

A Cross Sectional Study Examining the Incidence of the Supracondylar Spur of the Humerus in the North Indian PopulationRadhika Raman¹, S. K. Karn²¹Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, DMCH, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga²Professor & Head, Department of Anatomy, DMCH, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga

Received: 14-02-2024 / Revised: 17-03-2024 / Accepted: 11-04-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. S. K. Karn

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Background and Objectives: The supracondylar spur of the humerus is a hook-like, bony spine that protrudes distally from the humerus's antero-medial surface. It is located around 5 cm from the medial epicondyle. Its length ranges between 2 and 20 mm. Ligament of Struthers may be used to connect the supracondylar spur to the medial epicondyle in some circumstances. The brachial artery and the median nerve may travel beneath the band, making it prone to compression. The goal of this study was to see how common supracondylar spur is in North Indian dry humeri, as it can help with the differential diagnosis of supracondylar syndrome.

Materials and Methods: 224 dried humeri from tertiary healthcare centres in North India were studied for the period of three years in the departments of Anatomy and Forensic Medicine, DMCH Laheriasarai, Darbhanga. including 106 from the right side and 118 from the left. The bones were thoroughly inspected for the presence of a supracondylar spur. A digital calliper was used to collect measurements after the findings.

Results: Only two left-sided humeri out of 224 dried humeri studied had a supracondylar spur, which was triangular in shape and projected forwards and medially from the anteromedial surface. The spur protruded 0.4 cm from the surface, with a vertical length of 1 cm and a width of 0.8 cm. The medial epicondyle was 5.2 cm away from the spur.

Conclusion: The supracondylar spur is a natural anatomical variation rather than a chronic disease of the bone. Compression of the median nerve and claudication of the brachial artery are possible side effects.

Keywords: Supracondylar Spur, Humerus, Median Nerve, Brachial artery and Supracondylar Syndrome.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The supracondylar process of the humerus is also known as the supra epitrochlear, epicondylar, or epicondylic process. The anteromedial surface of the humerus has a hook-like bony protrusion [1]. Ligaments were inserted into a section of the aberrant fibres of the coracobrachialis and pronator teres muscles as a result of this operation [2]. The brachial artery and the median nerve pass beneath this process. The process was discovered in apes and monkeys between 1818 and 1819 and is documented in Tiemann's Tabulae Arterium, with a prevalence of 0.1 percent to 5.7 percent. According to some of the authors, climbing animals have a proper anatomical structure [3]. A supracondyloid process was found in 6 of 515 (1.16percent) whites, but only once in 1,000 (0.1 percent) blacks, according to another study. The supracondylar spur of the humerus is a hook-like, bony spine that protrudes distally from the humerus's antero-medial surface [4,5]. It is located around 5 cm from the medial epicondyle. Its length ranges between 2 and 20 mm. Ligament of Struthers may be used to connect the supracondylar

spur to the medial epicondyle in some circumstances [6]. The median nerve and brachial artery may travel beneath the band in such circumstances, making it prone to compression. Supracondylar process

and median nerve beneath the ligament [7,8]. The compression of the median nerve causes ischemic discomfort and claudication in the forearm, as well as paresthesia, weakness, and muscular wasting.

Aims & Objectives

In light of this, a study was conducted to determine the prevalence of supracondylar spur in North Indian dry humeri, which is important in the differential diagnosis of supracondylar syndrome.

Materials and Methods

224 dried humeri from tertiary healthcare centres in North India were studied for the period of three years in the departments of Anatomy and Forensic Medicine, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar. including 106 from the right side and 118 from the left. The bones were

thoroughly inspected for the presence of a supracondylar spur. A digital calliper was used to collect measurements after the findings. We examined for any osseous projection from distal part through bright sunlight.

Results

Only two left-sided humeri out of 224 dried humeri studied had a supracondylar spur, which was triangular in shape and projected forwards and medially from the anteromedial surface. The spurs protruded 0.4 cm from the surface on average, and the base was

one centimeter length vertically and 0.8 centimeters wide. The medial epicondyle was 5.2 cm away from the spurs. The distance between the spurs' tips and the trochlea's tip was 6.4 cms. Between the apex of the spine and the medial supracondylar ridge, the distance was 0.9 cm. The spine was 4.2 cm away from the nutritive foramen. These two humeri were 31.5 cm in length on average. The incidence of the spur in the present study was

0.89 %.

Table 1: Shows the measurements of supracondylar spur

SL. No	Supracondylar spur of LeftHumerus	Average Measurements in cms
1.	Length of spine	0.4
2.	Distance of spine from medial epicondyle	5.2
3.	Breadth at the base of spine	0.8
4.	Distance of spine from nutrient foramen	4.2
5.	Distance between the tip of the spine to medial supracondylar ridge	0.9
6.	Distance between the tip of the spur and tip of the trochlea	6.4

Discussion

It's important to distinguish a supracondylar process from an osteochondroma. There is no discontinuity in the cortex of the humerus, and the spur is pointed distally, towards the elbow joint [9,10]. An osteochondroma is a protrusion of the joint that points away from it. The underlying humeral cortex is intact on X-ray films of the supracondylar process, whereas the tumour cortex is continuous with the humeral cortex in an osteochondroma [11]. Myositis ossificans, a heterotopic bone, can also seem like a supracondylar process. Because the lateral view may fail to show the spur on the anteromedial surface of the humerus, the anteroposterior radiography view is the most relevant. There have also been a few reports of process fractures [12,13]. According to Newman, a fracture of the process following trauma might elicit symptoms of median nerve compression. Because the spur has been known to reoccur, it is recommended that it be removed along with the overlying periosteum [14,15]. The prevalence of the supracondylar process of the humerus in the general population is extremely low, ranging from 0.3 to 2.7 percent. Gruber 2.7 percent, Danforth 0.5 percent, Adachi 0.8 percent, Terry 1.16 percent, Hrdlika 1 percent, Dellon 1.15 percent, Parkinson 0.4 percent, Natsis 1.3 percent, Gupta 0.26 percent, Oluyemi 2.5 percent, Prabahita 1.25 percent found comparable results [10-19]. Compression symptoms such as severe paraesthesia and hyperaesthesia of the hand and fingers, as well as ischemia discomfort in the forearm, may be caused by a supracondylar spur. Decompression, or releasing the Struthers ligament linked to the spur and removing the spur with the overlying periosteum, is the treatment. When compared to other studies, the dimensions of the

supracondylar process in our data were slightly different [16.] In our investigation, the length of the spine was 0.4 centimeters; similar results were observed in Gupta RK's study (0.3 cm), while Oluyemi KA and Prabahitha B's investigations indicated 1.6 cm and 1.1 cm, respectively. The distance between the spine and the median epicondyle was 5.2 centimeters. Oluyemi KA reported comparable results (5.5 cm), although Gupta RK and Prabahitha B found 6.5 cm and 4.4 cm, respectively. Our study found 0.8 cm of width at the base of the spine, whereas Gupta RK found 1.1 cm and Prabahitha B found 1.5 cm. In our investigation, the distance between the spine and the nutritional foramen was 4.2 cm, whereas Oluyemi KA found 5.3 cm and Prabahitha B found 6.5 cm. Previous research has found that the supracondylar process may be distinguished from osteochondroma, and that the spur is positioned distally toward the elbow joint and ends in the humeral cortex. Despite the fact that supracondylar spur is a common anatomical anomaly, this study found that prevalence varies amongst ethnic groups, ranging from 0.1 percent to 2.7 percent [20]. When a supracondylar spur is present, it can cause neurovascular symptoms, and this study proposes that a similar study with a large sample size be conducted among people of different ethnicities. [21]

Conclusion

The supracondylar process is sometimes misinterpreted as a bone disease rather than a typical anatomical variation. It is normally clinically silent, but it can become symptomatic if it manifests as a mass or is linked to signs of median nerve compression and brachial artery claudication. As a result, Anatomists, Anesthetists, and Orthopedic Surgeons must have a thorough understanding of this uncommon

anatomical difference.

References

- Bain G, Gupta, P, Phadnis, J. and Singhi P. K. Endoscopic excision of supracondylar humeral spur for decompression of the median nerve and brachial artery. *Arthrosc. Tech* 2016; 5(1); 67-70.
- Brubacher JW, Dodds SD. Pediatric supracondylar fractures of the distal humerus. *Curr. Rev. Musculoskelet. Med* 2008;1(3-4);190-6.
- Aydinlioglu, A.; Gumrukcuoglu, F. N. and Koyun,
- N. The prevalence of supracondyloid process in the living: a radiographic study. *West Indian Med. J*2010; 59(5):545-8.
- Caetano EB, Neto JJS, Vieira LA, Caetano MF, de Bona JE, Simonatto TM. Struthers' ligament and supracondylar humeral process: An anatomical study and clinical implications. *Acta Ortop. Bras* 2017;25(4);137-42.
- Hema, L, Tanuja M. Supracondylar process of humerus bone. *Int. J. Recent Trends Sci. Technol* 2015;14(1);206-8.
- Henry BM, Tomaszewski KA, Walocha JA. *Methods of Evidence-Based Anatomy: a guide to conducting systematic reviews and meta-analysis of anatomical studies.* *Ann. Anat* 2016 ;205;16-21.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6(7).
- Mutnuru PC, Perubhotla LM. Rare mimickers of exostosis: A case series. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res* 2016.10(7).
- Natsis, K. Supracondylar process of the humerus: study on 375 Caucasian subjects in Cologne, Germany. *Clin. Anat*2008;21(2):138-41.
- Gruber W. Ein Nachtrag zur Kenntnis des Processus supracondyloideus (internus) humeri des Menschen. *Arch. Anat. Physiol. Wissen Med* 1865;3;67-76.
- Danforth CH. The heredity of unilateral variations in man. *Genetics.* 1924;9:199.
- Adachi B. *Das Arterien system der Japaner.* Kyoto: Verlag der Kaiserlich- Japanischen Universitat, Kenyusha Press; 1928:1;1-6.
- Terry RJ. A study of the supracondyloid process in the living. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 1921; 4: 129-39.
- Hrdlicka A. Incidence of the supracondyloid process in Whites and other races. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol* 1923;6(4):405-12.
- Dellon AL. Musculotendinous variations about the medial humeral epicondyle. *J. Hand Surg. Br*1986;11(2):175-81.
- Parkinson C. The supracondylar process. *Radiology.*1954; 62: 556-58.
- Gupta RK, Mehta CD. A study of the incidence of supracondylar process of the humerus. *J Anat Soc.* 2008; 57 (2):111-15.
- Oluyemi KA, Okwuonu UC, Adesanya OA, Akinola OB, Ofusori DA, Ukwenya VO and Odion BI. Supracondylar and infratubercular processes observed in the humeri of Nigerians. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 2007; 6(21): 2439-41.
- Prabahita B, Pradipta RC, Talukdar KL. A study of supracondylar process of humerus. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences.* 2012;1(5):822.
- Shivaleela C, Suresh B.S, Kumar G.V, Lakshmi Prabha S. Morphological Study of the Supracondylar Process of the Humerus and Its Clinical Implications. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 2014;8(1); 1-3.