered drugs copay schedule	Potentially increased severity direct costs attributable to
	increased severity resulting from use of OTC or home
	remedies

Table 3: Structural health care plan barriers to care and associated economic impact in allergic rhinitis(AR) ER= emergency room; OTC= over the counter; HRQoL= health related quality of life



Figure 4: Classification of direct and indirect cost

- Medical care costs: This cost generally include the NHIC payments, covered care costs and other costs such as hospital food costs as well as the cost of prescribed medications for pharmacies.
- Non-medical care costs: It includes guardian costs as well as transportation costs for visiting hospitals. A lost production as a result of attending the hospital was considered an indirect cost.

REFERENCES

- Salzano, F. A., d'Angelo, L., Motta, S., Del Prete, A., Gentile, M., & Motta Jr, G. Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis: diagnostic and clinical assessment. Rhinology, 1992;30(4), 265-275.
- Weiss, K. B., Gergen, P. J., & Hodgson, T. A. (1992). An economic evaluation of asthma in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine, 326(13), 862-866.
- Watson, W. T., Becker, A. B., & Simons, F. E. R. (1993). Treatment of allergic rhinitis with intranasal corticosteroids in patients with mild asthma: effect on lower airway responsiveness. Journal of allergy and Clinical Immunology, 91(1), 97-101.
- Weiner, J. M., Abramson, M. J., & Puy, R. M. (1998). Intranasal corticosteroids versus oral H1 receptor antagonists in allergic rhinitis:

systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Bmj, 317(7173), 1624-1629.

- Pullerits, T., Praks, L., Skoogh, B. E., Ani, R., & Lotvall, J. (1999). Randomized placebocontrolled study comparing a leukotriene receptor antagonist and a nasal glucocorticoid in seasonal allergic rhinitis. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine, 159(6), 1814-1818.
- Bellanti, J. A., & Wallerstedt, D. B. (2000, November). Allergic rhinitis update: epidemiology and natural history. In Allergy and asthma proceedings (Vol. 21, No. 6, p. 367). OceanSide Publications.
- Wilson, A. M., Dempsey, O. J., Sims, E. J., & Lipworth, B. J. (2001). A comparison of topical budesonide and oral montelukast in seasonal

allergic rhinitis and asthma. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 31(4), 616-624.

- Wilson, A. M., Orr, L. C., Sims, E. J., & Lipworth, B. J. (2001). Effects of monotherapy with intra-nasal corticosteroid or combined oral histamine and leukotriene receptor antagonists in seasonal allergic rhinitis. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 31(1), 61-68.
- Yáñez, A., & Rodrigo, G. J. (2002). Intranasal corticosteroids versus topical H1 receptor antagonists for the treatment of allergic rhinitis: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 89(5), 479-484.
- Pullerits, T., Praks, L., Ristioja, V., & Lötvall, J. (2002). Comparison of a nasal glucocorticoid, antileukotriene, and a combination of antileukotriene and antihistamine in the treatment of seasonal allergic rhinitis. Journal of allergy and clinical immunology, 109(6), 949-955.
- 11. Bachert, C., & Van Cauwenberge, P. (2003). The WHO ARIA (allergic rhinitis and its impact on asthma) initiative. In Local immunotherapy in allergy (Vol. 82, pp. 119-126). Karger Publishers.
- DeWester, J., Philpot, E. E., Westlund, R. E., Cook, C. K., & Rickard, K. A. (2003, September). The efficacy of intranasal fluticasone propionate in the relief of ocular symptoms associated with seasonal allergic rhinitis. In Allergy & Asthma Proceedings (Vol. 24, No. 5).
- 13. Ratner, P. H., Howland III, W. C., Arastu, R., Philpot, E. E., Klein, K. C., Baidoo, C. A., ... & Rickard, K. A. (2003). Fluticasone propionate aqueous nasal spray provided significantly greater improvement in daytime and nighttime nasal symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis compared with montelukast. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 90(5), 536-542.
- 14. Di Lorenzo, G., Pacor, M. L., Pellitteri, M. E., Morici, G., Di Gregoli, A., Lo Bianco, C., ... & Caruso, C. (2004). Randomized placebo-controlled trial comparing fluticasone aqueous nasal spray in mono-therapy, fluticasone plus cetirizine, fluticasone plus montelukast and cetirizine plus montelukast for seasonal allergic rhinitis. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 34(2), 259-267.
- Schoenwetter, W. F., Dupclay, L., Appajosyula, S., Botteman, M. F., & Pashos, C. L. (2004). Economic impact and quality-of-life burden of allergic rhinitis. Current medical research and opinion, 20(3), 305-317.

- Wilson, A. M., O'Byrne, P. M., & Parameswaran, K. (2004). Leukotriene receptor antagonists for allergic rhinitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The American journal of medicine, 116(5), 338-344.
- Bernstein, D. I., Levy, A. L., Hampel, F. C., Baidoo, C. A., Cook, C. K., Philpot, E. E., & Rickard, K. A. (2004). Treatment with intranasal fluticasone propionate significantly improves ocular symptoms in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 34(6), 952-957.
- 18. Lamb, C. E., Ratner, P. H., Johnson, C. E., Ambegaonkar, A. J., Joshi, A. V., Day, D., ... & Eng, B. (2006). Economic impact of workplace productivity losses due to allergic rhinitis compared with select medical conditions in the United States from an employer perspective. Current medical research and opinion, 22(6), 1203-1210.
- Jacobsen, L., Niggemann, B., Dreborg, S., Ferdousi, H. A., Halken, S., Høst, A., ... & PAT investigator group). (2007). Specific immunotherapy has long-term preventive effect of seasonal and perennial asthma: 10-year follow-up on the PAT study. Allergy, 62(8), 943-948.
- Blaiss, M. S. (2007, July). Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis: burden of disease. In Allergy and asthma proceedings (Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 393-397). OceanSide Publications, Inc.
- Small, P., Frenkiel, S., Becker, A., Boisvert, P., Bouchard, J., Carr, S., ... & Schellenherg, B. (2007). Rhinitis: A Practical and Comprehensive Approach to Assessment and Therapy. Journal of otolaryngology, 36.
- Bousquet, J., Khaltaev, N., Cruz, A. A., Denburg, J., Fokkens, W. J., Togias, A., ... & Williams, D. (2008). Allergic rhinitis and its impact on asthma (ARIA) 2008. Allergy, 63, 8-160.
- 23. Meltzer, E. O., & Bukstein, D. A. (2011). The economic impact of allergic rhinitis and current guidelines for treatment. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 106(2), S12-S16.
- Carr, W., Bernstein, J., Lieberman, P., Meltzer, E., Bachert, C., Price, D., ... & Bousquet, J. (2012). A novel intranasal therapy of azelastine with fluticasone for the treatment of allergic rhinitis. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 129(5), 1282-1289.
- 25. Meltzer, E., Ratner, P., Bachert, C., Carr, W., Berger, W., Canonica, G. W., ... & Bousquet, J. (2013). Clinically relevant effect of a new intranasal therapy (MP29-02) in allergic rhinitis assessed by responder analysis. International

archives of allergy and immunology, 161(4), 369-377.

- 26. Price, D., Shah, S., Bhatia, S., Bachert, C., Berger, W., Bousquet, J., ... & Lieberman, P. (2013). A new therapy (MP29-02) is effective for the long-term treatment of chronic rhinitis. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol, 23(7), 495-503.
- 27. Berger, W. E., Shah, S., Lieberman, P., Hadley, J., Price, D., Munzel, U., & Bhatia, S. (2014). Long-term, randomized safety study of MP29-02 (a novel intranasal formulation of azelastine hydrochloride and fluticasone propionate in an advanced delivery system) in subjects with chronic rhinitis. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice, 2(2), 179-185.