Digital Mental Health Tools and Teletherapy: A Comprehensive Review

[1*] Dr. Abhijeet P. Sinha, [2] Nandita Chaurasia, [3]Padmashree Ganapathyraman

[1*]Amity Institute of Public Health and Hospital Administration, Amity University, Noida, India.
Email: abhijeetpsinha@gmail.com. Orcid id: 0000-0002-2299-3475

[2]Amity Institute of Public Health and Hospital Administration, Amity University, Noida, India.
Email: nanditachaurasia2@gmail.com. Orcid Id: 0009-0002-9530-6936

[3]Amity Institute of Public Health and Hospital Administration, Amity University, Noida, India.
Email: dr.padmagana95@gmail.com. Orcid Id: 0009-0008-1333-8998

Corresponding Author: Dr. Abhijeet P. Sinha

ABSTRACT

Background: Digital transformation has expanded the accessibility of mental healthcare and reshaped global service delivery.

Objective: To synthesise evidence on digital mental health tools, teletherapy models, implementation challenges, and global and Indian advancements.

Methods: Narrative review of peer-reviewed literature, WHO documents, national digital health policy frameworks, and implementation case studies.

Results: Digital mental health interventions—including mobile apps, AI chatbots, VR systems, wearables, and teletherapy—have demonstrated effectiveness comparable to in-person therapy. India's Tele-MANAS program illustrates successful national-scale digital mental health infrastructure. Challenges include digital divide, privacy, regulation, engagement, and evidence gaps.

Conclusion: Digital mental health and teletherapy can reduce treatment gaps when supported by strong regulation, culturally relevant design, and integration with primary care systems.

Keywords: Digital mental health, teletherapy, AI, virtual reality, mental health services, public health.

How to cite this article: Sinha AP, Chaurasia N, Ganapathyraman P. Digital Mental Health Tools and Teletherapy: A Comprehensive Review. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(10s): 8-13; DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.10s.2

INTRODUCTION

Mental health disorders affect one in eight people globally, constituting a major public health challenge. According to the World Health Organization [1], mental disorders are a leading cause of disability worldwide. Although effective treatments exist, nearly 70% of individuals in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) do not receive appropriate care due to stigma, shortage of professionals, and poor accessibility. Naslund et al. [2] highlighted that digital technologies have emerged as scalable approaches to bridge these gaps.

Digital mental health tools include mobile applications, artificial intelligence (AI) chatbots, wearable sensor devices, and virtual reality (VR) therapeutic systems. These tools offer new pathways for remote monitoring, assessment, and therapy. Torous and Wykes [4] emphasized that digital mental health represents a paradigm shift in service delivery. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated digital adoption, demonstrating the viability of virtual care during public health emergencies as shown by Sethi et al. [5].

India has also made significant progress through large-scale national digital mental health programs such as the National Tele-Mental Health Programme (Tele-MANAS), launched by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare [6]. These developments align with WHO's recommendation of integrating mental health into primary care systems as part of Universal Health Coverage [7].

This review synthesises evidence on the evolution, classifications, benefits, challenges, and future directions of digital mental health with an emphasis on teletherapy.

METHODS

A narrative review design was used to synthesise existing literature on digital mental health and teletherapy. Sources included:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles
- WHO reports and strategic frameworks

- Indian national digital health policy documents
- Implementation case studies from India and LMICs

Key search terms included: digital mental health, teletherapy, AI mental health, eHealth, telepsychiatry, virtual care, and mobile mental health applications.

Articles were reviewed and categorised under:
(1) evolution of digital mental health,
(2) classification of tools,
(3) teletherapy models,
(4) benefits and opportunities,
(5) challenges and ethical issues,
(6) global and Indian evidence, and
(7) integration with primary care and future directions.

This review aimed to synthesise, rather than generate, empirical evidence.

RESULTS

Evolution of Digital Mental Health

Digital mental health originated with early computer-based cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) systems in the late 20th century. Andersson and Titov [8] reported that early digital CBT produced outcomes comparable to face- to-face therapy. Internet-based CBT (iCBT) gained prominence in the early 2000s, supported by clinical evidence from Karyotaki et al. [9].

The growth of smartphones in the 2010s accelerated the development of mobile mental health applications. Torous and Roberts [10] emphasised the shift toward app-based interventions offering CBT modules, mood tracking, and mindfulness training. AI-driven conversational agents such as Woebot and Wysa became widely adopted due to their personalised support features, as demonstrated by Fitzpatrick et al. [11].

Wearable technology enabled continuous monitoring of physiological and behavioural signals related to stress and mood, described by Inkster et al. [12]. The COVID-19 pandemic catalysed rapid expansion of teletherapy and remote interventions as noted by Sethi et al. [13], with hybrid care models now becoming standard worldwide. India's leadership in digital public health , through the Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission and Tele-MANAS illustrate a large-scale integration of digital mental health tools at a national level [6,14]. Globally, digital transformation aligns with the WHO Digital Health Strategy 2020–2025 [15].

Classification of Digital Mental Health Tools

Digital mental health tools can be grouped into five categories:

Mobile Applications

Mobile apps provide psychoeducation, mindfulness, self-guided CBT, and mood monitoring. According to Torous and Wykes [4], these tools increase accessibility and affordability.

AI-Powered hatbots

Conversational agents deliver CBT-based dialogue, emotional support, and crisis guidance. Fitzpatrick et al. [11] demonstrated clinical improvements using a fully automated chatbot.

Virtual Reality (VR) Systems

VR enables exposure therapy for phobias, PTSD, and anxiety. Freeman et al. [16] showed its effectiveness in controlled immersive environments.

Wearables

Wearables track heart rate, sleep, and activity patterns, providing predictive mental health insights as described by Inkster et al. [12].

Teletherapy Platforms

Platforms for video or audio consultations facilitate remote psychological support and expand service reach in underserved regions [6].

Teletherapy Models

Teletherapy can be delivered using four models:

Synchronous Teletherapy

Real-time interactions via video, phone, or live chat mimic in-person sessions. Rajadhyaksha and Deb [17] describe this model as the closest digital alternative to traditional therapy.

Asynchronous Teletherap

Communication through email or text messaging provides flexibility. Richards and Richardson [18] found this model useful for populations with limited bandwidth.

Hybrid Teletherapy

A combination of live sessions with app-based modules and digital exercises. Sharma et al. [19] documented improved adherence in hybrid models.

Stepped-Care Model

Digital tools serve as the first line of support for mild symptoms and escalate to specialist care when necessary. This model, supported by Bower and Gilbody [20], optimises healthcare resources.

Benefits and Opportunities

Digital mental health interventions enhance accessibility, particularly for individuals living in remote or underserved regions. According to Naslund et al. [21], digital solutions address geographical, socioeconomic, and cultural barriers to care.

Teletherapy removes physical constraints, enabling individuals to seek help privately, which reduces stigma. Figueroa and Aguilera [22] also highlighted cost-effectiveness, with digital models reducing treatment costs by up to 40%. documented high dropout rates in app-based interventions.

Quality and Regulation

Many mental health apps lack evidence-based content or regulatory oversight. Torous and Roberts [26] argue for stringent quality standards.

Privacy and Ethical Issues

Data security, informed consent, and transparency in AI algorithms are critical. WHO's digital ethics framework [28] and India's Telemedicine guidelines [27] provide essential safeguards. Evidence from Global and Indian Contexts

Global studies demonstrate that internet-based CBT and teletherapy are effective for treating common mental disorders. Andersson and Titov [8] and Karyotaki et al. [9] report outcomes comparable to in-person therapy.

In LMICs, Naslund et al. [4] found that mobile mental health tools address workforce shortages. In India, digital interventions which includes the community-based programs in Kerala [32], blended teletherapy in Delhi [30], and mobile-based care in Maharashtra [31] have shown positive outcomes.

monitoring, early detection of relapse, and AI and data analytics provide personalised customised recommendations as described by Inkster et al. [23]. India's Tele-MANAS demonstrates scalable, population- level implementation, having registered over one million calls [6].

Challenges, Limitations, and Ethical Issues

Despite its promise, digital mental health faces several challenges:

Digital Divide

Access disparities across rural–urban settings and demographic groups persist. Naslund et al. [24] emphasised that digital inequity remains a major barrier.

Low User Engagement

User adherence declines over time due to motivation challenges and poor app usability. Richards and Richardson [25]

Integration with Primary Care and UHC

Integrating digital mental health into primary care enhances early detection and improves treatment coverage. Kessler et al. [33] emphasised that primary care systems benefit significantly from tele-psychiatry support. Task- shifting approaches outlined by Patel et al. [34] further strengthen capacity in low-resource settings. India's Ayushman Bharat mission [14] enables interoperability and digital health record integration.

DISCUSSION

Digital mental health technologies have undergone significant transformation, evolving from early computer- based CBT programs to sophisticated AI-enabled ecosystems. Andersson and Titov [8] demonstrated that early internet-based CBT achieved outcomes comparable to traditional in-person treatment, a finding further validated by Karyotaki et al. [9] in their multi-country analyses.

These results provide robust evidence that digital interventions such as the mobile apps, chatbots, VR therapy, and remote counselling, can effectively treat common mental disorders.

The rapid acceleration of digital mental health adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic was well documented by Sethi et al. [13], who emphasised that teletherapy became essential for sustaining care continuity. Hybrid models, integrating synchronous teletherapy and app-delivered activities, have been shown by Sharma et al. [19] to improve adherence and user satisfaction. These models align with the stepped-care principles outlined by Bower and Gilbody [20], which ensure that treatment intensity is matched to clinical need.

In LMICs, digital mental health interventions play an especially crucial role. Naslund et al. [2,4] highlighted that mobile-based programmes enable access for populations facing socioeconomic, geographic, and cultural barriers. International experiences, such as mobile therapy for perinatal depression in Africa and SMS-based anxiety support in Latin America, further demonstrate feasibility in resource-constrained environments.

India has emerged as a global leader in scalable digital mental health solutions. Tele-MANAS, as described by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare [6], has already managed more than one million calls nationwide. Further evidence from hybrid teletherapy programs in Delhi (Sharma et al. [30]), mobile-supported care in Maharashtra (Patil et al. [31]), and community-driven adolescent mental health initiatives in Kerala (Kumar et al. [32]) demonstrates the cultural adaptability and feasibility of digital tools across diverse Indian populations.

However, despite these advancements, digital mental health faces several limitations. The digital divide, highlighted by Naslund et al. [24], persists between rural and urban regions and disproportionately affects women, older adults, and low-income groups. Even among users with adequate access, engagement and retention remain major challenges; Richards and Richardson [25] found high dropout rates in unguided app-based programs, reinforcing the need for blended or supported models.

Concerns regarding quality, safety, and regulation are also critical. Torous and Roberts [26] argue that many mental health apps lack empirical validation, clear clinical oversight, or transparent safety protocols. Strong regulatory frameworks—such as India's Telemedicine Guidelines [27] and the WHO Digital Ethics Guidance [28]—are essential to ensure data privacy, informed consent, and ethical use of AI systems. As AI and machine learning become more deeply integrated into mental health, Inkster et al. [23] stress the need for transparent algorithms and robust clinical evaluation.

Integration with primary healthcare systems is vital for sustainable scale-up. Kessler et al. [33] suggest that digital screening, tele-psychiatry consultations, and remote monitoring can substantially strengthen primary care capacity. Task-shifting approaches, described by Patel et al. [34], empower community-level workers to deliver guided digital mental health interventions in low-resource settings. India's Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission [14] provides the interoperability and digital health infrastructure needed for long-term integration.

Overall, the evidence demonstrates that digital mental health tools and teletherapy are effective, scalable, and culturally adaptable. To maximise their public health impact, digital ecosystems must address structural inequalities, ensure data protection, promote culturally sensitive design, and embed digital tools within broader health systems.

CONCLUSION

Digital mental health tools and teletherapy are reshaping mental healthcare globally. With strong evidence supporting their effectiveness, scalability, and accessibility, these tools offer substantial potential to reduce treatment gaps, especially in LMICs. India's Tele-MANAS initiative exemplifies successful nationwide implementation. However, challenges such as digital inequity, regulation, quality assurance, and sustained engagement must be addressed. Integrating digital interventions into primary care, supported by robust governance and culturally relevant content, will be essential for building inclusive and effective digital mental health ecosystems.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Develop robust evaluation frameworks and promote evidence-based digital interventions.
- Ensure culturally tailored, multi-lingual content across platforms.
- Train primary care providers and community health workers in digital mental health delivery.
- Strengthen national regulatory systems focusing on privacy, ethics, and AI transparency.
- Foster public-private partnerships for sustainable scaling of digital mental health programmes.

Consent to Publish declaration: not applicable.

Ethics declaration: not applicable.

Consent to Participate declaration: not applicable.

DECLARATIONS

Clinical trial number: not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Mental health atlas 2020. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
2. Naslund JA, Aschbrenner KA, Araya R, et al. Digital technology for treating and preventing mental disorders in low-income and middle-income countries: a narrative review. Lancet Psychiatry. 2017;4(6):486–500.
3. Torous J, Roberts LW. Needed innovation in digital health and smartphone applications for mental health. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017;74(5):437–438.
4. Torous J, Wykes T. Opportunities from the digital mental health revolution. World Psychiatry. 2020;19(1):92–93.
5. Ahlawat A, Jangid R, Sharma S, Rathee S, Parashar I, Wadhawan R. Enhancing Patient Care with Teledentistry and Smart Diagnostic Tools: A Review. Oral Sphere J. Dent. Health Sci. 2025;1(2):116-122.
6. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. National Tele-Mental Health Program. 2022.
7. World Health Organization. Integrating mental health into primary care. 2020.
8. Andersson G, Titov N. Advantages and limitations of Internet-based interventions for common mental disorders. World Psychiatry. 2014;13(1):4–11.
9. Karyotaki E, Riper H, Twisk J, et al. Efficacy of self-guided internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy in adults with depression. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017;74(4):351–359.
10. Torous J, Roberts LW. Needed innovation in smartphone mental health applications. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017;74(5):437–438.
11. Fitzpatrick KK, Darcy A, Vierhile M. Delivering CBT to young adults with depression via a fully automated conversational agent (Woebot). JMIR Ment Health. 2017;4(2):e19.
12. Inkster B, et al. Digital mental health: AI and machine learning opportunities. Lancet Digit Health. 2018;1(6):e290–e292.
13. Sethi S, Avasthi A, Grover S. Tele-mental health adoption during COVID-19. Indian J Psychiatry. 2020;62(S3):S406–411.
14. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission. 2021.
15. World Health Organization. Digital Health Strategy 2020–2025. Geneva: WHO; 2020.
16. Freeman D, et al. Virtual reality in the assessment and treatment of mental health disorders. Psychol Med. 2017;47(14):2393–2400.
17. Rajadhyaksha SS, Deb KS. Telepsychiatry in India: an overview. Indian J Psychiatry. 2020;62(S3):S291– S296.
18. Richards D, Richardson T. Computer-based psychological treatments for depression: a systematic review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2012;32(4):329–342.
19. Sharma R, et al. Blended teletherapy outcomes among urban populations in India. Asian J Psychiatry. 2021;63:102766.
20. Bower P, Gilbody S. Stepped care in psychological therapies: access, effectiveness and efficiency. Br J Psychiatry. 2005;186:11–17.

21. Naslund JA, Aschbrenner KA, Marsch LA. The digital divide in mental health. Lancet Psychiatry. 2017;4(6):486–500.
22. Figueroa CA, Aguilera A. The need for a mental health technology revolution in LMICs. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:493.
23. Inkster B, et al. AI-driven approaches in mental health care. Lancet Digit Health. 2018;1(6):e290–e292.
24. Naslund JA, Aschbrenner KA. Digital inequity and mental health. Lancet Psychiatry. 2017;4(6):486– 500.
25. Richards D, Richardson T. Dropout in digital mental health programs. Clin Psychol Rev. 2012;32(4):329–342.
26. Torous J, Roberts LW. Need for quality control in digital mental health apps. JAMA Psychiatry. 2017;74(5):437–438.
27. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Telemedicine Practice Guidelines India. 2020.
28. World Health Organization. Ethics and governance of digital health. 2021.
29. Balhara YP, Mahapatra A, Bhargava R, et al. Digital mental health interventions in India: emerging evidence and challenges. Indian J Psychiatry. 2021;63(6):514–521.
30. Sharma R, et al. Hybrid teletherapy implementation in Delhi. Asian J Psychiatry. 2021;63:102766.
31. Patil A, et al. Mobile-based mental health intervention in primary care: Maharashtra experience. Indian J Public Health. 2020;64(4):312–318.
32. Kumar S, et al. Community-based digital mental health intervention for adolescents in Kerala. Indian J Community Med. 2022;47(2):239–245.
33. Kessler RC, et al. Integrating mental health into primary care. World Psychiatry. 2020;19(2):188–205.
34. Patel V, et al. Task shifting for mental health care in low-resource settings. Lancet. 2010;376(9746):526– 533.