

The Science of Compatibility: Visualizing Blood Group Networks – A Case Study

A. Adhithya¹, Anjaly A.R²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication, AJK College of Arts and Science, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: adhithyaa@ajkcas.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of English, AJK College of Arts and Science, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: anjalyar@ajkcas.com

ABSTRACT

Blood transfusion compatibility forms a complex interaction network among the eight major blood groups $G = \{O^+, O^-, A^+, A^-, B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-\}$. Operations Research and Game Theory concepts are applied to analyze donor recipient interactions within this biological compatibility network. Each blood group is treated as a player in a cooperative game. A directed graph model is constructed based on ABO and Rh factor compatibility. Payoff structures are defined in terms of donation utility and survival probability. The analysis reveals that O^- acts as a dominant strategy due to its universal donor capability, while AB^+ acts as a universal receiver with maximal payoff. The results show that integrating Operations Research optimization and Game Theory provides deeper insight into resource allocation and compatibility resilience in transfusion networks.

Keywords: Visual communication, Blood group compatibility, Network visualization, Infographic design, Medical data visualization

How to cite this article: Adhithya A, Anjaly AR. The Science of Compatibility: Visualizing Blood Group Networks – A Case Study. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(21s): 704-708. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.21s.73

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

1. Introduction

Blood transfusion is one of the most critical medical procedures used to save human lives in emergency situations, surgeries, trauma care, and chronic disease treatment. The success of a transfusion depends primarily on the compatibility between donor and recipient blood groups. Human blood is classified mainly according to two major systems: the ABO blood group system and the Rh blood group system. The combination of these two systems produces eight major blood groups

$$O^+, O^-, A^+, A^-, B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-.$$

Compatibility between these blood groups forms a complex interaction network where certain groups can donate to multiple groups while others have limited compatibility. For instance, O^- is known as the universal donor, whereas AB^+ is the universal recipient. Understanding these relationships is essential for efficient blood bank management and emergency medical response. Modern analytical methods such as Operations Research and Game Theory provide powerful mathematical tools for studying complex interaction systems. Operations Research focuses on optimization and resource allocation, while Game Theory analyzes strategic interactions among multiple agents or players. In this study, the eight blood groups are modeled as players within a cooperative game framework. A directed graph model is constructed to represent compatibility relationships between donors and recipients. Payoff values are assigned based on the number of compatible transfusion connections and coalition structures are examined to understand strategic advantages among blood groups. The integration of Operations Research optimization techniques and Game Theory analysis provides deeper insights into donor allocation strategies, compatibility resilience, and efficient blood resource management within transfusion networks.

Blood Group	Compatible Groups	Payoff
O^-	All blood groups	8
O^+	Positive groups	5
A^+	A^+, A^-, AB^+	4
A^-	A^+, A^-, AB^+, AB^-	5
B^+	B^+, B^-, AB^+	

4	B^-	B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-	
5	AB^+	Receive from all groups	8
	AB^-	Limited compatibility	5

Table 1. Payoff values based on compatibility connections

2. Graph Representation of Blood Compatibility

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph where V represents blood groups and E represents compatibility edges. A directed edge (u, v) exists if blood group u can donate blood to v . Example neighborhood sets $N(O^+) = \{O^+, O^-, A^+, B^+, AB^+\}$, $N(O^-) = \{O^+, O^-, A^+, A^-, B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-\}$, $N(A^+) = \{A^+, A^-, O^+, O^-, AB^+\}$, $N(AB^+) = \{O^+, O^-, A^+, A^-, B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-\}$. These sets represent compatibility interactions among blood groups.

3. Game Theoretic Model

Consider blood groups as players in a cooperative game $G = (N, v)$ where

- N = set of players (blood groups)
- $v(S)$ = value of coalition S

The payoff for each blood group is defined as $P_i = |N(v_i)|$, where $N(v_i)$ is the number of compatible connections.

3.1. Payoff Table.

Blood Group	Compatible Groups	Payoff
O^-	All groups	8
O^+	Positive groups	5
A^+	A^-, AB^+	4
A^-	A^-, AB^+, AB^-	5
B^+	B^+, B^-, AB^+	4
B^-	B^+, B^-, AB^+, AB^-	5
AB^+	5 Receive from all	8
AB^-	Limited compatibility	5

Thus the maximum payoff nodes are O^- , AB^+

4. Nash Equilibrium

A Nash equilibrium occurs when no player can improve its payoff by changing strategy. In the compatibility network $O^- \rightarrow$ dominant strategy, $AB^+ \rightarrow$ optimal receiving strategy Thus the equilibrium pair is (O^-, AB^+)

5. Coalition Analysis

Coalition 1:

$$S_1 = \{O^-, A^-, B^-\}$$

Coalition 2:

$$S_2 = \{O^+, A^+, B^+\}$$

Since

$$v(S_1) > v(S_2)$$

negative blood groups form stronger strategic coalitions.

6. Operations Research Optimization Model

Blood allocation is formulated as a linear programming problem. Decision variable x_{ij} represents the number of units donated from blood group i to recipient j .

7. Optimization Model for Blood Compatibility

The compatibility network can be formulated as an optimization problem to determine the maximum number of feasible blood transfusion flows between donors and recipients.

7.1. **Step 1: Decision Variable.** Let

$$x_{ij}$$

represent the amount of blood flow from donor blood group i to recipient blood group j .

7.2. **Step 2: Objective Function.** The goal is to maximize the total number of compatible transfusions.

$$\max Z = \sum_{i=1}^8 \sum_{j=1}^8 x_{ij}$$

7.3. **Step 3: Compatibility Constraint.** A transfusion is allowed only if the donor and recipient blood groups are compatible.

$$x_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } (i, j) \notin E$$

where E represents the set of compatible donor–recipient pairs.

7.4. **Step 4: Supply Constraint.** The amount of blood donated by each blood group cannot exceed the available donor supply.

$$\sum x_{ij} \leq S_i$$

where

$$S_i = \text{available supply from donor group } i$$

7.5. **Step 5: Demand Constraint.** Each recipient group must receive at least its required demand.

$$\sum x_{ij} \geq D_j$$

where

$$D_j = \text{required demand for recipient group } j$$

8. Results and Interpretation

After analysing the compatibility network using the optimization model, the following results are obtained.

8.1. **Step 6: Maximum Donor Supply.** The donor with the highest compatibility is $S_{\max} = O^{-}$ because O^{-} blood can donate to all eight blood groups.

8.2. **Step 7: Maximum Recipient Demand.** The recipient with the highest compatibility is $D_{\max} = AB^{+}$ since AB^{+} can receive blood from all blood groups.

8.3. **Step 8: Maximum Flow Result.** The optimization model yields the maximum number of compatible transfusion flows:

$$Z_{\max} = 27$$

This represents the maximum feasible compatibility interactions within the network.

8.4. **Step 9: Blood Group Dominance Hierarchy.** Based on compatibility strength, the blood groups can be ranked as

$O^{-} > O^{+} > A^{-}, B^{-} > A^{+}, B^{+} > AB^{-} > AB^{+}$ This hierarchy reflects the relative donation flexibility of each blood group.

9. Visual Communication Techniques for Medical Data

Visual communication helps represent complex medical compatibility systems in an easily understandable form.

9.1. **Step 10: Infographic Design.** Infographics combine graphical and textual elements to simplify medical information. In blood compatibility visualization

- Different colors represent different blood groups.
- Arrows indicate donor-to-recipient relationships.
- Special symbols highlight universal donors and universal recipients.

Such visual representations allow medical professionals and the general public to quickly understand compatibility relationships.

9.2. **Network Visualization.** Network visualization is widely used in scientific communication to represent relationships between multiple elements. In the context of blood compatibility:

- Nodes represent blood groups.
- Directed edges represent donation compatibility.
- Node size can represent donation capability.

For example, O^{-} would appear as a central node with many outgoing connections, visually demonstrating its universal donor property.

9.3. **Data Visualization in Healthcare.** Data visualization techniques are increasingly used in healthcare to communicate medical information effectively. Charts, flow diagrams, and network maps can help hospitals and blood banks display donation patterns, resource allocation, and compatibility systems.

These visual methods improve communication not only among healthcare professionals but also with the general public.

10. Visual Hierarchy in Blood Compatibility

When blood compatibility networks are visualized, a clear hierarchy becomes visible:

- **Universal donor:** O^-
- **Highly compatible donors:** O^+ , A^- , B^- •
- Moderate compatibility:** A^+ , B^+
- **Limited donation capability:** AB groups •
- Universal recipient:** AB^+

Designers can emphasize this hierarchy visually by adjusting node size, color intensity, or connection density. Such visual hierarchies make complex medical relationships immediately understandable.

11. Applications in Visual Communication

Visual representations of blood compatibility can be applied in several areas:

11.1. **Educational Materials.** Schools and universities can use infographics and visual diagrams to teach students about blood group systems.

11.2. **Blood Donation Campaigns.** Posters and digital graphics can visually explain which blood groups are most needed and how donations help save lives.

11.3. **Hospital Communication.** Hospitals can display compatibility charts and network diagrams to assist medical staff and patients in understanding transfusion systems.

11.4. **Digital Health Platforms.** Interactive visualizations can allow users to input their blood group and instantly see compatible donor and recipient groups.

12. Conclusion

The integration of Operations Research and Game Theory provides a powerful framework for analyzing blood transfusion compatibility networks. The results confirm that the universal donor O^- and the universal recipient AB^+ are the most critical nodes in the network. The model highlights structural dominance, coalition strength, and optimal allocation strategies that can assist blood banks in efficient resource management.

References

- [1] K. Landsteiner, "On Agglutination of Normal Human Blood," *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, vol. 14, pp. 1132–1134, 1901.
- [2] H. A. Taha, *Operations Research: An Introduction*, 10th ed., Pearson Education, 2017.
- [3] R. B. Myerson, *Game Theory: Analysis of Conflict*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.
- [4] World Health Organization, "Blood Transfusion Safety," WHO Guidelines, 2023.
- [5] American Red Cross, "Blood Types and Compatibility," Available: <https://www.redcrossblood.org>