

A Study Was to Determine TLC and CRP Efficacy in Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis: A Comparative Study

Prabhat Ranjan¹, Sanjay Kumar², Shashi Dharan³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Lord Buddha Koshi Medical College and Hospital, Saharsa, Bihar, India

²Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Shri Ramkrishna Institute of Medical Sciences and Sanaka Hospital, Durgapur West Bengal, India

³Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Shri Ramkrishna Institute of Medical Sciences and Sanaka Hospital, Durgapur West Bengal, India

Received: 11-06-2023 / Revised: 19-07-2023 / Accepted: 12-08-2023

Corresponding Author: Dr. Sanjay Kumar

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to determine TLC and CRP efficacy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Methods: The study was conducted in Department of Surgery. The data was studied for the period of 1 year. A total number of 200 cases were taken diagnosed as acute appendicitis.

Results: 62 (31%) were female and 138 (68%) were male. Patient's age group ranged from 14 years to 59 years. Maximum group of patients belonged to 21-30 years (68 patients i.e., 34%). Group A had inflamed appendix (75%) and the negative appendectomy rate in this study was 25% in Group B. Distribution of cases by histopathology correlation in sex Group A 100 males and 46 females had inflamed appendix. Group B 38 males and 16 females had normal appendix. Among 150 Inflamed appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 120 cases and normal in 30 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 14 cases and normal in 36 cases. Among 150 inflamed appendix cases, TLC was found to be raised in 118 cases and normal in 32 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 20 cases and normal in 30 cases.

Conclusion: TLC and CRP are useful in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Appendicitis is common in adult and children. In the present study association of CRP and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant, but it cannot replace surgeon's clinical acumen.

Keywords: CRP, TLC, appendicitis

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

In acute appendicitis, TLC and neutrophil counts are the most frequently used laboratory tests. Most of the studies conclude that 60-90% of all patients with acute appendicitis have total and differential leucocyte counts suggestive of the diagnosis. [1] CRP is an acute phase reactant, synthesized by liver which exhibits an exponential rise in serum concentration within eight hours in bacterial infection. [2,3] It also appears in the sera of individuals in response to a variety of inflammatory conditions and tissue necrosis. Many reports have investigated the value of CRP in improving the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis with conflicting results. [4,5] CRP was identified in 1930 by Tillet and Francis and is regarded as the acute-phase protein. It has been studied as a screening device for inflammation, a marker for disease activity and as a diagnostic adjunct. Physiologically, CRP enhances cell-mediated immunity by

promoting phagocytosis, accelerating chemotaxis and activating platelets. CRP is a reliable early indicator of inflammation or injury. [6,7] C-reactive protein (CRP) was first found in the serum of patients suffering from pneumonia caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

Together with other acute phase-proteins, the serum level of CRP (C-reactive protein) rises in response to any tissue injury. [8] It also increases in response to infections (bacterial and viral) and in non-infectious conditions like myocardial infarction, malignancies and rheumatic disorders. The levels of CRP (C-reactive protein) usually increase around 8 hours after the onset of injury and usually reach their peak levels around 2-4 days and are found to be elevated as long as there is persisting infection or injury. [9] Due to its short half-life (4-7 hours) serum CRP (C-reactive protein) concentration rapidly

declines as the acute inflammatory process subsides. There have been many studies which have evaluated the association of raised CRP (C-reactive protein) levels in acute appendicitis, but with conflicting results. [10]

Various diagnostic modalities such as radiological, laparoscopy and laboratory methods have been reported to reduce the incidence of negative exploration. Leukocyte count has been useful adjunct for diagnosis; however, the utility of this test has been poorly characterized. A more recently suggested laboratory evaluation is determination of C-reactive protein level. CRP is an acute phase reactant synthesized by the liver in response to tissue injury. The measurement of CRP is available, easy to perform and economical. As CRP is an inflammatory marker, it is expected to rise in case of acute appendicitis. Many workers have investigated the value of CRP in improving the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis. A multivariate analysis showed that serial CRP measurement can improve the accuracy of diagnosing acute appendicitis. [11]

The aim of the present study was to determine TLC and CRP efficacy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted in Department of Surgery at Lord Buddha Koshi Medical College and Hospital Saharsa, Bihar, India. The data was studied for the period of 1 year. A total number of 200 cases were taken diagnosed as acute appendicitis.

Patients above 12 years of age who were diagnosed as acute appendicitis on the basis of presenting symptoms and signs were enrolled. Those cases like patients with RIF pain treated conservatively. Patients with extreme age, Pain in RIF with pregnancy, immunocompromised status, pre-

existing disease and patients suffering from other acute inflammatory condition were excluded from the study.

All patients were subjected to routine blood investigations in addition to pre-operative imaging like ultrasonography. Informed consent was obtained from all registered cases. TLC and CRP were evaluated in all patients who planned for appendectomy. Appendectomies were performed independent of results of TLC and CRP levels. The laboratory staff was blinded. Appendix specimen sent to histopathological examination.

The records of all patients were accessed from pathology department with histopathological results. This was used to get the incidence of negative appendectomy and then on these features patients were divided into two groups as.

- Group A: Inflamed/perforated/gangrenous appendix
- Group B: Normal appendix

For statistical purpose this 2 groups were used. The normal TLC and CRP values, raised TLC, raised CRP, and raised both TLC and CRP values calculated in each of these groups. The sensitivity and specificity of these tests were calculated according to following formulas.

Sensitivity = true positive/true positives = false positives

Specificity = true negative/true negatives = false positives

The Cut off value for TLC 11X10⁶/L. This value was selected arbitrarily as it corresponds to elevated TLC. The CRP levels were calculated and cut off value was taken 1.7mg/dl.¹⁵

Results

Table 1: Age and sex distribution

Age	Male	Female	Total
12-20	34	18	52
21-30	50	18	68
31-40	30	20	50
41-50	20	4	24
51-60	4	2	6
Total	138	62	200

62 (31%) were female and 138 (68%) were male. Patient's age group ranged from 14 years to 59 years. Maximum group of patients belonged to 21-30 years (68 patients i.e., 34%).

Table 2: Distribution of cases

Histopathology of appendix	No. of patients	Percentage
Group A	150	75
Group B	50	25
Total	200	100

Group A had inflamed appendix (75%) and the negative appendectomy rate in this study was 25% in Group B.

Table 3: Distribution of cases by histopathology correlation in sex

HPE type	Number	Male	Female
Group A	146	100	46
Group B	54	38	16
Total	200	138	62

Distribution of cases by histopathology correlation in sex Group A 100 males and 46 females had inflamed appendix. Group B 38 males and 16 females had normal appendix.

Table 4: CRP, TLC and histopathology correlation

	CRP		Total
	Raised	Normal	
Group A	120	30	150
Group B	14	36	50
Total	134	66	200
	TLC		Total
	Raised	Normal	
Group A	118	32	150
Group B	20	30	50
Total	138	62	200

Among 150 Inflamed appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 120 cases and normal in 30 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 14 cases and normal in 36 cases. Among 150 inflamed appendix cases, TLC was found to be raised in 118 cases and normal in 32 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 20 cases and normal in 30 cases.

Discussion

Acute appendicitis is still one of the most-commonest surgical emergencies. [12] The diagnosis is primarily clinical. A typical patient presents with right lower abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting with tenderness or guarding rigidity in right iliac fossa on examination. However, these signs and symptoms are not very specific for appendicitis. [13] The picture is more confusing due to variable positions of appendix. Despite of advances in diagnostic modalities the diagnosis still doubtful in 30- 40% of cases. [14] The definite diagnosis of appendicitis still remains a clinical decision, augmented by appropriate tests. TLC has remained an important factor in definite diagnosis of appendicitis. Various studies have shown that this can be very nonspecific at times. [15] Recently interest has grown in other inflammatory markers which could be helpful in diagnosing appendicitis. CRP is one of them.

62 (31%) were female and 138 (68%) were male. Patient's age group ranged from 14 years to 59 years. Maximum group of patients belonged to 21-30 years (68 patients i.e., 34%). Appendicitis is mainly a disease of adolescents and young adult. [16] Group A had inflamed appendix (75%) and the negative appendicectomy rate in this study is 25%

Group B. Distribution of cases by histopathology correlation in sex Group A 100 males and 46 females had inflamed appendix. Group B 38 males and 16 females had normal appendix. Among 150 Inflamed appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 120 cases and normal in 30 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 14 cases and normal in 36 cases. Among 150 inflamed appendix cases, TLC was found to be raised in 118 cases and normal in 32 cases. Among 50 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 20 cases and normal in 30 cases. In the present study, leucocyte count was a better laboratory test than CRP value in diagnosing uncomplicated acute appendicitis, whereas CRP value was superior to leucocyte count in resecting appendiceal perforation or abscess formation. These results are in accordance with earlier reports. [17-20] Previous and present results suggest that increased leucocyte count is usually the earliest laboratory test to indicate appendiceal inflammation. Only during protracted inflammation are levels of acute-phase reactants such as CRP increased. The leucocyte count does not, however, increase any more during protracted inflammation such as in the case of appendiceal perforation or abscess formation, as reported earlier [17,18] and confirmed in the present study.

False negative reactions usually occur early in the infective episode, the reasons are due to technical pitfalls in laboratory testing. Because CRP levels can increase very rapidly and dramatically, the latex agglutination assay is subject to false negative reactions due to a prozone-type phenomenon in which all of the antibody combining sites on the latex particles are bound to as excess of CRP, so no crosslinking (agglutination) can occur. Thus, at the

end it should be stressed that serum CRP estimation does not replace clinical diagnosis but is useful adjunct in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Serum CRP value should be interpreted in combination with clinical findings and leukocyte count. According to study done by Goonroos JM et al TLC was the test of choice in diagnosing uncomplicated acute appendicitis, however it's a poor predictor of protracted inflammation. [21] This supported in study by David and Berchley et al. [22] The TLC count when done individually distinguishes normal appendix from uncomplicated acute appendicitis but it does not distinguish uncomplicated from complicated appendicitis. Coleman C et al reported that TLC is a poor predictor of severity of disease. [23] Vermenum et al after evaluating 221 patients concluded that TLC count did not significantly influence the surgical decision making. [24]

Conclusion

TLC and CRP are useful in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Appendicitis is common in adult and children. In the present study association of CRP and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant, but it cannot replace surgeon's clinical acumen.

References

- Hoffmann J, Rasmussen OO: Aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* 1989; 76: 774-79.
- Cardall T, Glasser J, Guss DA. Clinical value of the total white blood cell count and temperature in the evaluation of patients with suspected appendicitis. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2004, 11(10):1021-27.
- Yang HR, Wang YC, Chung PK, Chen WK, Jeng LB, Chen RJ. Role of leukocyte count, neutrophil percentage, and C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in the elderly. *Am Surg.* 2005;71(4):344-47.
- Eryilmaz R, Sahin M, Alimoglu The value of C-reactive protein and leucocyte count in preventing negative appendectomies. *Ulus Trauma Derg.* 2001;7:142-45.
- Kamal A. Significance of total leucocyte count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children. *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences.* 2011;9(1):90-93.
- Tillet WS, Francis T, Jr. Serological Reactions in pneumonia with a non-protein somatic fraction of pneumococcus. *J Exp Med.* 1930;52:56
- Pepys MB, Baltz ML. Acute phase proteins with special reference to C - reactive protein and related proteins and serum amyloid. A protein. *Adv Immunol.* 1983;34:141-211.
- Stefanutti G, Ghirardo V, Gamba P. Inflammatory markers for acute appendicitis in children: are they helpful? *Journal of pediatric surgery.* 2007;42(5):773-76.
- Franke C, Bohner H, Yang Q, et al. Ultrasonography for diagnosis of acute appendicitis: Results of a prospective multicenter trial. *World J Surg.* 1999;23:141.
- Shakhatreh. Accuracy of CRP in diagnosis of acute appendicitis, *Med- Arh.* 2000; 54(2): 109-10.
- Grönroos JM, Forsström JJ, Irjala K, Nevalainen TJ. Phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Clin chem.* 1994; 40(9):1757-60.
- Asfar S, Safar H, Khoursheed M, Dashti H, Al-Bader A. Would measurement of C-reactive protein reduce the rate of negative exploration for acute appendicitis?. *J Royal Coll Surg Edinburgh.* 2000;45(1):21-4.
- Jess P, Bjerregaard B, Brynitz S, Holst-Christensen J, Kalaja E, Lund-Kristensen J. Acute appendicitis: prospective trial concerning diagnostic accuracy and complications. *Am J Surg.* 1981;141(2):232-4.
- Pieper R, Kager L, Näsman P. Acute appendicitis: a clinical study of 1018 cases of emergency appendectomy. *Acta Chirurgica Scandinavica.* 1982;148(1):51-62.
- Hoffmann J, Rasmussen O. Aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *BJS.* 1989;76(8):774-9.
- Delany HM. Appendicitis: trends and risks, 1996. *Journal of the Association for Academic Minority Physicians: the official publication of the Association for Academic Minority Physicians.* 1996;7(3):70-7.
- Grönroos JM, Forsström JJ, Irjala K, Nevalainen TJ. Phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Clinical chemistry.* 1994 Sep 1;40(9):1757-60.
- Marchand A, Van Lente F, Galen RS. The assessment of laboratory tests in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology.* 1983 Sep 1;80(3):369-74.
- Eriksson S, Granström L, Carlström A. The diagnostic value of repetitive preoperative analyses of C-reactive protein and total leucocyte count in patients with suspected acute appendicitis. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology.* 1994 Jan 1;29(12):1145-9.
- Gurleyik E, Gurleyik G, Unalmiser S. Accuracy of serum C-reactive protein measurements in diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with surgeon's clinical impression. *Diseases of the colon & rectum.* 1995 Dec;38:1270-4.
- Chang FC, Hogle HH, Welling DR. The fate of the negative appendix. *Am J Surg.* 1973 D;126(6):752-4.
- Webster DP, Schneider CN, Cheche S, Daar AA, Miller G. Differentiating acute appendicitis from pelvic inflammatory disease in women

- of childbearing age. Am J Emerg Med. 1993; 11(6):569-72.
23. Shakhathreh HS. The accuracy of C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with that of clinical diagnosis. Medicinski arhiv. 2000;54(2):109-10.
 24. Wilcox RT, Traverso LW. Have the evaluation and treatment of acute appendicitis changed with new technology?. Surgical Clinics. 1997 ;77(6):1355-70.