

Research Article

Antibacterial Activity of Plant Extracts of *Alstonia scholaris*

Antony Molly¹, Misra Chandra Shekhar², *Thankamani V³

¹Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology Trivandrum- 695011
²School of Bio Sciences and Technology, VIT University, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, India
³Department of Biotechnology, University of Kerala, Kariavattom, Trivandrum 695 581

Available online: 20th November 2013

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to investigate the antibacterial activity of leaves of *Alstonia scholaris* against bacterial pathogens by disc diffusion method, well method and incorporating the extract in the media before solidifying. The result of disc diffusion technique showed that fractions of leaf extract had pronounced antibacterial activity against Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and the clinical strain *Providencia stuartii*. Antibacterial activity was also tested against a large group of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria and it was found to reside maximum in the butanol and ethyl acetate fractions of methanol extract of leaf and bark.

Keywords: *Alstonia scholaris*, Antibacterial Activity, bark, clinical strain, leaf.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 20% of plant products found in the world have been subjected to pharmacological or biological tests¹. The systematic screening of antimicrobial plant extracts represents a continuous effort to find new compounds with the potential to act against multi-resistant pathogenic bacteria and fungi. A special feature of higher Angiosperm plants is their capacity to produce a large number of organic chemicals of high structural diversity. The accumulation of phytochemicals in the plant cell cultures has been studied for more than thirty years and the generated knowledge has helped in realization of using cell cultures for production of desired phytochemicals². The antimicrobial activity of Solanaceae and Apocynaceae members has been well documented in the literature³. These include *Cestrum diurnum*⁴, *Capsicum annum*⁵, *Withania spp*⁶, *Picralima nitida*⁷⁻⁸, *Alstonia macrophylla*, *Alstonia scholaris*, *Voacango foetida*, *Wrightia spp*⁹ and *Rauvolfia serpentina*¹⁰. In ethno pharmacology research the antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) is used to determine the efficacy of potential antimicrobials from biological extracts against a number of different microbial species. AST standard tests can be conveniently divided into diffusion and dilution methods. Agar diffusion techniques have been widely used to assay plant extracts for antimicrobial activity¹¹.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials tested: Extracts (sequential extraction) of *Alstonia scholaris* (Leaf and Stem bark) - Hexane, Chloroform, Butanol, Ethyl acetate and water were tested for Anti bacterial activity.

Alstonia scholaris -leaf and stem bark were exclusively subjected to Methanol extraction followed by

fractionation with Hexane, Chloroform, Ethyl acetate, Butanol and water, and were tested for anti bacterial activity.

Media used for Anti Bacterial studies

Muller Hinton Agar -(MHA)

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Beef infusion | 300 ml |
| Casein hydrolysate | 17.5 g |
| Starch | 1.5 g |
| Agar | 10 g |

Starch was emulsified in a small amount of cold water, then beef infusion, casein hydrolysate and the agar was added. Volume was made up to 1000 ml with distilled



Figure 1: Influence of concentration on inhibition resulting in increase in zone size

Ethyl acetate extract of *Alstonia scholaris* – bark tested against ATCC *E. coli* strain 1 μ l of Ethyl acetate extract = 1.26 μ g, 10 μ l = 12.6 μ g, 20 μ l = 25.2 μ g, 30 μ l = 37.8 μ g. Solvent Control = 20 μ l Ethyl acetate ; DMSO control = 20 μ l

Table 1: Anti bacterial Testing by Disc Diffusion Method

| Sl No | Test material & concentration Extracts incorporated on sterile discs | Organisms & zones of inhibition (mm) | | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | | ATCC <i>E coli</i> | ATCC <i>S.aureus</i> | MRSA | <i>Providencia stuartii</i> | ATCC <i>aeruginosa</i> | <i>Ps</i> |
| 1. | DMSO control | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2. | Hexane –ASL(1 mg) | - | - | - | 7 mm | - | - |
| | Hexane –ASB(0.5 mg) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Hexane solvent control | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3. | Chloroform –ASL(0.5 mg) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Chloroform –ASB(0.5mg) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Chloroform solvent control | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Butanol –ASL(0.25 mg) | - | - | 8 mm | 7 mm | - | - |
| | Butanol –ASB (0.25 mg) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Butanol solvent control | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5. | Ethy Acetate –ASL(0.25 mg) | 10 mm | - | 7 mm | 7 mm | 7mm | - |
| | Ethyl Acetate -ASB(0.25 mg) | 10 mm | - | - | - | 7mm | - |
| | Ethyl Acetate control | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6. | Water -ASL (1 mg) | - | - | 7 mm | 7 mm | - | - |
| | Water -ASB (0.5 mg) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7. | Antibiotic control –Gentamycin | 15 mm | 15 mm | - | 15 mm | 16mm | - |

Table 2: Anti bacterial activity against gram negative bacteria by well method

| Test Organism | | Solvent 20 µl/well - Solvent extracts & conc: (mg) & zone of inhibition in mm | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Sl no | Bacteria | DMSO 20 µl/well | Hexane L 5 mg B 2 mg | Chloroform L 2mg B 2mg | Butanol L 1mg B 2mg | EA L 1mg B 1mg | Water L 4mg B 2mg |
| 1. | <i>ATCC Esch. coli</i> | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 7 | 5 | - |
| 2. | <i>A.calcoaceticus</i> | | | | | | |
| | B 309/11-Leaf | - | - | - | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| | Bark | - | 7 | - | 10 | 7 | 7 |
| 3. | <i>Achromobacter</i> | | | | | | |
| | C 70/11- Leaf | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 4. | <i>Burkholderia</i> | | | | | | |
| | B 196/11- Leaf | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 5. | <i>Morganella morganii</i> | | | | | | |
| | P 294/11-Leaf | - | - | - | 5 | 6 | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | - |
| 6. | <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - |
| 7. | <i>Burkholderia maltophilia</i> | | | | | | |
| | P 198/10-Leaf | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | - |
| 8. | <i>Pantoea sp.</i> | | | | | | |
| | S 73/11-Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 7 | 7 | - |
| 9. | <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10. | <i>Chryso indologenes</i> | | | | | | |
| | E 579/11- Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 9 | 7 | - |
| 11. | <i>Serratia marscesens</i> | | | | | | |
| | B 159/11- Leaf | - | - | - | +/- | +/- | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | - |

Table 3: Anti bacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria by well method

| Test Organism | | Solvent | 20 µl/well - Solvent extracts & conc: (mg) & zone of inhibition in mm | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Sl no: | Bacteria | DMSO 20 µl/well | Hexane L 5 mg B 2 mg | Chloroform L 2mg B 2mg | Butanol L 1mg B 2mg | EA L 1mg B 1mg | Water L 4mg B 2mg |
| 1. | ATCC | | | | | | |
| | <i>S.aureus</i> -Leaf | - | - | - | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | <i>A.aureus</i> Bark | - | 5 | - | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 2. | <i>S.citreus</i> | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | 10 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 25 |
| | Bark | - | 8 | 7 | 30 | 10 | 14 |
| 3. | <i>Coryn pseudo diptheriticum</i> | | | | | | |
| | B 398/11-Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| 4. | Diptheroids | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| | Bark | - | 20 | 15 | 30 | 14 | - |
| 5. | <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> | | | | | | |
| | Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Bark | - | 8 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| | <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> | | | | | | |
| 6. | Leaf | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Bark | - | - | - | 9 | 8 | - |

Table 4: *Alstonia scholaris* Bark-Ethyl acetate extract showing gradations of inhibition with increasing concentrations

| Organism | Solvent (Ethyl acetate) control | Solvent (DMSO) control | Ethyl acetate extract of AS bark | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | 10µl 12.6µgms | 20µl 25.2µgms | 30µl 37.8µgms |
| <i>E. coli</i> ATCC | - | - | 8mm | 12mm | 14mm |
| <i>S.aureus</i> ATCC | - | - | 8mm | 8mm | 9mm |
| <i>Ps.aeruginosa</i> ATCC | - | - | 9mm | 9mm | 10mm |
| <i>Serratia marscescens</i> | - | - | 7mm | 8mm | 9mm |
| <i>Aeromonas</i> SCTIMST O-756/03 | - | - | 8.5mm | 10mm | 11mm |

water. All the constituents were dissolved by heating gently at 100°C with agitation.

It was filtered and pH adjusted to 7.4. The medium was distributed into stock bottles and autoclaved at 121lbs for 20 minutes. Autoclaved medium was poured into sterile flat bottomed Petri plates in a laminar flow hood, allowed to solidify and stored in a cold room (4°C) for later use.

Nutrient Broth

Beef extract 3 g
 Peptone 5 g
 Sodium chloride 5 g
 Distilled water 1000 ml
 pH 6.8

Broth was distributed in tubes and sterilized in the autoclave for 15 minutes at 15 pounds pressure (121°C).

Nutrient broth was used in the tube dilution method for testing the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotics.

0.85% Normal Saline (Sodium chloride 8.5 g and Distilled water 1000ml) was distributed into tubes and autoclaved at 15 pounds pressure (121°C) for 15 minutes.

Techniques Used: Antibacterial studies were done by 3 methods -

1. Disc diffusion¹².
2. Well method - cutting wells of 3 mm diameter and adding the various extracts¹³.
3. Incorporating the various extracts into the medium before solidifying¹⁴.

Preparation of sterile discs: Whatman filter paper No: 1 was cut into discs of 6mm diameter, dispersed in Petri plates, and sterilized by dry heat in an hot air oven for 160°C for 60 minutes.

Table 5: Anti bacterial studies by disc diffusion using ethyl acetate extracts of leaf and bark of *Alstonia scholaris*

| No: | Organism | Test sample, conc., Zone of inhibition (mm) | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | ASL-Ethyl acetate (extract dried and dissolved in 40 µg/disc) | ASB-Ethyl acetate (extract dried and dissolved in 25.2 µg/disc) |
| 1. | ATCC <i>Ps aeruginosa</i> | 10 | 8 |
| 2. | ATCC <i>S aureus</i> | 7 | 7 |
| 3. | ATCC <i>Kl pneumoniae</i> | 13 | 9 |
| 4. | ATCC <i>S aureus</i> P-R strain | 8 | 7 |
| 5. | ATCC <i>E coli</i> | 12 | 8 |
| 6. | <i>Salmonella group B</i> | 8 | 7 |
| 7. | <i>Aeromonas</i> | 14 | 10 |
| 8. | <i>Pantoea</i> | 9 | 10 |
| 9. | <i>Brevun diminuta</i> | 14 | 9 |
| 10. | <i>Moraxella lacunata</i> | 12 | 10 |
| 11. | <i>Ps putida</i> | 14 | 8 |
| 12. | <i>Kl pneumoniae</i> | 13 | 9 |
| 13. | <i>Citrobacter koseri</i> | - | 9 |
| 14. | <i>E coli</i> | 9 | 8 |
| 15. | <i>Serratia marscens</i> | 12 | 10 |
| 16. | <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> | 11 | 8 |
| 17. | <i>S typhi</i> | 12 | 9 |
| 18. | <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> | 14 | 9 |
| 19. | <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> | 13 | 13 |
| 20. | Atypical <i>E coli</i> | 15 | 8 |
| 21. | <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> | 8 | 9 |
| 22. | <i>Staph aureus (MRSA)</i> | 8 | 7 |

ASL = *Alstonia scholaris* leaf, ASB = *Alstonia scholaris* bark

Table 6: Different clinical strains inhibited on the Ethyl acetate extract plate ASL.

| No | Organism tested | ASL (315mg) in 10 ml Nutrient agar | EA fraction 1 ml in 10 ml Nutrient agar | Control with 1 ml Ethyl acetate in 1 ml Nutrient agar |
|-----|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. | ATCC 27853 <i>Ps aeruginosa</i> | NG | | 4+ |
| 2. | ATCC 25923 <i>S aureus</i> | 1+ growth | | 4+ growth |
| 3. | ATCC 700603 <i>Kl pneumoniae</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 4. | ATCC 25022 <i>E coli</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 5. | P573 CNStaph <i>SCTIMST isolate</i> | 1+ growth | | 4+ growth |
| 6. | P571 <i>Klebsiella SCTIMST isolate</i> | 1+ growth | | 4+ growth |
| 7. | E 1568 <i>Ps aeruginosa SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 8. | E 1570 <i>Ps aeruginosa SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 9. | E 1571 <i>Kl pneumoniae SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 10. | E 1572 <i>Klebsiella SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 11. | U1103 <i>E coli SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 12. | U 1077 <i>Acinetobacter SCTIMST isolate</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |
| 13. | E 1552 <i>S aureus SCTIMST isolate</i> | 1+ growth | | 4+ growth |
| 14. | Qc 10 <i>Salmonella typhi</i> | No growth | | 4+ growth |

NG- No growth; 1+ to 4+ relative density of growth

Disc diffusion method: Agar diffusion methods employing dried filter paper discs impregnated with specific concentrations of antimicrobial agents/extracts were developed in the 1940's. In order to eliminate or minimize variability in the testing, Bauer et al in 1966 developed a standardized procedure in which Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) was selected as the test medium¹⁵. Necessary MHA plates were dried for about 30 minutes

in a 37°C incubator just before use. Bacterial strains to be tested were freshly sub cultured, tested for purity and suspensions in one ml nutrient broth were made, incubated at 37°C for 2 hours to obtain cultures in a log phase, opacity was checked with 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard (approximately 1 to 2 x 10⁸ colony forming units per ml) and plated on the entire surface of the MHA plates using sterile swabs. The entire agar surface was

Table 7: Growth density in 5% extracts in the medium

| N | Organism (Test strain) | 10 ml MHA in the control plate | 10 ml MHA+.5 ml DMSO | 10 ml MHA+.5 ml (150mg) ASL extract - Butanol fraction | 10 ml MHA+.5 ml (175mg) ASL extract-EA fraction | 10 ml MHA+.5 ml (0mg) ASL extract-water fraction | 10 ml MHA+.5 ml (150mg) ASB extract Butanol fraction |
|----|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | ATCC - <i>S. aureus</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 3+ | 0 |
| 2 | ATCC - <i>E. coli</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 2+ | 0 |
| 3 | ATCC - <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 3+ | 0 |
| 4 | ATCC - <i>Kl. pneumoniae</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 4+ | 0 |
| 5 | <i>E-579/11 Chrys indologenes</i> | 4+ | 2+ | 0 | 0 | 1+ | 0 |
| 6 | <i>Corynebacterium</i> | 1+ | +/+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | <i>U-497/11 Acinetobacter baumannii</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 4+ | 0 |
| 8 | <i>B1238/10 Kodomea</i> | 3+ | 0 | 0 | +/- | 2+ | 0 |
| 9 | <i>B594/10 Candida</i> | 3+ | 0 | 0 | 1+ | 4+ | 0 |
| 10 | <i>U 611/10 Candida albicans</i> | 4+ | 1+ | 0 | 2+ | 2+ | 1+ |
| 11 | <i>P 70/10 Nocardia</i> | 4+ | 3+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | <i>Staph citreus</i> | 4+ | 4+ | 0 | 0 | 3+ | 0 |

0 (no Growth); 1-4 + gradation of relative density of growth

streaked, turning the plate 60°C between streaking to obtain an even inoculation. Sterile discs incorporated with 5 µl of the various *Alstonia scholaris* leaf and bark extracts along with the respective solvents were placed on the plated MHA plates in an equidistant manner and incubated at 37°C incubator for 18 hours. Zone of inhibition was measured.

Well method: MHA plates dried in the 37°C incubator were used. Six 3 mm (dia) wells equidistant were aseptically punched on the agar surface using a sterile cork borer, pure cultures of test strains in the log phase and turbidity adjusted were swabbed on the surface of MHA plates under aseptic conditions. Twenty µl of each extract and 20µl of respective solvents was filled in one of the wells to rule out nonspecific inhibition using sterile micro tips and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. The zones of inhibition were noted in mm.

Extracts incorporated in the media: Antibacterial studies were done by incorporating the various extracts in autoclaved MHA cooled to 50°C in a water bath and plating the various strains of bacteria. Control plates with the respective solvents incorporated in a similar manner were prepared and the same test strains of bacteria were streaked on the plate to rule out non specific inhibition by the solvents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our study (Table 1) fractions of *Alstonia scholaris* leaf extracts seemed to show antibacterial activity, especially against Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and the clinical strain – *Providencia stuartii*. Hussain et al in 2010 reported antibacterial activity mainly from Methanolic extracts of bark of *Alstonia scholaris* by disc diffusion¹⁶.

Larger quantities of the extract could be introduced into the wells and most of the anti bacterial activity was

spread out in the butanol and ethyl acetate fractions of the Methanol extract of *Alstonia scholaris* (Table 2 and Table 3) and our results are comparable to that done by Khan et al in 2003 who has also reported broad spectrum antimicrobial activity from the butanol fraction¹⁴. In our study the commensals like *Staphylococci citreus* and Diptheroids were most susceptible to all the fractions of methanol extracts. It was an interesting finding to note that aerial and environmental bacteria are highly susceptible to *Alstonia scholaris* fractions because it was some of these environmental bacteria that aid spoilage of stored foods and grains. Toxic and harmful insecticides and chemicals now sprayed to check spoilage could in future be replaced by non toxic but bactericidal plant extracts.

Figure 1 and Table 4 show results of direct sequential extraction with ethyl acetate of *Alstonia scholaris* bark where detectable antimicrobial activity was noted in very small quantities and clear gradations could be noted with increasing quantities. Ethyl acetate extracts were dried and dissolved in DMSO and hence Ethyl acetate and DMSO were included as controls to rule out any nonspecific activity.

The ethyl acetate extract showed significant anti bacterial activity when compared to the control plate by complete inhibition or only 1+ growth. When extracts are incorporated in the medium inhibition of growth due to antibacterial moieties are more marked and evident than disc diffusion or well method.

Experiment on extracts incorporated in the Muller Hinton agar was repeated with another batch of *Alstonia scholaris* leaf extract to see if reproducibility in inhibition was obtained. Growth was graded from 0 to 4+ (complete inhibition to good growth). Bacterial cultures in the log phase were used for testing and turbidity was adjusted to

0.5 on the Density meter. Almost complete inhibition was seen in the Butanol fraction of both leaf and bark.

Medically important bacteria isolated from various sites such as urinary tract, respiratory tract and pus aspirates (Table 7) were tested against different extracts of *Alstonia scholaris* leaf and bark using solvents from Butanol to water incorporated in the medium and Ethyl acetate and Butanol extracts generally inhibited bacterial growth when compared to controls.

In all our studies on anti bacterial activity of *Alstonia scholaris* extracts maximum activity was obtained in the Butanol and Ethyl acetate fractions of either leaf or stem bark. The antibacterial effects of extracts when incorporated into the medium and different strains of test organisms were plated with corresponding controls; the inhibitory effect had been very marked. Khan et al in 2003 has reported similar findings that Butanol fraction exhibited antimicrobial activity compared to other solvent systems¹⁴. Goyal and Varshney in 1995 have stated that it is the chemical constituents such as alkanes, sterols and alkanols of *Alstonia scholaris* which were responsible for the antimicrobial property¹⁷. Thankamani et al and Misra et al in 2011 have found potent antimicrobial activity from flower and root extracts of *Alstonia scholaris*¹⁸⁻¹⁹. Khyade and Vaikos in 2009 have demonstrated antibacterial properties in the methanol extracts of *Alstonia scholaris* leaves as compared to chloroform and acetone while no inhibitory effect by petroleum ether extract²⁰. The same group in 2010 has compared the phytochemical and antibacterial activities of bark extracts of *Alstonia scholaris* and *Alstonia macrophylla* and has demonstrated that chloroform extracts of *A. macrophylla* possessed broader spectrum of antibacterial activity when compared to *A. scholaris*²¹. However *Alstonia scholaris* is the widely used medicinal plant²¹. There are reports of *Alstonia boonei* to have antimicrobial properties in the ethanol and aqueous extracts of stem bark²². In our study we noted that commensal bacteria found in the natural environment such as Diphtheroids and *Staph. citreus* to be highly inhibited by *Alstonia scholaris*, showing wide zones of inhibition. The phytochemical screening of the plant extracts showed not only the presence of bioactive components like alkaloids, flavonoids and steroids but also contained macro elements like calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and copper to varying degrees²². The medicinal property of *Alstonia scholaris* is by virtue of the presence of several phytochemical constituents which exhibit antimicrobial activity against several pathogenic micro-organisms¹⁹. The anti diarrhoea effects of the aqueous and the alcoholic bark extracts of *Alstonia scholaris* in mice have been reported by Patil et al in 1999²³.

CONCLUSION

The present study describes the antibacterial activity against various Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria which are important pathogenic strains opening the new field of sourcing out suitable antibiotics from plant extract of *Alstonia scholaris*. However to reach the firm

conclusion about their potency of anti-bacterial activity, more detailed bioassays needs to be performed.

REFERENCES

1. Suffredini JB, Sader HS, Gonclaves AG, Reis AO, Gales AC, Varella AD and Younes RN. Screening of antimicrobial extracts from plants native to the Brazilian Amazon rainforest and Atlantic forest. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 2004; 37: 379-384.
2. Castello M, Phatak A, Chandra N and Sharon M. Antimicrobial activity of crude extracts from plant parts and corresponding calli of *F Bixa orellana* L. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 2002; 40: 1378-1381.
3. Suresh K, Saravana B and Harisaranraj R. Studies on in vitro antimicrobial activity of ethanol extracts of *Rauvolfia tetraphylla*. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets* 2008; 12: 586-90.
4. Bhattacharjee I, Ghosh A, and Chandra G. Antimicrobial activity of essential oil of *Cestrum diurnum* (L.) (Solanales: Solanaceae). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2005; 4: 371-374.
5. Cichewicz RH and Thorpe PA. The antimicrobial properties of Chilli peppers (*Capsicum* species) and their uses in Mayan medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 1996; 52: 61-70.
6. Ramzi AA, Mothana RAA and Lindequist U. Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants of the island Soqotra. *J.Ethnopharmacol.* 2005; 96: 177-181.
7. Nikere CK and Lroegbu CU. Antimicrobial screening of the root, seed and stem bark extracts of *Picralima nitida*. *Afr.J.Biotechnol.* 2005; 4: 522-526.
8. Hussain MA and Gorski MS. Antimicrobial activity of *Nerium oleander* Linn. *ASI. J. Plant Sci.* 2004; 3: 177-180.
9. Hadi H and Bremmer R. Initial studies on alkaloids from Lombok medicinal plants. *Molecules* 2001; 6: 117-129.
10. Siddique NA, Bari MA, Naderuzzamam ATM, Khatun N, Rahman MH, Sultana RS, Matin MN, Shahnewaz S and Rahman MN. Collection of indigenous knowledge and identification of endangered medicinal plants by questionnaire survey in Barind tract of Bangladesh. *J.Biol. Sci.* 2004; 4(1): 72-80.
11. Mbata T I, Debiao L, Saikia A. Antibacterial activity of the crude extract of Chinese Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) on *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Internat J. Microbiol.* 2006; 2 (2).
12. Salie F, Eagles PFK, Lens HMJ. Preliminary antimicrobial screening of four South African Asteraceae species. *J.Ethnopharmacol.* 1996; 52(1): 27-33.
13. Silva MTG, Simas SM, Batista TGFM, Cardarelli P, Tomassini TCB. Studies on antimicrobial activity, in-vitro, of *Physalis angulata* L. (Solanaceae) fraction and physalin B bringing out the importance of assay determination. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 2005; 100(7): 779-782.

14. Khan MR, Omoloso AD, Kihara M. Antibacterial activity of *Alstonia scholaris* and *Leea tetramera*. *Fitoterapia* 2003; 74: 736-740.
15. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *Am.J.Clin.Pathol.* 1966; 45: 493-496.
16. Hussain A, Zaman MK, Ramteke M. Antibacterial activity of trunk of *Alstonia scholaris*. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*, 2010; 3(4): 46-47.
17. Goyal MM and Varshney A. Effects of natural products isolated from three species of *Alstonia* on some gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. *Indian Drugs* 1995; 32(2): 69-72.
18. Thankamani VI, Joel J, Arun KTV and Lipin DMS. Phytochemical screening and anti microbial activity of *Alstonia scholaris* flowers (L) R. BR. Fam: Apocyanaceae. *Intl. J. of Pharm. Res. and Dev.* 2011; 3(4): 172-178.
19. Misra CS, Pratyush K, Sagadevan LDM, James J, Veettil AKT and Thankamani VA. A comparative study on phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of roots of *Alstonia scholaris* with the roots leaves and stem bark. *International Journal of Research in Phytochemistry and Pharmacology* 2011; 1(2) 77-82.
20. Khyade MS and Vaikos MP. Phytochemical and antibacterial properties of leaves of *Alstonia scholaris* R. Br. *African J. of Biotech.* 2009; 8 (22): 6434-6436.
21. Khyade MS and Vaikos NP. Comparative Phytochemical and Antibacterial Studies on the bark of *Alstonia scholaris* R.Br. and *Alstonia macrophylla*. ex G.Don. *Phcog J* 2010; 2(6): 124-127
22. Kumar Pratyush, Chandra Shekhar Misra, Joel James, Lipin Dev M.S.; Arun Kumar Thaliyil Veettil and Thankamani V. Ethnobotanical and Pharmacological Study of *Alstonia* (Apocynaceae) – A Review. *J.Pharm. Sci & Res.* Vol.3 (8), 2011; 1394-1403.
23. Patil RS, Juvekar AR, Joglekar SN, Shamkuwar PB and Nimbkar SR. Study of antidiarrhoeal activity of *Alstonia scholaris* bark. *Indian Drugs* 1999; 36(7): 463-465.