

# A Prospective Assessment of the Functional Outcome of Arthroscopic Suture Pull out Fixation of Displaced Tibial Spine Avulsion Fracture

**Balmiki Kumar Das**

Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Icare Institute of Medical Sciences and Research & Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy Hospital, Haldia, West Bengal, India

---

Received: 10-05-2022 / Revised: 19-06-2022 / Accepted: 16-07-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Balmiki Kumar Das

Conflict of interest: Nil

---

## Abstract

**Aim:** The present study was conducted to assess the functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture.

**Methods:** The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, ICARE institute of Medical sciences and Research & Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy Hospital, Haldia, West Bengal, India over a period of 1 year with a sample size of 50.

**Results:** Total 50 patients with tibial spine avulsion were operated on with arthroscopic pull out suture technique. The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%). In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females.

**Conclusion:** The present study concluded that Arthroscopic suture pull-out fixation for type III and IV tibial spine avulsion shows excellent outcomes without any significant complications.

**Keywords:** Arthroscopic Suture Pull Out Fixation, Tibial Spine Avulsion Fracture

---

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

---

## Introduction

Tibial spine or anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) bony avulsion is, usually, a result of low-velocity injuries, such as fall from a bicycle or motorcycle and sports. [1] It occurs when an axially loaded knee undergoes hyperextension, and the femur rotates externally. Meyers and McKeever classified avulsion fractures into three types. [2] Type I as undisplaced, type II as partially displaced with intact posterior hinge, and type III as completely displaced.

They are believed to be more common in children and adolescents, [2,3] but recent literature suggests that the incidence in

adults may be higher than previously thought. [4] As the tibial spine is the site of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) attachment, tibial spine avulsion may be associated with ACL insufficiency. Concomitant injury to a collateral ligament and menisci may also occur, and there is evidence that associated injuries are common in adults. [5]

A complication of such untreated and displaced type III and type IV avulsion fracture include nonunion and malunion, which may lead to significant disability in the form of flexion deformity, loss of extension, instability. Hence it is important

to reduce accurately fix type III and type IV and prevent complication. [6]

Interestingly, the outcome of arthroscopic fixation in children and adolescents is usually satisfactory, but the results in adults are less predictable. [7] Hunter and Willis [8] found that the younger the patient is, the better the outcome after arthroscopic fixation for tibial eminence fracture. In literature, the reported complication of tibial spine fracture includes anterior knee instability, extension loss, quadriceps weakness, and chondromalacia. [9]

Berg [10] reported 2 cases in which postoperative arthrofibrosis developed, and Montgomery et al. [11] reported that 9 of 17 patients (53%) had severe difficulty in regaining motion postoperatively. Osti et al. [12] studied 10 patients and found no extension deficit but reported a rate of laxity of 30% (3 of 10 patients) with fair or poor results.

The present study was conducted to assess the functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture.

## Methods

The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, ICARE institute of Medical sciences and Research & Dr. Bidhan Chandra Roy Hospital, Haldia, West Bengal, India over a period of 1 year with a sample size of 50.

## Methodology

Patients who had pain and disability resulting from tibial spine avulsion inactive patient type II, type III and type IV, Age: < 60 years, the patient must be able to use crutches / walker, the patient should have sufficient muscle strength and motivation to carry out a rehabilitation program, closed injuries were included in the study. Patients with Type I tibial spine avulsion according to Meyers and McKeever classification, associated with

Proximal tibia fracture, associated with Multiple ligaments injuries, present or past history of inflammatory arthritis, open injuries, previous operated or infected knee for any reason were excluded from the study. Diagnostic arthroscopy was performed under spinal or general anesthesia through the standard anterolateral portal. The joint and fracture bed was cleared of hematoma using continuous irrigation. Then, the standard anteromedial portal was established. Chondral and meniscal injuries were assessed and managed as per established guidelines. The tibial spine avulsion was identified, and the type of fracture was confirmed by probing. Next, 1-inch-long skin incision was made parallel and medial to the tibial tuberosity.

The remaining dissection was done with care to arrive up to the periosteum protecting the pes anserinus tendons and underlying medial collateral ligament. The tip of the ACL tibial guide was subsequently placed via an anteromedial (AM) portal on the medial-most edge and at the equator of the avulsion crater. Next, a tibial tunnel was drilled using a 1.8 mm K-wire.

Once the K-wire tip was visualized emerging out at the crater edge, the tibial guide was disengaged, and the K-wire was left in situ. A similar step was performed for the lateral edge of the crater with another K-wire keeping 1 cm of the bone bridge intact between two tunnels over the tibia. Once the needle tip was visualized on the lateral side of ACL, the PDS suture was advanced through the lateral PDS loop. The advanced end of the PDS was pulled out of the joint via AM portal using an arthroscopic grasper. Frequently, the suture grasper was used to pull the PDS out of the lateral loop in a case where it did not enter into the lateral loop. A similar step was repeated by taking a bite through the anterior third of the ACL substance, and PDS was pulled out via AM portal. Next, the shuttling technique replaced the

two PDS sutures by ethibond. Then, the needle and PDS loops were pulled out of the tunnel, which further pulls the ethibond sutures out of the joint through the tibial

tunnels. Ethibond sutures were tied one by one over the bone bridge or suture button keeping the knee in 30-degree flexion.

**Results**

**Table 1: Age and gender distribution of subjects**

Age group(years)	N (%)
18-30	30 (60%)
31-40	12 (24%)
>40 years	8 (16%)
Total	50 (100)
Gender	N (%)
Male	40 (80%)
Female	10 (20%)
Total	50 (100)

50 patients with tibial spine avulsion were operated on with arthroscopic pull out suture technique. The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%). In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females.

**Table 2: Mode of trauma distribution**

Mode of trauma distribution	N (%)
Fall from Cycle	5 (10%)
Fall from Motorbike	30 (60%)
Fall While Playing	15 (30%)
Total	50 (100)

10% had fall from the cycle, 60% had fallen from motorbike and 30% had fall while playing.

**Table 3: Status of Physis distribution**

Status of Physis distribution	N (%)
Closed	32 (64%)
Open	18 (36%)
Total	50 (100)

Status of Physis in 64% was closed and opens in 36%.

**Table 4: Meyers and McKeever's classification distribution**

Meyers and McKeever's classification distribution	N (%)
Type III	35 (70%)
Type IV	15 (30%)
Total	50 (100)

In the study 70% had Type III and 30% had Type IV Meyers and McKeever's classification.

**Table 5: Post op Lysholm score distribution**

Post op Lysholm score	Mean±SD	p-value
3 months	86.14±1.46	<0.001
6 months	96.84±2.05	<0.001
9 months	96.14±1.36	<0.001

At 3 months, the mean Post op Lysholm score was 86.14±1.46, at 6 months was 96.84±2.05 and at 12 months 96.14±1.36. There was a significant increase in Post op Lysholm score at 6

months and 12 months. At 12 months when compared to 6 months Post op Lysholm score, there was no significant increase in Post op Lysholm score.

**Table 6: Complication distribution**

Complication	N (%)
Nil	46 (92%)
Post Op Knee Stiffness	4 (8%)
Total	50 (100)

8% subjects had Post Op Knee Stiffness.

### Discussion

Tibial eminence fractures commonly occur in children and adolescents and are less common in skeletally mature individuals. [13,14] In adults the treatment option for displaced tibial eminence fractures are, suture or hardware fixation of the avulsed fragment and ACL reconstruction. Native ACL should be retained so as to maintain the proprioceptive function and neuromuscular control provided by the presence of mechanoreceptors in ACL. [15] Both sutures and hardware fixation techniques have been studied in cadavers.

Although variety of implants (screws, staples, wires, anchors, and sutures) have been used for arthroscopic fixation of tibial spine, but currently arthroscopic suture pull-out fixation seems to be the most preferred fixation method in all age groups. [16-18]

In the present study, The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%). In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females. 10% had fall from the cycle, 60% had fallen from motorbike and 30% had fall while playing. Status of Physis in 64% was closed and opens in 36%. In the study 70% had Type III and 30% had Type IV Meyers and McKeever's classification. At 3 months, the mean Post op Lysholm score was  $86.14 \pm 1.46$ , at 6 months was  $96.84 \pm 2.05$  and at 12 months  $96.14 \pm 1.36$ . There was a significant increase in Post op Lysholm score at 6 months and 12 months. At 12 months when compared to 6 months Post op Lysholm score, there was no significant

increase in Post op Lysholm score. 8% subjects had Post Op Knee Stiffness.

Tsukada et al studied that there was significant anterior translation with cyclic loading in fractures treated with pull out suture compared with screw fixation. [19] On the contrary, Bong et al, in their study of screw versus pull out suture fixation concluded that fibre wire fixation was stronger than screw fixation. [20]

Ballal MM et al found that fractures were united within 3 months after surgery in all 30 cases.

The Lysholm score was improved to  $98(98.17 \pm 1.599)$ . In 2 patients, post-operative knee stiffness was noted and arthrolysis was done for the same. All patients returned to their preinjury physical activities at the last follow-up. [21]

Sapre V et al evaluated all patients at 18 months after the surgery. Radiographs showed that all fracture healed anatomically at an average duration of 3 months after surgery. At the final follow-up, all patients reported no symptoms of instability, such as giving – way episodes, clinical signs of anterior cruciate ligament deficiency were negative. The mean Lysholm score was 96.9 (range 91-100), mean IKDC score was 87.9 (range 83-93), and all patients achieved their preinjury Tegner activity levels. [22,23]

### Conclusion

Arthroscopic reduction and fixation of the avulsed tibial spine using high strength non-absorbable sutures using I.V. cannula needle as penetrator and suture retriever is a simple and reproducible technique,

which gives excellent clinical outcome in all age groups with any significant complications. The present study concluded that Arthroscopic suture pull-out fixation for type III and IV tibial spine avulsion shows excellent outcomes without any significant complications.

## References

1. Kendall NS, Hsu SY, Chan KM. Fracture of the tibial spine in adults and children. A review of 31 cases. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British volume.* 1992 Nov;74(6):848-52.
2. Meyers MH, McKeever FM. Fracture of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. *JBJS.* 1959 Mar 1;41(2):209-22.
3. Zaricznyj B. Avulsion fracture of the tibial eminence: treatment by open reduction and pinning. *JBJS.* 1977 Dec 1;59(8):1111-4.
4. Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, Sasaki T, Toh S. Magnetic resonance imaging AIDS in detecting concomitant injuries in patients with tibial spine fractures. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®.* 2005 May 1; 434:207-12.
5. McLennan JG. The role of arthroscopic surgery in the treatment of fractures of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume.* 1982 Aug;64(4):477-80.
6. Panni AS, Milano G, Tartarone M, Fabbri C. Arthroscopic treatment of malunited and nonunited avulsion fractures of the anterior tibial spine. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 1998 Apr 1;14(3):233-40.
7. Ahn JH, Yoo JC. Clinical outcome of arthroscopic reduction and suture for displaced acute and chronic tibial spine fractures. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy.* 2005 Mar;13(2):116-21.
8. Hunter RE, Willis JA. Arthroscopic fixation of avulsion fractures of the tibial eminence: technique and outcome. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 2004 Feb 1;20(2):113-21.
9. Baxter MP, Wiley JJ. Fractures of the tibial spine in children. An evaluation of knee stability. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British volume.* 1988 Mar;70(2):228-30.
10. Berg EE. Comminuted tibial eminence anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures: failure of arthroscopic treatment. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 1993 Aug 1;9(4):446-50.
11. Montgomery KD, Cavanaugh J, Cohen S, Wickiewicz TL, Warren RF, Blevens F. Motion complications after arthroscopic repair of anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures in the adult. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 2002 Feb 1;18(2):171-6.
12. Osti L, Merlo F, Liu SH, Bocchi L. A simple modified arthroscopic procedure for fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 2000 May 1;16(4):379-82.
13. LaFrance RM, Giordano B, Goldblatt J, Voloshin I, Maloney M. Pediatric tibial eminence fractures: evaluation and management. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.* 2010 Jul 1;18(7):395-405.
14. Lubowitz JH, Elson WS, Guttman D. Part II: arthroscopic treatment of tibial plateau fractures: intercondylar eminence avulsion fractures. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 2005 Jan 1;21(1):86-92.
15. Schultz RA, Miller DC, Kerr CS, Micheli L. Mechanoreceptors in human cruciate ligaments. A histological study. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume.* 1984 Sep 1;66(7):1072-6.
16. Huang TW, Hsu KY, Cheng CY, Chen LH, Wang CJ, Chan YS, Chen WJ. Arthroscopic suture fixation of tibial

- eminence avulsion fractures. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*. 2008 Nov 1;24(11):1232-8.
17. Tudisco C, Giovarruscio R, Febo A, Savarese E, Bisicchia S. Intercondylareminence avulsion fracture in children: long-termfollow-up of 14 cases at the end of skeletal growth. *J PediatrOrthop B* 2010;19(5):403–408
  18. Wagih AM. Arthroscopic treatment of avulsed tibial spine fracturesusing a transosseous sutures technique. *Acta Orthop Belg*2015;81(1):141–146
  19. Tsukada H, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, Hiraga Y, Toh S. A biomechanical comparison of repair techniques for anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fracture under cyclic loading. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*. 2005 Oct 1;21(10):1197-201.
  20. Bong MR, Romero A, Kubiak E, Iesaka K, Heywood CS, Kummer F, Rosen J, Jazrawi L. Suture versus screw fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures: a biomechanical comparison. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*. 2005 Oct 1;21(10):1172-6.
  21. Ballal MM, Nithin SM, Prakashappa TH, Nagaraju H, Bharath MC, Ashwin S. Prospective study of functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture. *International Journal of Orthopaedics*.2022;8(2):41-6.
  22. Sapre V, Dwidmuthe SC, Bagaria V, Yadav S. Functional outcome in tibial spine fracture treated with arthroscopic pull through suture technique. *Journal of Orthopedics, Traumatology and Rehabilitation*. 2015 Jan 1;8(1):6.
  23. Balde A. K., A T. J., MD S., TM B., A, M., K B., & S D. Hyperopia in young people: risk factors and prognosis at the CADES/O of the Donka National Hospital Guinea. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2022;5(8): 2135–2144.