e-ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Available online on www.ijtpr.com

International Journal of Toxicological and Pharmacological Research 2022; 12(7); 123-133

Original Research Article

Study of Anxiety Due to Covid 19 Pandemic in Obstetric Patients - A Comparative Study

Aruna Kumar¹, Shabana Sultan², Pallavi Singh³, Juhi Loya⁴, Poorva Badkur³

¹Professor & Head of Department, Department of Obstretics & Gynaecology, GMC, SZH, Bhopal

²Professor, Department of Obstretics & Gynaecology, GMC, SZH, Bhopal ³Associate Professor, Department of Obstretics & Gynaecology, GMC, SZH, Bhopal ⁴Senior Resident, Department of Obstretics & Gynaecology, GMC, SZH, Bhopal

Received: 15-04-2022 / Revised: 18-05-2022 / Accepted: 01-06-2022

Corresponding author: Dr Juhi Loya

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the covid-19 pandemic related anxiety in obstetrics patients admitted in tertiary care centre in suspected covid wards by using WSAS and HAM-A scales.

Methods: A cross- sectional survey of women accessing maternity services was carried out at the local peak of the pandemic. Background data including relevant demographic details, pregnancy and mental health history, concerns, as well as helpful stress-reducing factors reported by women was collected. Depression and anxiety symptomatology was studied using the WSAS and HAM-A scales.

Results: The survey results revealed a high prevalence of anxiety and Depressive symptomatology (34.4 and 39.2% respectively), based on WSAS and HAM-A scales. These rates appeared much higher than the reported pre-pandemic prevalence and were not affected by occupation, previous mental health problems or pregnancy complications. Women's most commonly reported concerns as well as coping factors.

Conclusion: Marked increase in anxiety and depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, among pregnant and puerperal individuals, who constitute a vulnerable group with respect to mental health morbidity.

Keywords: Mental Health; Pandemic; Perinatal; Pregnancy; Stress

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Mental health concerns of people impacted by the coronavirus pandemic have not been adequately addressed. The objective of this study was to evaluate the properties of the Coronavirus related anxiety by using WSAS and HAM-A scales, which are a brief mental health screener to identify probable cases of dysfunctional anxiety associated with the COVID-19 crisis.

On 31 December 2019, a novel viral pneumonia originating from Wuhan, China was announced to the World Health Organization. As of 23 March 2020, this novel coronavirus (COVID-19) quickly spread

across the globe, infecting more than 294,110 people in 187 countries and killing 12,944 individuals. Changes to daily life have been swift and unprecedented, as cases of the virus surge, the death toll escalates, and draconian measures to contain the spread of the disease increase across regions of the globe. Although there has been substantial attention to measures to identify people with the coronavirus infection, identifying the mental health care needs of people impacted by this pandemic have been relatively neglected [1-3].

This is surprising given that mass tragedies, particularly ones that involve infectious diseases, often trigger waves of heightened fear and anxiety that are known to cause massive disruptions to the behavior and psychological well-being of many in the population. For instance, in a recent, large survey of people highly susceptible to the coronavirus infection (i.e., Chinese medical workers), the prevalence rate of traumatic stress was at an alarming 73.4%, depression was at 50.7%, generalized anxiety was at 44.7%, and insomnia was at 36.1%. Although these findings are disturbing, they are not isolated, as research on the psychological impact of previous global disease outbreaks demonstrated clear links between anxiety pandemic-related and elevated symptoms of stress, anxiety, contamination concerns, health anxiety, post-traumatic stress, and suicidality [4-6].

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to fill a void in the mental health response to this growing public health crisis by developing and evaluating a brief mental health screener that can be used to reliably identify probable cases of dysfunctional anxiety and symptom severity associated with the coronavirus [7].

Materials and Methods

Study Centre Sultania Zanana hospital, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal.

Duration Of Study: Study will be conducted after approval of ethics committee for a period of 6 months.

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Study Design: Prospective comparative study. After seeking authorization from Dean, GMC, Bhopal and Head of the department, SZH, Bhopal an prospective comparative study will be conducted.

Inclusion Criteria: All the consenting pregnant women admitted in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology at Sultania Zanana Hospital, Bhopal in non –covid wards and covid suspected ward.

Exclusion Criteria: Antenatal women not giving consent.All non- pregnant women getting admitted in sultania zanana hospital, Bhopal.

Sample Size: All obstetric patients getting admitted in non-covid and covid suspected wards consenting to participate in the study.

Procedure Planned: Pre-designed proforma of WSAS and HAM-AAs attached will be filled by the obstetric patients after informed consent.

Data Collection And Methods: Patients will be selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After taking informed consent and reassuring patients regarding the expertise and confidentiality all the patients will be studied in detail with reference to thyroid dysfunction amongst admitted women in pregnancy, fetomaternal outcome. Background information

Participants Will be asked to report their age, ethnicity, education, current residency, coronavirus diagnosis or suspician criteria(as per ICMR guidelines), and history of anxiety. After taking consent from the participants a Detailed history will be taken and full clinical and general examination will be performed using a predesigned proforma. For anxiety levels 2 predesigned proformas

For Functional Impairment – WSAS (Work And Social Adjusment Scale): A WSAS

score above 20 appears to suggest moderately severe or worse psychopathology. Scores between 10 and 20 are associated with significant functional impairment but less severe clinical symptomatology. Scores below 10 appear to be associated with subclinical populations.

For Anxiety HAM-A (Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale): will be filled by the groups non-covid and covid suspect. There after the anxiety levels will be compared between the groups. (non-covid and covid suspected)

Suspected Case: Cases having at least one of the epidemiological history features and two clinical features OR three clinical features of COVID-19. Epidemiological history:

14 days prior to the onset of symptoms:

- Travel history or residence in areas declared COVID-19 hotspots.
- Contact with COVID-19 cases.
- Exposure to patients with fever or respiratory symptoms in COVID-19 hotspots.

• Evidence of clustering.

Clinical features

• Fever with or without respiratory symptoms

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

- Initially, total WBC count can be normal or decreased, or lymphocyte count decreased
- Imaging characteristics of COVID-19 as small patchy shadows and interstitial changes, especially in lateral lung
- Ground glass opacities and infiltrates were seen subsequently in bilateral lungs
- Lung consolidation in severe cases.

Asymptomatic infected people and patients in incubation period may not meet the diagnostic criteria for suspected cases but if they have possible epidemiological history, all dead bodies without reliable clinical/epidemiological history and all unidentified dead bodies should be treated as suspected COVID 19 deaths. Cases with negative swab results also should be treated as suspected COVID-19 deaths as false negative result is not uncommon.

Observation Chart

Table 1: WSAS and HAM-A anxiety scoring system in pregnant population

Score	Severity
0–5	None
6–10	Mild
11–15	Moderate
16–21	Severe

Table 2: Demographic characteristic of the study group

Table 2. Demographic characteristic of the study group									
	Total	Non-Covid-	Covid-19	Chi ²	p Value Non-				
	(N=100)	19 (N=50)	(N=50)		Covid-19 vs.				
					Covid - 19				
Material age (years)	33.2 (+4.8)	33.2 (+4.8)	32.9	N/A	0.356				
			(+4.7)						
Mean gestational age	32.2 (+7.8)	32.2 (+7.8)	32.7	N/A	0.422				
(weeks)			(+7.6)						
Primiparity	46%	49%	32%	8.390	0.004				
Parity (mean)	2.2 (+1.3)	2.1 (+1.2)	2.4 (+1.4)	N/A	0.02				
Place of residence									
Rural area	15%	13%	23%						

Small centre < 30,000	9%	8%	13%		
habitants	970	8 70	1370	13.013	0.011
Medium centre 30,000 -	9%	8%	11%	13.013	0.011
100000 habitants	770	070	1170		
Large centre 100000 -	6%	6%	7%	-	
500000 habitants	070	070	7 70		
Very large centre > 500000	61%	65%	46%	-	
habitants	0170	0570	1070		
Education					
Elementary	1%	1%	1%		
Vocational	4%	4%	2%	-	
High school	15%	13%	20%	7.434	0.114
Higher (BA)	15%	13%	21%	- 7	0111.
Higher (Masters)	66%	68%	56%	-	
Financial Situation	0070	0070	3070		
Easily coping	25%	24%	27%		
Sufficiently coping	71%	72%	69%	0.557	0.906
Finding difficulty	3%	3%	3%	0.557	0.200
Finding substantial difficulty	1%	1%	1%	-	
Household Description	1 /0	1 /0	1 /0		
Living alone	2%	1%	2%		
Only partner	39%	43%	23%	-	
Partner and children	47%	44%	56%	-	
Only children	1%	1%	2%	17.343	0.027
Partner, parents or in-laws	6%	5%	9%	17.6.6	0.027
Partner, children, parents or	5%	5%	7%	-	
in-laws	370	370	7 70		
Only parents / in-laws / other	0%	0%	1%	-	
people	070	070	170		
Profession					
White - collar worker	43%	43%	40%		
(office, administrative)	10,0	1.575	10,0		
White - collar worker (other)	32%	34%	26%	6.856	0.231
Self - employed / freelancer	5%	5%	7%		
Blue - collar worker	8%	7%	11%		
Taking care of household	6%	5%	7%		
Unemployed	6%	5%	10%		
Current Job Status					
Home office	17%	18%	11%	3.963	0.265
Going out of work	13%	12%	17%	-	0.20
Unemployed	16%	15%	19%	1	
Temporarily on sick leave	54%	54%	51%	1	
Previous anxiety or	12%	13%	6%	4.289	0.038
depression diagnosis					

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Table 3: Daily life measures during the covid-19 pandemic

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Ţ	Table 5. Daily life measures during the covid-19 pandemic							
Characteristics	Total	Non -	Covid -	Chi ²	p Value Non-			
	(N=100)	Covid-19	19		Covid-19 vs.			
		(N=50)	(N=50)		Covid - 19			
Social distancing time spent								
with								
Alone	0%	0%	0%					
Only partner	33%	36%	23%					
Partner and children	40%	37%	52%	12.867	0.012			
Only children	1%	0%	2%					
Partner and / or children,	26%	26%	22%					
parents or in-laws								
Only Parents / in-laws	1%	1%	0%					
Daily number of times left home								
0-1	61%	63%	54%					
2	23%	22%	26%					
>2	16%	15%	20%					
COVID - cases within close	63%	61%	70%	2.634	0.267			
family and friends (Yes answer)								
Social media usage during								
pandemic								
Less often	10%	7%	21%					
More often	35%	38%	26%	N/A	N/A			
Similar	55%	55%	53%					
Have you been avoiding social	74%	75%	71%	0.915	0.632			
contact out of concern of your								
unborn baby? (Yes answer)								

Table 4: Pregnancy-related questions

Tusto it Figurity foliated questions								
Characteristics	Total	Non -	Covid -	Chi ²	p Value Non-			
	(N=100)	Covid-19	19		Covid-19 vs.			
		(N=50)	(N=50)		Covid - 19			
Do you feel more prone to	59%	56%	63%	0.973	0.324			
COVID related complications								
while pregnant? (Yes answer)								
Do you regret being pregnant	29%	27%	39%	5.190	0.022			
during the pandemics?								
(Yes answer)								
My biggest pandemic - related								
concern during pregnancy is:								
(multiple choice)								
No concern	36%	12%	6%					
Fetal well being	66%	63%	77%					
Other children will being	13%	12%	17%					
Self - well being	9%	7%	16%	N/A	N/A			
Financial situation	11%	11%	10%					

Family members well being	28%	28%	31%		
Different option	7%	7%	6%		
My biggest fear related to					
healthcare service in the					
pandemics is (multiple choice)					
Limited healthcare access	36%	33%	44%		
Labor and delivery without a	40%	38%	44%		
companion					
Lack of family support due to	46%	46%	48%	N/A	N/A
hospital no-visit policy					
Virus transmission in the	2%	25%	17%		
hospital / healthcare center					
Have you been avoiding social	74%	75%	71%	0.915	0.632
contact out of concern of your					
unborn baby? (Yes answer)					

Table 5: WSAS and HAM-A score distribution

Anxiety	Total	Non-Covid	Covid	Chi ²	p Value
None	62%	65%	51%		
Mild	29%	28%	33%	8.838	0.032
Moderate	6%	5%	11%		
Servere	3%	2%	4%		

Table 6: Logistic regression analysis of factors influencing the severity of anxiety levels

Factor	aOR	95% CI	Chi ²	Pr >	Wald	Pr >
				ChiSq	Chi ²	ChiSq
Unemployment	2.2	1.1-4.2	5.3	0.0213	5.2	0.02
Previous anxiety or depression diagnosis	3.4	1.8-6.4	10.5	0.0012	14.4	0.0001
Increased social medial usage	2.4	1.5-3.7	9.9	0.0017	14.6	0.0001
Social contact avoidance	3.2	1.9-5.4	19.9	< 0.0001	17.7	< 0.0001
COVID-19 infection in pregnancy	2.4	1.4-4.0	12.6	0.0004	11.5	0.0007

Results

During the three-month period, 121 eligible women were invited to participate in the study: only 21 refused, with 100 women completing the questionnaires. Maternal sociodemographic characteristics, perinatal data and the overall results of the BAI score are shown in . Most women were non-white and living with a partner, and the majority reported educational level up to high school. BAI scores in late pregnancy indicate that 13.9% presented moderate and 9.6% severe anxiety. There were 3.2% of participants diagnosed with COVID-

19 previously during pregnancy and 5.2% with a family member diagnosed with COVID-19.

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the MedCalc® Statistical Software version 19.5.3 (MedCalc Software Ltd., Ostend, Belgium; 2020). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD), median (95% CI), or frequency and percentage (%). The associations of categorical variables with binary outcomes were analyzed using Fisher's exact test or the chi-square test when

appropriate. The Mann-Whitney U tests were applied to continuous variables with nonparametric distribution. The analyses were adjusted for potential confounders such as maternal age, nulliparity, ethnicity, educational level, marital status (yes/no), religious belief (yes/no), smoking (yes/no), consumption (ves/no) geographical location. The logistic regression model was used to investigate which variables were independently associated with increased anxiety. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Discussion

It is important for women to have adequate support, which includes health care workers and companions during labor and childbirth. It is up to midwives and obstetricians to help women regain self confidence and trust in caregivers. Educational programs should be designed to address pregnant women's perceptions of the COVID-19 pandemic in order to improve their mental well-being.

Study by Ayaz R et al aimed to compare the level of anxiety and depression in the same pregnant women before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The pregnant women continuing pregnancy who participated in the first study which was undertaken to clarify the factors associated with mental health of pregnant women before the COVID-19 pandemic, were included for the current study during the outbreak. This study indicated that COVID-19 outbreak affects the mental health of pregnant women negatively which leads to adverse birth outcomes. The level of anxiety and depression symptoms of pregnant women during the COVID-19 infection significantly increased. Healthcare professionals should establish comprehensive treatment plans for pregnant women who are highly vulnerable population to prevent mental trauma during the infectious disease outbreaks [8].

Smith RB *et al* did a randomized controlled trial to assess the effect of a consumer-based mobile meditation application (app) on

outpatient wellness in obstetric and gynecology patients during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Women were randomly assigned to the intervention group, who was prescribed a mobile meditation app for 30 days, or the control group, which received standard care. The primary outcome was self-reported perceived stress. Analysis was by intention-to-treat. Selfdepression and anxiety were reported significantly less in the intervention group at days 14 and 30. More than 80% of those in the intervention group reported high satisfaction with the meditation app, and 93% reported that mindfulness meditation improved stress.Outpatient obstetric and gynecology patients who used the prescribed consumerbased mobile meditation app during the had significant COVID-19 pandemic reductions in perceived stress, depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbance compared with standard care [9,10].

Farrell T et al studied the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the perinatal mental health of women. This study was undertaken with the aim of studying the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and related restrictions on perinatal mental health among women in Oatar.Depression and symptomatology was studied using the Patient Health Questionnaire Anxiety-Depression Scale (PHQ-ADS). Women's most commonly reported concerns as well as coping factors are discussed.Results indicate a marked increase in anxiety and depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, among pregnant and puerperal individuals, who constitute a vulnerable group with respect to mental health morbidity [11].

Psychiatric morbidity is the most common childbirth complication with 1 in 5 women experiencing a perinatal mood or anxiety disorder. The cost of this psychiatric morbidity is pervasive, contributing to devastating maternal health, child developmental, and economic consequences. Raiff EM *et al* studied the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-

19) pandemic, and associated changes to perinatal experiences, resulted in profound psychological reactions including increased anxiety, depression, stress disorders, and sleep disturbance, further impacting obstetric patients. Providers' mental health has been challenged by moral injury and shared trauma. This article reviews mental health outcomes in regard to the COVID-19 pandemic for obstetric patients and their providers [12].

Nanjundaswamy MH et al did a survey among obstetricians on COVID-19-related anxiety and concerns expressed by pregnant and postpartum women. Similar cross-sectional survey was done by Ng QJ et al on perception and feelings of antenatal women during COVID-19 pandemic. These papers from India describes anxieties that pregnant postpartum women reported to obstetricians during the COVID-19 pandemic. Of the 118 obstetricians who responded to an online survey, most had been contacted for concerns about hospital visits (72.65%), methods of protection (60.17%), the safety of the infant (52.14%), anxieties related to social media messages (40.68%) and contracting the infection (39.83%). Obstetricians felt the need for resources such as videos, websites and counselling skills to handle COVID-related anxiety among perinatal women [13].

Schmitt N did a scoping review on effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on maternity staff in 2020This scoping review gives comprehensive overview of the effecs the Covid-19 pandemic had on maternity staff. Structural challenges (the first main topic) were divided into five subtopics: staff shortages and restructuring; personal protective equipment and tests; switching to virtual communication; handling women with a positive SARS-CoV-2 infection; and excluding accompanying The persons. pandemic also strongly affected the staff's mental health . Several studies indicated increased depression, anxiety, stress levels, and risk of post-traumatic stress symptoms, although the crisis also generated strong occupational solidarity. Care for pregnant, birthing, and breast-feeding women cannot be interrupted, even during a pandemic crisis that requires social distancing. Maternity staff sometimes had to abandon normal standards of obstetric care and were confronted with challenges and enormous structural adjustments that did not leave them unscathed: their mental health suffered considerably. Researchers should study maternity staff's experiences during the pandemic to prepare recommendations that will protect staff during future epidemics [14].

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

Nomura R studied the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal anxiety in Brazil.

This was a national multicenter cross-sectional study performed in 10 different public university hospitals in Brazil. The inclusion criteria were: maternal age more than 18 years; gestational age more than 36 weeks at childbirth; single alive newborn without malformations; and absence of mental disorders. Authors applied a structured questionnaire to explore the knowledge and concerns about COVID-19. Maternal anxiety was assessed using the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI). Moderate or severe maternal anxiety was independently associated with the fear of being unaccompanied .Conclusion was that COVID-19 pandemic has a significant impact on maternal anxiety [15].

Mappa I et al did a a prospectic observational study on effects of coronavirus 19 pandemic on maternal anxiety during pregnancy. The aim of this study was to evaluate the psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on pregnant women in Italy. COVID-19 pandemic induces a doubling of the number of women who reached abnormal level of anxiety. These findings validate the role of the remote use of questionnaire for identifying women at higher risk of anxiety disorders allowing the activation of support procedures. Another study by Mappa I et al whose objective was to evaluate the propensity of a population of Italian women to receive the vaccine and its

psychological impact. The majority of pregnant women considered have a positive attitude to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Vaccine campaign seems to increase the maternal level of anxiety and this increase is more marked with a negative attitude toward the vaccine [16,17].

Ravaldi C et al batted for pregnant women had their concerns and birth expectations during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. The aim was to explore the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Italian pregnant women, especially regarding concerns and birth expectations. Across the country, women were concerned about COVID-19 and a history of psychological disorders was significantly associated with higher concerns (p < 0.05). A previous pregnancy loss did not influence women's concerns. Women's expectations and regarding childbirth changed concerns significantly as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. Women with a history of psychological disorders need particular attention as they seem to experience higher levels of concern [18].

Durankuş F did a preliminary study on effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and depressive symptoms in pregnant women. This study illustrated the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the depression and anxiety levels of pregnant women. Our results point to an urgent need to provide psychosocial support to this population during the crisis. Otherwise, adverse events may occur during pregnancy and thus affect both mother and fetus [19].

Taubman–Ben-Ari O *et al* studied distress and anxiety associated with COVID-19 among Jewish and Arab pregnant women in Israel. In this study, authors sought to explore the psychological distress and COVID-19-related anxiety of pregnant women during the crisis. Although COVID-19-related anxieties were shared by pregnant women characterised by diverse sociodemographic variables, with very small nuances, Arab women were more anxious about each of the issues than Jewish women. Findings highlight the importance of

assessing anxiety and distress in pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as the need to be attentive to the double stress of pregnant women in times of crisis and to the potential vulnerability of subgroups, such as cultural minorities [20].

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

In this study, the models were constructed with maternal anxiety categorized as minimal or mild versus moderate or severe and also not severe versus severe because this level of anxiety was considered relevant for the population and the proposed model was intended to enable the evaluation of factors associated with increased anxiety. The relationship between severe anxiety and women's educational level possibly indicates the influence of social inequalities on access to information and perception of severity.

Conclusion

Many questions remain unanswered regarding the ongoing pandemic and a scenario of uncertainty continues. Highlighting mental health problems, especially anxiety among the general population and among pregnant women, is of paramount importance during public health emergencies. Our findings show that the impact of COVID-19 has been significant on maternal anxiety, especially with concerns about limitations for a companion during childbirth and breastfeeding safety. Lack of a partner, high educational level, consumption of alcohol and a family member with COVID-19 increased the risk for higher levels of anxiety. As India was registering a new increase in COVID-19 cases, we urged authorities to prioritize women's health and consider immediate interventions in order to provide recommendations to reduce the impacts of the pandemic on mental health.

What this study add to existing knowledge

There is huge importance of assessing anxiety and distress in pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as the need to be attentive to the double stress of pregnant women in times of crisis and to the potential

vulnerability of subgroups, such as cultural minorities.

References

- 1. American Psychiatric Association (APA). (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Author. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
- 2. Axelrod, J. (2020). Coronavirus may infect up to 70% of world's population, expert warns. Retrieved from https://www.cbsnews.com/news/coronavirus-infection-outbreakworldwide-virus-expert-warning-today-2020-03-02/
- 3. Balaratnasingam, S., & Janca, A. Mass hysteria revisited. Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 2006:19, 171–174.
- 4. Barger, P., Behrend, T. S., Sharek, D. J., & Sinar, E. F. I-O and the crowd: Frequently asked questions about using Mechanical Turk for research. The Industrial Organizational Psychologist, 2011:49, 11–18.
- 5. Barlow, D. H. (1991). Disorders of emotion. Psychological Inquiry, 2(1), 58–71.
- 6. Standard guidelines for medico-legal autopsy in COVID-19 deaths in India, New Delhi, India 2020 issued by ICMR.
- Ware, J. E. (1993) SF-36 Health Survey Manual and Interpretation Guide. Boston, MA: Nimrod PresS Serenity ProgrammeTM - www.serene.me.uk -Work and Social Adjustment Scale -WSAS
- 8. Ayaz R, Hocaoğlu M, Günay T, devrim Yardımcı O, Turgut A, Karateke A. Anxiety and depression symptoms in the same pregnant women before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of perinatal medicine. 2020 Nov 1;48(9):965-70.
- 9. Smith RB, Mahnert ND, Foote J, Saunders KT, Mourad J, Huberty J. Mindfulness effects in obstetric and gynecology patients during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a randomized

- controlled trial. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2021 Jun;137(6):1032.
- 10. Farrell T, Reagu S, Mohan S, Elmidany R, Qaddoura F, Ahmed EE, Corbett G, Lindow S, Abuyaqoub SM, Alabdulla MA. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the perinatal mental health of women. Journal of Perinatal Medicine. 2020 Nov 1;48(9):971-6.
- 11. Raiff EM, D'Antonio KM, Mai C, Monk C. Mental health in obstetric patients and providers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2022 Mar;65(1):203.
- 12. Nanjundaswamy MH, Shiva L, Desai G, Ganjekar S, Kishore T, Ram U, Satyanarayana V, Thippeswamy H, Chandra PS. COVID-19-related anxiety and concerns expressed by pregnant and postpartum women—a survey among obstetricians. Archives of women's mental health. 2020 Dec;23(6):787-90.
- 13. Ng QJ, Koh KM, Tagore S, Mathur M. Perception and feelings of antenatal women during COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey. Ann. Acad. Med. Singap. 2020 Aug 1;49:543-52.
- 14. Schmitt N, Mattern E, Cignacco E, Seliger G, König-Bachmann M, Striebich S, Ayerle GM. Effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on maternity staff in 2020–a scoping review. BMC health services research. 2021 Dec;21(1):1-25.
- 15. Nomura R, Tavares I, Ubinha AC, Costa ML, Opperman ML, Brock M, Trapani Jr A, Damasio L, Reis N, Borges V, Zaconeta A. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal anxiety in Brazil. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021 Feb 6;10(4):620.
- 16. Mappa I, Distefano FA, Rizzo G. Effects of coronavirus 19 pandemic on maternal anxiety during pregnancy: a prospectic observational study. Journal of Perinatal Medicine. 2020 Jul 1;48(6):545-50.
- 17. Mappa I, Luviso M, Distefano FA, Carbone L, Maruotti GM, Rizzo G. Women perception of SARS-CoV-2

- vaccination during pregnancy and subsequent maternal anxiety: a prospective observational study. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2021 Apr 9:1-4.
- 18. Ravaldi C, Wilson A, Ricca V, Homer C, Vannacci A. Pregnant women voice their concerns and birth expectations during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. Women and Birth. 2021 Jul 1;34(4):335-43.
- 19. Durankuş F, Aksu E. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and

depressive symptoms in pregnant women: a preliminary study. The Journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine. 2022 Jan 17;35(2):205-11.

ISSN: 0975-5160, p-ISSN: 2820-2651

20. Taubman–Ben-Ari O, Chasson M, Abu Sharkia S, Weiss E. Distress and anxiety associated with COVID-19 among Jewish and Arab pregnant women in Israel. Journal of reproductive and infant psychology. 2020 May 26;38(3):340-8.