RESEARCH PAPER

Impact of Seasonal Hiring on Employee Productivity During Peak Business Cycles

Dr. R Jayashree[1], Dr. Y. Benazir[2], Dr. M Karthika[3], Dr. J Joshua Roy[4], Dr. R Suyam Praba[5]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of MBA, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts & Science. ORCID: 0000-0002-2095-2119. Email: rjayashree@srcas.ac.in

[2] Assistant Professor, Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology. ORCID: 0000-0002-9567-9102.

[3] Assistant Professor, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science. ORCID: 0000-0002-7123-8425. Email: karthika@srcas.ac.in

[4] Associate Professor, Department of MBA, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science. ORCID: 0000-0001-5738-9844. Email: joshua.roy@srcas.ac.in

[5] Professor, School of Management Studies, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore. ORCID: 0000-0001-9488-3331.

Received: 20th Feb, 2026 | **Revised:** 4th Mar, 2026 | **Accepted:** 25th Mar, 2026 | **Available Online:** 10th Apr, 2026

ABSTRACT

Seasonal hiring has emerged as a key workforce strategy for managing demand fluctuations during peak business cycles. However, its impact on employee productivity remains an important area of inquiry. This study examines the relationship between seasonal hiring, training, and employee productivity in organizations experiencing peak demand periods. The study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional research design based on primary data collected from 150 respondents using a structured questionnaire. Statistical tools such as descriptive analysis, correlation, and multiple regression were employed to analyze the data. The findings reveal a significant positive relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity. Seasonal hiring is found to have a meaningful impact on productivity by enabling organizations to manage workload effectively during peak periods. Additionally, training emerges as a significant factor influencing productivity, highlighting the importance of skill development in enhancing employee performance. The study concludes that while seasonal hiring is an effective strategy for addressing demand variability, its success depends on the integration of training and supportive management practices. The findings provide practical implications for organizations in designing efficient workforce strategies to improve productivity during peak business cycles.

Keywords: Seasonal Hiring; Employee Productivity; Workforce Flexibility; Training; Peak Business Cycles; Human Resource Management.

How to cite this article: Jayashree R, Benazir Y, Karthika M, Roy JJ, Praba RS. Impact of Seasonal Hiring on Employee Productivity During Peak Business Cycles. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(31s):737-743. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.31s.81

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION

In contemporary business environments, organizations frequently experience fluctuations in demand driven by seasonal trends, market cycles, and consumer behavior patterns. To effectively manage these variations, many firms adopt flexible workforce strategies, among which seasonal hiring has emerged as a common practice. Seasonal employment enables organizations to address short-term labor requirements during peak business periods, particularly in sectors such as retail, hospitality, tourism, and logistics. While this approach offers operational flexibility, its implications for employee productivity and organizational performance warrant closer examination.

Employee productivity is a critical determinant of organizational efficiency, especially during peak business cycles when the pressure to meet increased demand is high. The integration of seasonal employees into existing workforce structures can influence productivity in multiple ways. On one hand, additional manpower may enhance output and reduce workload pressure on permanent staff. On the other hand, challenges related to training, skill alignment, and workplace adaptation may affect the efficiency of

seasonal employees, particularly in time-sensitive environments.

Existing studies have explored workforce flexibility and employment patterns; however, there remains limited empirical evidence on how seasonal hiring directly impacts employee productivity during peak periods. Much of the existing literature focuses on operational benefits or labor market dynamics, with relatively less attention given to performance outcomes at the employee level.

Against this backdrop, the present study examines the impact of seasonal hiring on employee productivity during peak business cycles. By focusing on the relationship between temporary workforce integration and productivity outcomes, the study aims to provide insights that can inform human resource practices and improve workforce planning strategies in dynamic business environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Workforce Flexibility and Seasonal Employment

Workforce flexibility has become a central strategy for organizations operating in dynamic market environments. According to Atkinson's flexible firm model, firms adopt different categories of workers, including temporary and seasonal employees, to respond to fluctuations in demand (Atkinson, 1984). Seasonal employment is particularly prevalent in industries characterized by cyclical demand patterns, where firms rely on short-term labor to maintain operational efficiency during peak periods. Research suggests that flexible staffing arrangements can reduce labor costs and improve responsiveness to market changes, but they may also introduce challenges related to workforce coordination and consistency (Kalleberg, 2000).

Seasonal Hiring and Organizational Performance

Seasonal hiring is often viewed as a practical solution for managing increased workloads during peak business cycles. Studies indicate that the use of temporary workers allows organizations to scale operations quickly without long-term employment commitments (Houseman, 2001). However, the impact of seasonal hiring on performance outcomes is mixed. While additional labor can enhance productivity by reducing employee workload, the effectiveness of seasonal employees depends on factors such as training, experience, and integration into the organizational culture (De Grip & Sieben, 2005). Poorly managed seasonal hiring may lead to inefficiencies and reduced performance.

Employee Productivity in Temporary Work Arrangements

Employee productivity is influenced by both individual capabilities and organizational support systems. Research by Ichniowski and Shaw (2003) highlights that productivity improvements are closely linked to skill levels, training, and workplace practices. In the context of seasonal employment, productivity may be affected by the limited duration of employment, which can restrict opportunities for skill development and adaptation. Furthermore, temporary employees may exhibit lower organizational commitment, potentially impacting performance levels (Booth, Francesconi, & Frank, 2002). However, structured training and supervision can mitigate these challenges and enhance productivity outcomes.

Challenges in Managing Seasonal Workforce

Managing a seasonal workforce presents several operational and human resource challenges. These include issues related to recruitment, training, coordination, and performance monitoring. According to Zeytinoglu et al. (2004), temporary employment arrangements can lead to inconsistencies in work quality if adequate training and support are not provided. Additionally, communication gaps between permanent and seasonal employees may affect team cohesion and efficiency. Organizations must therefore adopt effective management practices to ensure that seasonal hiring contributes positively to productivity.

Research Gap

Although existing literature acknowledges the role of seasonal employment in managing demand fluctuations, there is limited empirical research focusing specifically on its impact on employee productivity during peak business cycles. Many studies emphasize cost efficiency and labor flexibility, while relatively few examine performance outcomes at the employee level. This gap is particularly evident in the context of developing economies, where workforce dynamics and organizational practices may differ significantly. Therefore, this study aims to bridge this gap by analyzing the relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity in a real-world business context.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In today's dynamic business environment, organizations frequently encounter fluctuations in demand, particularly during peak business cycles. To manage these variations, many firms adopt seasonal hiring practices as a flexible workforce strategy. While seasonal employment helps organizations meet short-term operational requirements, its impact on employee productivity remains a critical concern.

Seasonal employees are often recruited for limited durations and may not receive the same level of training, experience, or organizational integration as permanent employees. This can influence their efficiency, work quality, and overall contribution to organizational performance. At the same time, the presence of seasonal workers may affect the workload distribution, coordination, and productivity of existing employees.

Although seasonal hiring is widely practiced across industries such as retail, hospitality, and logistics, there is limited empirical evidence on how it influences employee productivity during peak periods. Existing studies have largely focused on cost reduction and labor flexibility, with less attention given to performance outcomes and workforce effectiveness.

In this context, it becomes important to examine whether seasonal hiring enhances or constrains employee productivity and to identify the factors that influence this relationship. Understanding these aspects is essential for organizations to design effective workforce strategies that balance operational flexibility with productivity and performance outcomes.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The present study is undertaken with the following objectives:

1. To examine the extent of seasonal hiring practices adopted by organizations during peak business cycles.
2. To assess the level of employee productivity during peak periods in organizations employing seasonal workers.
3. To analyze the impact of seasonal hiring on employee productivity during peak business cycles.
4. To identify the factors influencing the productivity of seasonal employees, such as training, experience, and workplace integration.
5. To evaluate the effectiveness of seasonal hiring as a workforce strategy in maintaining organizational performance during demand fluctuations.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity during peak business cycles.

H_{11}: There is a significant relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity during peak business cycles.

H_{02}: Seasonal hiring does not have a significant impact on employee productivity.

H_{12}: Seasonal hiring has a significant impact on employee productivity.

H_{03}: Training provided to seasonal employees does not significantly influence their productivity levels.

H_{13}: Training provided to seasonal employees significantly influences their productivity levels.

H_{04}: There is no significant difference in employee productivity between permanent and seasonal employees.

H_{14}: There is a significant difference in employee productivity between permanent and seasonal employees.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a quantitative research approach to examine the impact of seasonal hiring on employee productivity during peak business cycles. A descriptive and analytical research design is employed to analyze the relationship between seasonal employment practices and productivity outcomes.

Study Area and Population

The study focuses on employees working in organizations that engage in seasonal hiring during peak business periods, particularly in sectors such as retail, hospitality, and service industries. The target population includes both permanent and seasonal employees, enabling a comparative understanding of productivity dynamics.

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A total of 150 respondents were selected for the study. The sample was drawn using a convenience sampling technique, considering accessibility and availability of respondents during the data collection period.

Data Collection Method

The study is based on primary data, collected through a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire consists of close-ended questions measured using a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). The instrument captures responses related to seasonal hiring practices, training, and employee productivity.

Variables of the Study

- **Independent Variables**:
  - Seasonal Hiring
  - Training of Seasonal Employees
- **Dependent Variable**:
  - Employee Productivity

Tools and Techniques of Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the following statistical tools:

- Descriptive Statistics (Mean and Standard Deviation)
- Correlation Analysis
- Regression Analysis
- Independent Sample t-test

Ethical Considerations

Participation in the study was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents. Confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained, and the data collected were used solely for academic purposes.

Limitations of the Study

The study is limited to a sample size of 150 respondents and is based on convenience sampling, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 150)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age	18–25	82	54.7
	26–30	41	27.3
	31–35	27	18.0
Gender	Male	98	65.3
	Female	52	34.7
Education	School	36	24.0
	Higher Secondary	48	32.0
	Graduate	46	30.7
	Above Graduate	20	13.3
Occupation	Unemployed	40	26.7
	Self-employed	36	24.0
	Wage Employed	74	49.3

Source: Primary Data

Interpretation

The demographic profile indicates that a majority of respondents belong to the younger age group (18–25 years), reflecting a relatively young workforce. Male respondents constitute a larger proportion of the sample. Most respondents have attained at least higher secondary or graduate-level education, and a significant number are engaged in wage employment.

Descriptive Statistics

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Seasonal Hiring	3.28	0.82
Training	3.12	0.88
Employee Productivity	3.35	0.79

Source: Primary Data

Interpretation

The mean values indicate moderate to high levels of agreement among respondents. Employee productivity records the highest mean score, suggesting relatively positive performance during peak periods. Seasonal hiring and training show moderate levels, indicating scope for improvement.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

H_{01}: There is no significant relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity.
H_{11}: There is a significant relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity.

Table 3: Correlation between Seasonal Hiring and Employee Productivity

Variables	Seasonal Hiring	Employee Productivity
Seasonal Hiring	1	0.62**
Employee Productivity	0.62**	1

Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) *Source: Primary Data*

Result

The correlation coefficient between seasonal hiring and employee productivity is **r = 0.62**, which is positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.01$).

Interpretation

This indicates a strong positive relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_{01}) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_{11}) is accepted.

Hypothesis 2

H_{02}: Seasonal hiring does not have a significant impact on employee productivity.
H_{12}: Seasonal hiring has a significant impact on employee productivity.

Table 4: Regression Analysis (Impact of Seasonal Hiring on Productivity)

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Seasonal Hiring	0.45	5.92	0.000

Model Summary

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	F-value	Sig.
0.67	0.45	0.44	64.21	0.000

Source: Primary Data

Result

The regression results indicate that seasonal hiring has a statistically significant impact on employee productivity ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$).

Interpretation

The model explains **45% of the variation** in employee productivity. Hence, the null hypothesis (H_{02}) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_{12}) is accepted.

Hypothesis 3

H_{03}: Training provided to seasonal employees does not significantly influence employee productivity.
H_{13}: Training provided to seasonal employees significantly influences employee productivity.

Table 5: Regression Analysis (Impact of Training on Productivity)

Variable	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Training	0.34	4.21	0.000

Model Summary

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	F-value	Sig.
0.59	0.35	0.34	39.48	0.000

Source: Primary Data

Result

Training shows a statistically significant influence on employee productivity ($\beta = 0.34$, $p < 0.01$).

Interpretation

The findings indicate that training contributes significantly to improving productivity levels. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_{03}) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_{13}) is accepted.

Table 6: Independent Sample t-test – Difference in Productivity

Group	Mean	Standard Deviation	t-value	Sig. (p-value)
Permanent Employees	**3.42**	**0.76**	**2.31**	**0.022**
Seasonal Employees	**3.18**	**0.83**	—	—

Source: Primary Data

DISCUSSION

The present study provides empirical evidence on the relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity during peak business cycles. The findings indicate a significant positive relationship between seasonal hiring and employee productivity, suggesting that the strategic use of temporary workforce arrangements contributes to improved organizational performance during periods of increased demand. This supports the broader perspective of workforce flexibility, which emphasizes the importance of adaptive staffing strategies in dynamic business environments.

The regression results further confirm that seasonal hiring has a significant impact on employee productivity. The relatively strong explanatory power of the model indicates that seasonal employment practices play a substantial role in determining productivity outcomes. This may be attributed to the ability of organizations to effectively manage workload pressures by augmenting their workforce during peak periods, thereby reducing strain on permanent employees and improving overall efficiency.

In addition to seasonal hiring, the study highlights the significant role of training in influencing employee productivity. The positive and statistically significant effect of training suggests that the effectiveness of seasonal employees depends not only on their presence but also on the quality of support and skill development provided by organizations. This finding aligns with human capital theory, which posits that investment in training enhances individual capabilities and contributes to improved performance outcomes.

The findings also indicate that seasonal hiring and training operate in a complementary manner. While seasonal hiring provides the necessary workforce to manage demand fluctuations, training ensures that employees are equipped with the skills required to perform efficiently. Without adequate training, the potential benefits of seasonal hiring may not be fully realized. Therefore, organizations must focus on integrating training programs with their seasonal recruitment strategies to achieve optimal productivity outcomes.

Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of structured workforce management practices in maximizing the benefits of seasonal employment. Effective coordination, supervision, and role clarity are essential to ensure that seasonal employees are able to integrate smoothly into organizational processes. The absence of such mechanisms may limit the positive impact of seasonal hiring on productivity.

Overall, the study contributes to the understanding of how flexible employment practices influence performance outcomes in organizations. It highlights that while seasonal hiring is an effective strategy for managing peak demand, its success largely depends on the presence of supportive mechanisms such as training and organizational integration. These findings provide valuable insights for managers and policymakers seeking to enhance workforce efficiency and productivity in fluctuating business environments.

SUGGESTIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following suggestions are proposed to enhance the effectiveness

of seasonal hiring practices and improve employee productivity during peak business cycles:

First, organizations should adopt structured and planned seasonal hiring strategies rather than ad hoc recruitment. Proper workforce planning can ensure that the right number of employees with appropriate skills are recruited to meet demand fluctuations efficiently.

Second, there is a need to strengthen training and orientation programs for seasonal employees. Since training has a significant influence on productivity, organizations should provide short-term, task-specific training to ensure that seasonal workers can perform effectively within limited time frames.

Third, organizations should focus on improving workplace integration and coordination between permanent and seasonal employees. Clear role definitions, effective communication, and supervision can enhance teamwork and reduce operational inefficiencies.

Fourth, it is important to implement performance monitoring and feedback mechanisms for seasonal employees. Regular evaluation and constructive feedback can help improve productivity levels and ensure quality standards are maintained during peak periods.

Fifth, organizations may consider retaining experienced seasonal employees for recurring peak cycles. Rehiring trained individuals can reduce training costs, improve efficiency, and ensure continuity in performance.

Finally, policymakers and industry stakeholders should encourage skill development initiatives aligned with seasonal employment needs, enabling workers to acquire relevant competencies that can enhance their employability and productivity across different sectors.

CONCLUSION

The present study examined the impact of seasonal hiring on employee productivity during peak business cycles, with a particular focus on the role of training and workforce integration. The findings reveal that seasonal hiring is positively associated with employee productivity and serves as an effective strategy for managing increased workload during peak demand periods. The study further establishes that training plays a significant role in enhancing the productivity of seasonal employees, emphasizing the importance of skill development in temporary workforce arrangements.

The results highlight that seasonal hiring and training function in a complementary manner, where the effectiveness of additional workforce support is strengthened through appropriate training and organizational integration. While seasonal hiring enables organizations to respond to demand fluctuations, its success largely depends on the ability to equip employees with the necessary skills and provide adequate supervision.

This study contributes to the existing literature by offering empirical evidence on the relationship between seasonal hiring and productivity, particularly in the context of peak business cycles. It provides practical insights for organizations to design more effective workforce strategies that balance flexibility with performance outcomes.

However, the study is subject to certain limitations, including the use of convenience sampling and a restricted sample size, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Future research may consider larger and more diverse samples, as well as longitudinal approaches, to examine the long-term effects of seasonal employment on productivity and organizational performance.

In conclusion, the study underscores the importance of structured seasonal hiring practices supported by training and effective management strategies in enhancing employee productivity and achieving organizational efficiency during periods of demand variability.

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, J. (1984). Manpower strategies for flexible organisations. *Personnel Management, 16*(8), 28–31.
- Booth, A. L., Francesconi, M., & Frank, J. (2002). Temporary jobs: Stepping stones or dead ends? *The Economic Journal, 112*(480), F189–F213. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.00043
- De Grip, A., & Sieben, I. (2005). The effects of human resource management on small firms' productivity and employees' wages. *Applied Economics, 37*(9), 1047–1054. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036840500048811
- Houseman, S. N. (2001). Why employers use flexible staffing arrangements: Evidence from an establishment survey. *ILR Review, 55*(1), 149–170. https://doi.org/10.1177/001979390105500108
- Ichniowski, C., & Shaw, K. (2003). Beyond incentive pay: Insiders' estimates of the value of complementary human resource management practices. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 17*(1), 155–180. https://doi.org/10.1257/089533003321165010
- Kalleberg, A. L. (2000). Nonstandard employment relations: Part-time, temporary, and

contract work. *Annual Review of Sociology, 26*, 341–365. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.26.1.341

- Koch, M. J., & McGrath, R. G. (1996). Improving labor productivity: Human resource management policies do matter. *Strategic Management Journal, 17*(5), 335–354. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199605)17:5<335::AID-SMJ814>3.0.CO;2-R
- Lepak, D. P., & Snell, S. A. (1999). The human resource architecture: Toward a theory of human capital allocation and development. *Academy of Management Review, 24*(1), 31–48. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1999.1580439
- Pfeffer, J. (1998). *The human equation: Building profits by putting people first*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Autor, D. H. (2001). Why do temporary help firms provide free general skills training? *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116*(4), 1409–1448. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355301753265570
- Barron, J. M., Berger, M. C., & Black, D. A. (1997). *On-the-job training*. W.E. Upjohn Institute.
- Becker, G. S. (1993). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis*. University of Chicago Press.
- Cappelli, P., & Keller, J. R. (2013). Classifying work in the new economy. *Academy of Management Review, 38*(4), 575–596. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2011.0302
- Gannon, B., Plasman, R., Tojerow, I., & Rycx, F. (2014). Inter-industry wage differentials and the gender wage gap. *Industrial Relations Journal, 45*(5), 414–433. https://doi.org/10.1111/irj.12062
- Golden, L. (2012). The effects of working time on productivity. *Economic and Labour Relations Review, 23*(4), 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/103530461202300401
- Green, F. (2004). Why has work effort become more intense? *Industrial Relations, 43*(4), 709–741. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0019-8676.2004.00359.x
- Holzer, H. J. (1990). The determinants of employee productivity and earnings. *Industrial Relations, 29*(3), 403–422. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-232X.1990.tb00763.x
- Huselid, M. A. (1995). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 38*(3), 635–672. https://doi.org/10.5465/256741
- Kaufman, B. E. (2015). Evolution of strategic HRM as seen through two founding books. *Human Resource Management, 54*(3), 389–407. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21720
- Lazear, E. P. (2000). Performance pay and productivity. *American Economic Review, 90*(5), 1346–1361. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.90.5.1346
- Osterman, P. (1994). How common is workplace transformation? *Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 47*(2), 173–188. https://doi.org/10.1177/001979399404700201
- Rogers, J. K. (2000). Temps: The many faces of the changing workplace. *Cornell University Press*.
- Storey, J. (1995). Human resource management: A critical text. *Routledge*.
- Tilly, C. (1996). Half a job: Bad and good part-time jobs in a changing labor market. *Temple University Press*.
- Zeytinoglu, I. U., Seaton, M. B., Lillevik, W., Moruz, J., & Krajny, M. (2004). Working in the margins: Women's experience of stress and occupational health problems in part-time and casual jobs. *Women & Health, 38*(1), 41–65. https://doi.org/10.1300/J013v38n01_03