

CHARACTERIZATION OF  $F_{(i,j)}$  – OPEN SETS AND  $F_{(i,j)}$  – CONTINUOUS MAPS ON FbTS

Vidyavati V Kamanuri and Veeresh A Sajjanara

Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University Bengaluru, Karnataka -540064, India

[madhumatiwali@gmail.com/](mailto:madhumatiwali@gmail.com)  
[veerasha.sajjanara@presidencyuniversity.in](mailto:veerasha.sajjanara@presidencyuniversity.in)

**ABSTRACT:** The fuzzy bi-topological space offers suitable methods for solving problems which involve uncertainty as well as any area that lacks clear structure. To do so, bi-topological structures come in the form of fuzzy logic. The characterization of fuzzy open sets ( $F_{(i,j)}$  – OS'S) and maps ( $F_{(i,j)}$  – CM'S) in FbTS is the object of this study and we shall emphasize both their properties and relationships. The approach extends classical topology by enabling multi-dimensional evaluation of intricate systems--all these elements make it an attractive entry point into contemporary systems.

**KEYWORDS:**  $F_{(i,j)}$  – OS'S,  $F_{(i,j)}$  – CS'S,  $F_{(i,j)}$  – SOS'S,  $F_{(i,j)}$  – POS'S, FbTS,  $F_{(i,j)}$  – CM'S

**How to cite this article:** Kamanuri VV, Sajjanara VA. Characterization of  $F_{(i,j)}$ -Open Sets and  $F_{(i,j)}$ -Continuous Maps on FbTS. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(16s): 377-380. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.16s.39

**INTRODUCTION**

Fuzzy bi-topological spaces are a useful tool to apply multi-dimensional analysis in complex problems to increase our capability to approach such problems. Unlike classical topological spaces, they allow for a fuller exploration of sets and their connection to multiple continuity types via dual structures including fuzzy logic and bi-topology. General topology is one of the earliest areas of mathematics to systematically utilise fuzzy set theory. In these three chapters we present the ideas, concepts, and methods of fuzzy set theory which together with general topology are combined to introduce fuzzy topology as a major development of classical topology. Fuzzy topology expands our understanding of elementary mathematical structures yet gives us greater flexibility in handling unknowns. Zadeh [1] introduced the idea of fuzzy sets, laying an influential groundwork for fuzzy mathematics. Levine [6] expanded on this notion by implementing the concept of semi-open sets and continuity. Kandil [11] incorporated fuzzy bi-topological spaces into the work in 1989. Later work expanded the theory. Abd El-Monsef et al. [8] presented  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, and related developments contributed to generalized fuzzy open structures.

The concept of fuzzy semi pre-open sets [10] was introduced by Thakur. Strongly  $\alpha$ -continuous functions and their related continuity concepts have been studied in generalized settings by Császár [2], establishing broader forms of continuity. Császár [1], [3], [4]

introduced generalized topological spaces and generalized continuity along with related operators, and Palani Chetty [15] developed generalized fuzzy topology and its properties. These developments are particularly significant in the real-world domain because many real-world phenomena—such as artificial intelligence and biology—all show vagueness and uncertainty. Fuzzy bi-topological structures have also been used to analyze complex systems, such as in complex algebraic structure interactions, classification in matroid theory, and modeling of molecular interactions. So, the bi-topological spaces of fuzzy ideas provide a crucial link between theoretical results of fuzzy frameworks and practical application results.

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  consisting of a universal set  $X$  with the fuzzy topologies " $\tau_1$ " and " $\tau_2$ " on  $X$  is called fuzzy bi-topological space

**Definition 2.2:** A fuzzy set  $A$  of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$  – semi – open set if  $A \subseteq \tau_j - \text{cl}(\tau_i - \text{int}(A))$ . In a fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , every fuzzy  $\tau_i$  – open set ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is fuzzy  $(i, j)$  – semi – open set but not converse. A fuzzy set  $A$  of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$  – semi – closed set if  $A^c = 1 - A$  is fuzzy  $(i, j)$  – semi – open set

**Definition 2.3:** A fuzzy set  $A$  of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$  – pre – open set if  $A \subseteq \tau_i - \text{int}(\tau_j - \text{cl}(A))$ . A fuzzy set  $A$  of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(i, j)$  –

pre – closed set if  $A^c = 1 - A$  is fuzzy (i, j) – pre – open set.

**Definition 2.4:** A fuzzy set A of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy (i, j) –  $\beta$  – open set if  $A \subseteq \tau_j - \text{cl}(\tau_i - \text{int}(\tau_j - \text{cl}(A)))$ . A fuzzy set A of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy (i, j) –  $\beta$  – closed set if  $A^c = 1 - A$  is fuzzy (i, j) –  $\beta$  – open set.

**Definition 2.5:** A fuzzy set A of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy (i, j) –  $\alpha$  – open set if  $A \subseteq \tau_j - \text{int}(\tau_i - \text{cl}(\tau_j - \text{int}(A)))$ . A fuzzy set A of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called fuzzy (i, j) –  $\alpha$  – closed set if  $A^c = 1 - A$  is fuzzy (i, j) –  $\alpha$  – open set.

**Definition 2.6:** A fuzzy set A of fuzzy bi-topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called

- Fuzzy (i, j) – regular – open set if  $\tau_j - \text{int}(\tau_i - \text{cl}(A)) = A$
- Fuzzy (i, j) – regular – closed set if  $\tau_j - \text{cl}(\tau_i - \text{int}(A)) = A$

### 3. $F_{(i,j)}$ – OS on FbTS

**Definition 3.1:** A Fuzzy bi-topological space (FbTS) is an ordered triplet  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ , whereas  $\mathcal{A}$  is universal set and  $\mathcal{T}_1$  &  $\mathcal{T}_2$  are two fuzzy topologies defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 3.1:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be FSSs on  $\mathcal{A}$  given as,  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.9}, \frac{a_2}{0.8}, \frac{a_3}{1} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.8}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is FbTS

**Definition 3.2:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  – SOS if  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{T}_j - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_i - \mathbb{I}(\alpha))$

**Example 3.2:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  be FSSs on  $\mathcal{A}$  given as,  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.6} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right) \right\}$  and  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, \beta, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \gamma, \theta, 1\}$  be FTS on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now consider, ZETA =  $\left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.8} \right) \right\}$ . Clearly ZETA  $\subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathbb{I}(\text{ZETA}))$ . Hence, ZETA is  $F_{(1,2)}$  – SOS. Again ZETA  $\subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_2 - \mathbb{I}(\text{ZETA}))$ . This implies ZETA is also  $F_{(2,1)}$  – SOS

**Remark 3.1:** In FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ , for  $(i = 1, 2)$ ,  $F_i - \text{OS} \Rightarrow \neq F_{(i,j)} - \text{SOS}$ . In Example 2.2, ZETA is  $F_{(i,j)} - \text{SOS}$  but not  $F_i - \text{OS}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), i.e.  $F_{(i,j)} - \text{SOS} \neq F_i - \text{OS}$

**Definition 3.3:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called

$F_{(i,j)}$  – SCS if  $\alpha^c \subseteq 1 - \alpha$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  – SOS

**Definition 3.4:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  – POS if  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{T}_i - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_j - \text{CL}(\alpha))$

**Example 3.3:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\theta$  be FSSs on  $\mathcal{A}$  given as  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right) \right\}$  and  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, \gamma, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$  be FTS on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Clearly  $\theta \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_2 - \mathbb{I}(\theta))$ . Hence  $\theta$  is also  $F_{(1,2)}$  – POS

**Definition 3.5:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  – PCS if  $\alpha^c \subseteq 1 - \alpha$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  – POS. In Example 3.3, FS ZETA =  $\left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$  is  $F_{(1,2)}$  – PCS in  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$

**Definition 3.6:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  – BOS if  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{T}_j - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_i - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_j - \text{CL}(\alpha)))$ . In Example 3.3, fuzzy set " $\theta$ " is  $F_{(1,2)}$  – BOS in  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$

**Definition 3.7:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  – BCS if  $\alpha^c \subseteq 1 - \alpha$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  – BOS. In Example 3.3, FS ZETA =  $\left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$  is  $F_{(1,2)}$  – BCS in  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$

**Remark 3.2:** In FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ ,  $F_{(i,j)} - \text{POS} \Rightarrow \neq F_{(i,j)} - \text{BOS}$ . This can be shown in Example 3.4

**Example 3.4:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\theta$  be FSSs on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined as  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.2} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$  be FTS on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now Clearly  $\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_2 - \text{CL}(\gamma)))$ . This implies  $F_{(i,j)} - \text{BOS} \neq F_{(i,j)} - \text{POS}$

**Definition 3.8:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\alpha$ OS if  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{T}_j - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_i - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_j - \mathbb{I}(\alpha)))$

**Example 3.5:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\theta$  be FSSs on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined as  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right) \right\}$  and  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, \gamma, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$  be FTS on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Clearly  $\theta \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_2 - \text{CL}(\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathbb{I}(\alpha)))$ . Hence,  $\theta$  is also  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\alpha$ OS

**Definition 3.9:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\alpha$ CS if  $\alpha^c \subseteq 1 - \alpha$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\alpha$ OS. In Example 3.5, FS, ZETA =  $\left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.6} \right) \right\}$  is  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\alpha$ CS in

$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$

**Definition 3.10:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  if  $\mathcal{T}_j - \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{T}_i - \mathbb{C}\mathbb{L}(\alpha)) = \alpha$

**Definition 3.11:** FS " $\alpha$ " of FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{S}$  if  $\mathcal{T}_j - \mathbb{C}\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{T}_i - \mathbb{I}(\alpha)) = \alpha$

**Remark 3.3:** In FbTS  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ ,  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S} \Rightarrow \neq F_i - \mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  and  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{S} \Rightarrow \neq F_i - \mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$

**4.  $F_{(i,j)}$  – CM's on FbTS**

**Definition 4.1:** A mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be pairwise fuzzy continuous map ( $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$ ) if  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  are fuzzy continuous maps ( $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$ )

**Example 4.1:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.1} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.1} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.1} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.6}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.6}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.1} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \gamma, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, \theta, 1\}$  be two FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 4.2:** Mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$  if inverse image of everb  $\mathcal{T}'_i - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$

**Example 4.2:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.3}, \frac{b_2}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.3} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, 1\}$  be two FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$ . Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\beta) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right) \right\}$  and  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathbb{C}\mathbb{L}(\alpha) = 1$ , implies  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\beta)$  is  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Again,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(0)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(1)$  are  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus the mapping,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 4.3:** A mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$  if inverse image of every  $\mathcal{T}'_i - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$

**Example 4.3:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.4}, \frac{a_3}{0.4} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.4}, \frac{a_3}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.1}, \frac{b_2}{0.1}, \frac{b_3}{0.1} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.2}, \frac{b_3}{0.2} \right) \right\}$  and  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.2}, \frac{b_3}{0.2} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.1}, \frac{b_2}{0.1}, \frac{b_3}{0.1} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \gamma, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, \theta, 1\}$  be FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_3) = b_3$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\gamma) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.1}, \frac{a_2}{0.1}, \frac{a_3}{0.1} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.2}, \frac{a_3}{0.2} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\theta) =$

$\left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.1}, \frac{a_2}{0.1}, \frac{a_3}{0.1} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.2}, \frac{a_3}{0.2} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(1) = 1$  are  $F_{(1,2)}$  –  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 4.4:** A mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M}$  if inverse image of everb  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$

**Example 4.4:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.6}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.5}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.4}, \frac{b_2}{0.6} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, 1\}$  be FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$ , Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 4.5:** A mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{a}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$  if inverse image of everb  $\mathcal{T}'_i - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\alpha\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$

**Example 4.5:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ . Suppose,  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.4}, \frac{a_3}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5}, \frac{a_3}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.4}, \frac{a_3}{0.4} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.4}, \frac{b_2}{0.0}, \frac{b_3}{0.4} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.5}, \frac{b_2}{0.0}, \frac{b_3}{0.5} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.5}, \frac{b_2}{0.0}, \frac{b_3}{0.5} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.4}, \frac{b_2}{0.0}, \frac{b_3}{0.4} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \gamma, \text{ETA}, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, \theta, 1\}$  be FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_3) = b_3$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\theta) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\text{ETA}) = \beta$  are  $\mathcal{T}_2 - \alpha\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{a}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 4.6:** A mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$  if inverse image of everb  $\mathcal{T}'_i - \mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\beta\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$

**Example 4.6:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ . Suppose,  $\alpha = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.2} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.3}, \frac{a_2}{0.2} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.3} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.5}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{b_1}{0.2}, \frac{b_2}{0.6} \right) \right\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \beta, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \gamma, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, \theta, 1\}$  be FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\gamma) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\theta) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\gamma) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.6} \right) \right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\theta) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.2}, \frac{a_2}{0.6} \right), \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$  are  $\mathcal{T}_2 - \beta\mathbb{O}\mathbb{S}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$

**Remark 4.1:**  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \neq \mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$  ( $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{w}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{a}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$ ), which is shown in Example 4.7

**Example 4.7:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ .

Consider FS's  $= \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.4}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right), \beta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right), \gamma = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.5}, \frac{b_2}{0.6} \right) \right\} \right.$  and  $\theta = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.5}, \frac{a_2}{0.5} \right) \right\}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Again  $\varphi = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.7}, \frac{b_2}{0.8} \right), \epsilon = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.7}, \frac{b_2}{0.9} \right), \rho = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.6}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right) \right\} \right.$  and  $\pi = \left\{ \left( \frac{b_1}{0.7}, \frac{b_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, \alpha, \text{BETA}, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{0, \gamma, \theta, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1 = \{0, \pi, \epsilon, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_2 = \{0, \rho, \pi, 1\}$  be the FT's given on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, consider  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  Such that,  $\mathcal{F}(a_1) = b_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}(a_2) = b_2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(0) = 1'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(1) = 0'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\pi) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.8} \right), \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\epsilon) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.9} \right), \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.6}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\} \right.$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\pi) = \left\{ \left( \frac{a_1}{0.7}, \frac{a_2}{0.7} \right) \right\}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is

The proposed fuzzy bi-topological spaces offer a flexible and powerful model for dealing with the problem of ambiguity and unpredictability. In the fusion of fuzzy logic with dual topological structures, FbTS enhances classical topology and allows us to more easily analyze complex systems in more than one dimension. In this paper, the understanding of fuzzy open sets and mappings has been investigated, and their fundamental features and the relationships between

REFERENCES

1. Császár, A., "Generalized open sets," *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 75 (1997), 65–87.
2. Császár, A., "Generalized topology, generalized continuity," *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96 (2002), 351–357.
3. Császár, A., "Generalized open sets in generalized topologies," *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 106 (2005), 53–66.
4. Császár, A., "δ- and θ-modifications of generalized topologies," *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 120 (2008), 275–279.
5. Levine, N., "Generalized closed sets in topology," *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 2 (1970), 89–96.
6. Levine, N., "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces," *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70 (1963), 36–41.
7. Njåstad, O., "On some classes of nearly open sets," *Pacific J. Math.*, 15 (1965), 961–970.
8. Abd El-Monsef, M. E., El-Deeb, S. N., and Mahmoud, R. A., "β-open sets and β-continuous mapping," *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. A*, 12 (1983), 77–90.

$\mathcal{PwFSCM}$  ( $\mathcal{PwF}\alpha\mathcal{CM}$ ) but not  $\mathcal{PwFCM}$ , because  $\pi, \epsilon, \rho$  and  $\varphi$  are  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{SOS}$  ( $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\alpha\mathbb{OS}$ ), but not  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{OS}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Remark 4.2:**  $\mathcal{PwFCM} \Rightarrow \neq \mathcal{PwFPCM}$  ( $\mathcal{PwF}\beta\mathcal{CM}$ ), which is shown in Example 4.8

**Example 4.8:** In Example 4.7, the mapping,  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}'_1, \mathcal{T}'_2)$  is  $\mathcal{PwFPCM}$  ( $\mathcal{PwF}\beta\mathcal{CM}$ ) but not  $\mathcal{PwFCM}$ , because  $\pi, \epsilon, \rho$  and  $\varphi$  are  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{POS}$  ( $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\beta\mathbb{OS}$ ), but not  $F_{(i,j)}$  –  $\mathbb{OS}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

5. CONCLUSION

open sets and mappings are developed on the basis of the FbTS model.

In addition, connections between various classes of fuzzy open sets with fuzzy continuous maps were formed (with appropriate examples to explain the connections). In addition, their results give the theoretical footing for fuzzy topology and to understand structural behavior in these spaces

9. Abd El-Monsef, M. E., El-Deeb, S. N., Zeyada, F. M., and Hanafy, I. M., "On fuzzy δ-continuity and fuzzy closed graph," *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 26(1) (1993), 43–53.
10. Thakur, S. S. and Malviya, R., "Semi-open sets and semi continuity in fuzzy bitopological spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 79 (1996), 251–256.
11. Kandil, A., "Biproximities and fuzzy bi-topological spaces," *Simon Stevin*, 63 (1989), 45–46.
12. Sampath Kumar, "On fuzzy pairwise α-continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 62 (1994), 231–238.
13. Mukherjee, M. N. and Sinha, S. P., "On some weaker forms of fuzzy continuous and fuzzy open mappings in fuzzy topological spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 32 (1989), 103–114.
14. Thakur, S. S. and Saraf, R. K., "Fuzzy pre-open mappings," *Acta Cien. Indica*, 21M(4) (1996), 507–509.
15. Palani Chetty, G., "Generalized fuzzy topology," *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24 (2008), 91–96