

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hyper mature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

Dr. Sachin Tammannavar¹, Dr Pooja B Wagganavar², Dr Ramya Karjol^{3*}

¹Associate Professor, Karwar Institute of Medical Sciences, Karwar, Department of Ophthalmology, Specialization: Ophthalmology, Email ID: tammannavarsachin888@gmail.com sss

²Assistant Professor Karwar Institute of Medical Sciences Karwar, Email ID: poojavgnr@gmail.com

^{3*}Assistant professor, Shri.B.M.Patil Medical College, Vijayapura Email ID: rkajol@gmail.com

Background:

Cataract is a major cause of visual impairment in diabetic patients. This study is to diagnose diabetic complications in a dense cataract using B-scan ultrasonography so that patient management strategy can be properly planned. and prior information regarding the post- operative visual prognosis can be given.

Objectives:

- 1)To evaluate posterior segment of patients with dense cataract using B-scan ultrasonography.
- 2)To find the efficacy of B-scan ultrasonography in evaluating posterior segment by comparing B-scan findings with that of the post-operative fundoscopic findings.

Methodology:

A hospital-based prospective observational study conducted in 202 diabetics with dense cataract, at HIMS during December 2017 to May 2019. Patients with ocular trauma, corneal pathology, uveitis, glaucoma, intraoperative complications like posterior capsular rent, iris prolapse, iridodialysis, capsular dialysis and complicated cataract like pathological myopia, retinitis pigmentosa were excluded from the study. Preoperative B-scan ultrasonography performed to evaluate posterior segment. They underwent manual SICS with PCIOL implantation under peribulbar block. Postoperatively followed up at 1week, vision and dilated funduscopy to evaluate posterior segment. Funduscopy was compared with preoperative B-scan report and the efficacy of B-scan was analyzed.

Results:We found among 202 eyes, B-scan in 129 (63.9%) normal, 24.8% had age related vitreous changes, only 11.3% of the patients had Proliferative diabetic Retinopathy changes. Among the patients with normal B-scan, postoperative funduscopy was normal in 75.2% patients and DR changes and macular edema in 24.8% of the patients with normal B-scan.

Interpretation and Conclusion:

B-scan is effective in evaluating posterior segment and helps in providing visual prognosis. Though B-scan helps in assessing the approximate visual prognosis, DR changes and macular edema in 24.8% of the patients with normal B-scan which could be the cause for low vision postoperatively. Thus, guarded visual prognosis has to be explained to all the diabetic patients with dense cataracts preoperatively.

Keywords: B-scan Ultrasonography ;postop funduscopy;diabetic macular edema

INTRODUCTION

B-scan ultrasonography is a powerful, safe, cost-effective, non-ionising non invasive diagnostic tool for evaluating the posterior segment in eyes with opaque media caused by corneal opacities, dense cataracts, vitreous hemorrhage due to which ophthalmic evaluation becomes difficult and least informative.(1)

Baum and greenwood jointly reported the first application of "brightness modulated" B-Scan in ophthalmology. They used an immersion method. In 1972 Bronson and Turner described the first contact B-Scan making ultrasound an easy and patient friendly imaging modality.(2) The evaluation of posterior segment in eyes with opaque ocular media is the major indication for the use of ocular ultrasonography.(3,4)

There are 285 million people with diabetes mellitus in the whole world. According to the WHO, there are 31.7 million people affected by diabetes mellitus (DM) in India in the year 2000.(5)Signs of diabetic retinopathy occur in 95% of type 1 diabetics and 60% of type 2 diabetics with longer duration.(6).Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India is 21.7%.(5)

Cataract is considered as an important cause of low vision in diabetic patients and the prevalence of cataract in diabetics is 65.7%(7)and there is faster progression of cataract among them. The most common ocular complications of diabetes mellitus is cataract and other significant anterior segment complication include pupillary abnormalities. Posterior segment complications may include diabetic retinopathy, retinal vein occlusion, asteroid hyalosis, vitreous hemorrhage, posterior vitreous detachment, papillopathy, optic neuritis,retinal detachment and neurophthalmic disorders.In advanced diabetic eye disease, persistent vitreous hemorrhage, pre-retinal hemorrhage, tractional retinal detachment, posterior hyaloid membrane, neovascular glaucoma with rubeosis iridis may be seen.(8)

Advances in cataract surgical techniques and instrumentation have generally improved the outcomes; however,surgery may not be safe and effective in certain individuals with pre-existing retinal pathology or limited visual potential.We aim of diagnose diabetic complications in the eyes with

*Author for Correspondence: rkajol@gmail.com

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hypermature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

cataract using B-scan ultrasonography so that patient management strategy can be properly planned. And prior information regarding the post-operative visual prognosis can be given to the patient.

This study is an effort to study the incidence of significant posterior segment abnormalities in eyes with advanced cataracts in diabetic patients using preoperative B-scan ultrasonography and postoperative fundoscopy.

OBJECTIVES

1) To evaluate posterior segment of diabetic patient with dense cataract preoperatively using B-scan ultrasonography

2) To find the efficacy of B-scan ultrasonography in evaluating posterior segment by comparing B-scan findings with that of the post-operative fundoscopic findings

METHODOLOGY

Source of Data:

Study was conducted on 200 consecutive eyes of 200 diabetic patients with mature or hypermature cataract who fulfill inclusion and exclusion criteria, attending outpatient department of Ophthalmology at Sri HIMS teaching hospital, HIMS, Hassan from December 2017 to May 2019

Methods of collection of data:

A prospective observational study was conducted in diabetic patients with mature or hypermature cataract attending outpatient Department of Ophthalmology who underwent cataract surgery at HIMS teaching hospital, Hassan. The patients were included in the study by applying the following Inclusion and Exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

Patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus attending outpatient department with mature or hypermature cataract who will undergo cataract surgery in HIMS teaching hospital, Hassan were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria:

Following patients were excluded from the study- Patients with ocular trauma

1) Patients with corneal pathology

2) Patients with uveitis

3) Patients with glaucoma

4) Patients with intraocular complications like posterior capsular rent, iris prolapse, iridodialysis, capsular dialysis

5) Patients with other causes of complicated cataract like pathological myopia, retinitis pigmentosa etc

Methodology:

The aims and objectives of the intended study were properly explained to the subjects and informed consent were taken. Data were collected as per the proforma sheet.

Ophthalmological workup was done as follows. Visual acuity, anterior segment evaluation by slit lamp biomicroscopy, direct and indirect ophthalmoscopy of other eye, retinoscopy, intraocular pressure measurement using applanation tonometer, lacrimal

sac syringing, keratometry and A-scan and biometric calculation of IOL power were done in all patients.

All patients diagnosed with mature or hypermature cataract were subjected for laboratory investigations like RBS/ PBS and PPBS to diagnose diabetes mellitus. They were also subjected for blood investigations like HIV, HBsAg, complete blood count. Other investigations like ECG were also done for these patients.

All patients who were known cases of diabetes and the newly diagnosed diabetic patients with mature and hypermature cataract were subjected for preoperative B-scan ultrasonography for the posterior segment evaluation.

Technique: The patients were made to sit on the examination table. They were evaluated using ultrasound machine equipped with a linear high-frequency probe of 10 MHz placed in Ophthalmology department. Contact method of examination was used. The probe was placed over the closed eyelid after application of coupling gel. B-scan images were obtained in axial, transverse and longitudinal sections. The lowest possible decibel gain to the maintenance of adequate intensity was used to optimize the resolution of images.

These patients underwent manual Small incision cataract surgery with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation under peribulbar block. Post-operatively these patients were given the following medications- Tablet Ciprofloxacin 500mg for 5 days, Tablet Ranitidine 150mg for 5 days, Tablet Diclofenac 50mg for 5 days,

Moxifloxacin with Dexamethasone eye/drops 1° 8 times a day for 1 week, Nepafenac e/d %1° TID. Antibiotic with steroid eye drops was tapered and stopped over a period of 6 weeks.

Follow up:

These patients were then followed up 1 week after cataract surgery with visual acuity, anterior segment evaluation and dilated funduscopy using indirect ophthalmoscopy. Fundoscopic findings were compared with preoperative B-scan findings. Further post-operative follow ups and management are advised accordingly.

Statistical Analysis:

Data was entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS version 22 software. Descriptive statistical analysis was done. The results were expressed in frequencies, percentage, means, and standard deviations.

Chi square test was used for categorical variables. Results with P value <0.05 were considered as Significant.

SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION

Rajiv Raman et al. found that the prevalence of cataract in diabetes mellitus is 65.7%. In the present study, expecting similar results to get 80% power and 95% confidence level, the sample size was calculated as follows.

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hypermature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

$N=4pq/d^2 = 4 \times 65.7 \times 34.3 / 13.14 \times 13.14 = 52.20$
 Where N=sample size p=prevalence of ocular hypertension(65.7) q=100-p(34.3 d=20% of p(13.14).
 Even though the calculated sample size was 52, all diabetic patients with mature cataract presenting to our hospital during the study period were recruited the study. So the study was completed with a purposive sampling technique with 202 eyes of 202 diabetic patients with mature cataract.

RESULTS

This study was done on 202 eyes of 202 patients attending outpatient department of Ophthalmology at HIMS, Hassan during study period of december-2017 to may-2019.

The following observations were made in this study. Mean age was 62.82843 with a range between 22 to 86 years of age.

TABLE 1:Gender distribution

| Gender | | |
|---------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| Male | 87 | 43.1 |
| Female | 115 | 56.9 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Among the total 202 patients, 87(43.1%)were male sand 115(56.9%)were females.

TABLE 2:Laterality

| Laterality | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| Right | 109 | 54.5 |
| Left | 91 | 45.5 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Right eyewas involved in109(54.5%) of thepatientsand left eyein91(45.5%) of the patients .

TABLE 3:Duration of diabetes mellitus

| DMduration | | |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| <1year | 43 | 21.3 |
| 1to5years | 76 | 37.6 |
| 5to10years | 58 | 28.7 |
| 10to20years | 21 | 10.4 |
| >20years | 4 | 2.0 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Amongthe 202 patients,43 (21.3%)of the patients had diabetes mellitus for a duration of less than 1year. 76 (37.6%) ofthe patients had diabetes for a duration between 1to 5 years. 58 (28.7%) of the patients had diabetes for a dur-ation between 5 -10 years. 21(10.4%) of the patients had diabetes for a duration between 10-20 years.04 (2.0%) of the patients had diabetes for a duration more than 20 years.

TABLE 4: B-Scan findings

| B-scan | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| Normal | 129 | 63.9 |
| SynchiticVitreous | 19 | 9.4 |
| PVDincomplete | 23 | 11.4 |
| CompletePVD | 5 | 2.5 |
| AsteroidHyalosis | 1 | 0.5 |
| Vitreoush'ge | 7 | 3.5 |
| RetinalDetachment | 6 | 3.0 |
| Asteroidhyalosis+VH | 1 | 0.5 |
| RD+VH | 4 | 2.0 |
| VH+PVD | 5 | 2.5 |
| PosteriorStaphyloma+PVD | 1 | 0.5 |

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hypermature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

| | | |
|----------------------|-----|-------|
| CompletePVD+Asteroid | 1 | 0.5 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Out of 202 eyes, B-scan in 129 (63.9%) patients were nonnal, 19 (9.4%) of patients had synchitic vitreous, 23 (11.4%) of patients had incomplete Posterior Vitreous Detachment (PVD), 5 (2.5%) had complete PVD, 1 (0.5%) had patient had asteroid hyalosis, 7 (3.5%) had vitreous hemon-hage (VH), 6 (3%) had retinal detachment, 1 (0.5%) had asteroid hyalosis with VH, 4 (2%) had RD with VH, 5 (2.5%) VH with PVD, 1 (0.5%) had Posterior staphyloma with PVD, 1 (0.5%) had Complete PVD with Asteroid hyalosis.

TABLE 5: POSTOP-BEST CORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY

| Postopvision | | |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| 6/6 TO 6/12 | 139 | 68.8 |
| 6/18 TO 6/36 | 35 | 17.3 |
| 6/60 TO 1/60 | 15 | 7.4 |
| Worsethan 1/60 | 13 | 6.4 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Post operatively, 139 (68.8%) patients had a BCVA between 6/6 to 6/12, 35 (17.3%) patients had a vision between 6/18 to 6/36, 15 (7.4%) patients had BCVA between 6/60 to 1/60, 13 (6.4%) patients had a vision less than 1/60.

TABLE 6: Postop-fundoscopy

| Post-op fundoscopy | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| NOOR | 114 | 56.4 |
| MILD NPDR | 14 | 6.9 |
| MODERATE NPDR | 23 | 11.4 |
| SEVERE NPDR | 6 | 3.0 |
| PDR | 5 | 2.5 |
| ADED-VH+TRD | 12 | 5.9 |
| Mild NPDR+PVD | 6 | 3.0 |
| MODERATE NPDR+ME | 4 | 2.0 |
| Severe NPDR+ME | 2 | 1.0 |
| VH | 5 | 2.5 |
| TRD | 2 | 1.0 |
| MACULAREDEMA | 7 | 3.5 |
| Posterior Staphyloma+PVD mild NPDR | 1 | 0.5 |
| PVD | 1 | 0.5 |
| Total | 202 | 100.0 |

Postoperatively, fundoscopy revealed normal fundus in 114 (56.4%) patients, mild NPDR in 14 (6.9%) patients, moderate NPDR in 23 (11.4%) patients, severe NPDR in 6 (3%) patients, PDR in 5 (2.5%) patients, advanced diabetic eye disease (ADED) in 12 patients (5.9%), mild NPDR with PVD seen in 6 (3%) patients, moderate NPDR with clinically significant macular edema (CSME) in 4 (2%) patients, severe NPDR with CSME in 2 (1%) patients, VH in 5 (2.5%) patients, tractional retinal detachment (TRD) in 2 (1%) patients, cystoids macular edema (CME) in 7 (3.5%) patients, posterior staphyloma with PVD with mild NPDR in 1 (0.5%) patient, PVD in 1 (0.5%) patient.

TABLE 7: comparison of normal B-scan image with post op fundoscopy

| POSTOPFUNDOSCOPY | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | NO DR | Mild NPDR | Moderate NPDR | Severe NPDR | Macular edema | Total |
| | 97 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 129 |
| B-SCAN Normal | 75.2% | 3.9% | 14.7% | 3.1% | 3.1% | 100.0% |

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hyper mature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

Among the 129 patients with normal B-scan, 97 (75.2%) had normal fundus with no DR changes, 5(3.9%) patients had mild NPDR, 19(14.7%) patients had moderate NPDR, 4(3.1%) patients had severe NPDR, 4(3.1%) patients had CME. (P<0.001) This was statistically significant.

TABLE 8: Postopfundoscopy in patients with age related vitreous changes in B- scan-1

| Postopfundoscopy | | NODR | MILD NPDR | MODERATE NPDR | SEVERE NPDR | PDR |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| B-scan | Synchitic Vitreous | 9 47.4% | 2 10.5% | 3 15.8% | 2 10.5% | 1 5.3% |
| | PVD incomplete | 7 30.4% | 7 30.4% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% |
| | Complete PVD | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 1 20.0% | 0 0.0% |
| | Asteroid Hyalosis | 1 100.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% |

TABLE 9: Postop fundoscopy in patients with age related vitreous changes in Bscan-2

| Postop fundoscopy | | Mild NPDR + PVD | Moderate NPDR + CME | Severe NPDR + CME | Macular edema | Posterior Staphyloma + PVD mild NPDR | Total |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| B-scan | Synchitic Vitreous | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 2 10.5% | 0 0.0% | 19 100.0% |
| | PVD incomplete | 2 8.7% | 4 17.4% | 2 8.7% | 1 4.3% | 0 0.0% | 23 100.0% |
| | Complete PVD | 4 80.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 5 100.0% |
| | Asteroid Hyalosis | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 1 100.0% |

Among the 19 patients with synchitic vitreous in B-scan, 9(47.4%) patients had normal fundus, 2(10.5%) had mild NPDR, 3(15.8%) had moderate NPDR, 2(10.5%) had severe NPDR, 1(5.3%) had PDR, and 2(10.5%) had CME.

Among 23 patients with incomplete PVD, 7 (30.4%) patients had normal fundus, 7(30.4%) had mild NPDR, 2 (8.7%) had mild NPDR with PVD, 4 (17.4%) had moderate NPDR with CSME, 2(8.7%) had severe NPDR with CSME, 1(4.3%) had CME.

Among 5 patients with complete PVD, 1 (20%) had severe NPDR, 4(80%) had mild NPDR with PVD. 1 patient with asteroid hyalosis on B-scan had PVD..(p=0.000) This was statistically significant.

TABLE 10: Postopfundoscopy of patients with Vitreo-Retinal complex pathology in B-scan

| Postop fundoscopy | | MODERATE NPDR | SEVERE NPDR | PD R | AD ED-VH+ TRD | VH | Posterior Staphyloma + PVD Mild NPDR | PVD | Total |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| RD | | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 3 50.0% | 3 50.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 6 100.0% |
| | Asteroid hyalosis + VH | 1 100% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 1 100.0% |

"Preoperative B-Scan Ultrasonography Versus Postoperative Fundoscopy Among Mature And Hyper mature Cataract In Diabetic Patients"

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|
| B-Scan | RD+ VH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | VH+ PVD | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | 0.0% | 20.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | VH | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 14.3% | 14.3% | 71.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | Posterior Staphyloma+ PVD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| | Complete PVD + Asteroid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100% | 100.0% |

Among 7 patients with VH in B-scan, one(14.3%) had PDR, one(14.3%) had ADED(VH+ TRD),5(71.4%)had VH.Among 6 patients with RD on B-scan, 3(50%)had ADED, 3(50%) had PDR.One patient with asteroid hyalosis+ VH on B-scan had moderate NPDR with PVD.4 Patients with RD+VH on B-scan had ADED,One patient with Posterior Staphyloma + PVD had Posterior Staphyloma + PVD mild NPDR. One with complete PVD+ Asteroid hyalosis had PVD on funduscopy. (p=.150)

DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted in 202 eyes of 202 diabetic patients with mature cataract who were willing to undergo cataract surgery attending outpatient Department of Ophthalmology, at HIMS Hassan.

In our study, we found out of 202 eyes, B-scan in 129 (63.9%) patients were normal, 19 (9.4%) of patients had synchitic vitreous, 23(11.4%) of patients had incomplete Posterior Vitreous Detachment (PVD) , 5 (2.5%) had complete PVD,1 (0.5%) had patient had asteroid hyalosis, 7(3.5%)had vitreous hemorrhage(VH) , 6(3%) had retinal detachment,1 (0.5%) had asteroid hyalosis with VH,4(2%)had RD with VH, 5(2.5%)VH with PVD,1 (0.5%) had Posterior staphyloma with PVD, 1(0.5%) had Complete PVD with Asteroid hyalosis. Majority of the patients had no pathology, followed by vitreous pathologies like synchisis, PVD, VH and RD.

Faheem et al(3) studied 227eyes of 200 patients with cataract.

On B-Scan they found posterior segment pathology in 18 (7.90%) eyes . Normal posterior segment was seen in 209 (92.1%)eyes. The most common pathology was posterior staphyloma in 8 (3.52%) eyes, Vitreous haemorrhage in 3eyes, Intravitreal membrane in 02 eyes, Chorioretinal thickening in 2 eyes, Retinal detachment in 2 eyes, Optic disc edema in 1 eyes. Out of 200 patients 163 (81.5%)had no any systemic or ocular risk factor for abnormal posterior segment, where as 37 (18.5 %) were associated with systemic and ocular risk factors like diabetes, hypertension and early age, posterior synechia, raised intra ocular pressure and keratic precipitates. (3)

Chanchlani et al (8)conducted a study on 425 eyes of 400 patients to analyse the role of B- scans ultrasound in detecting posterior segment pathology in hyper

mature cataract cases. They found no pathology in 388(91.30%)cases, Posterior staphyloma in 15(3.52%)cases,

Vitreous hemorrhage in 7(1.64%),Vitreous membrane in 5(1.20%),Chorioretinal Thickening in 6 (1.41%),and Retinal detachment in 4(0.94%)cases.

In our study all these 202 patients, underwent manual small incision cataract surgery with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation under peribulbar block. Postoperatively at 1 week they were examined for best corrected visual acuity. Among these, 139(68.8%) patients had a vision between 6/6 to 6/12, 35(17.3%) patients had a vision between 6/18 to 6/36, 15(7.4%) patients had vision between 6/60 to 1/60, 13(6.4%) patients had a vision less than 1/60.

AnnaZacze et al (10)assessed visual acuity and progression of Diabetic retinopathy after Phacoemulsification and they found visual acuity of 46 diabetic eyes (88%), was improved 1 year after surgery and only six eyes (12%)were the same or worse. 41diabetic eyes (79%) had improved to 0.5 or better BCVA and 11 eyes (21%)had a final BCVA less than 0.5. Significantly lower final corrected VA was found 1 year after surgery in eyes with advanced DR (median0.5) compared with controls(1.0) and eyes with no or mild to moderate DR (1.0). Angiographic cystoid macular oedema was found in 15% of all diabetic eyes 1 week postoperatively.41 eyes(79%) showed no change or improvement of the retinal status 1 year after cataract surgery. Progression was found in 11 eyes (21%), mainly in eyes with mild to moderate DR and moderate to severe DR. They concluded that the final visual outcome was improved in the majority of diabetic eyes. Eyes with CSMO at

the time of surgery had the worst prognosis regarding postoperative VA.

R.J. Antcliff et al (11) conducted a retrospective study in a consecutive group of diabetic patients in 74 operated eyes who underwent phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation over a 2 year period. They compared this group with 66 diabetic eyes who underwent extracapsular surgery and lens implantation. They did not find any significant differences in complications, progression of the retinopathy, or final visual acuity. 74% of the phacoemulsification group achieved an acuity of 6/12 or better compared with 68% of the extracapsular group. The most common cause of poor visual acuity in the phacoemulsification group was maculopathy, particularly in the presence of proliferative retinopathy in older patients. They concluded that the outcome of cataract surgery in diabetics is largely determined by the degree of maculopathy. Phacoemulsification and extracapsular cataract surgery give similar visual results. Diabetic retinopathy should not be considered a contraindication to small-incision cataract surgery and phacoemulsification.

In our study, postoperative fundoscopy revealed normal fundus in 114 (56.4%) patients, mild NPDR in 14 (6.9%) patients, moderate NPDR in 23 (11.4%) patients, severe NPDR in 6 (3%) patients, PDR in 5 (2.5%) patients, advanced diabetic eye disease (ADED) in 12 patients (5.9%), mild NPDR with PVD seen in 6 (3%) patients, moderate NPDR with clinically significant macular edema (CSME) in 4 (2%) patients, severe NPDR with CSME in 2 (1%) patients, VH in 5 (2.5%) patients, tractional retinal detachment (TRD) in 2 (1%) patients, cystoid macular edema (CME) in 7 (3.5%) patients, posterior staphyloma with PVD with mild NPDR in 1 (0.5%) patient, PVD in 1 (0.5%) patient. Patients with mild and moderate NPDR were advised antioxidants and were advised for good glycemic control and regular follow-ups. Patients with CME and CSME were treated with topical NSAIDs like Nepafenac eye drops thrice a day. Patients with PDR underwent panretinal photocoagulation at 4 weeks after cataract surgery. Patients with VH/TRD/ADED were referred to higher centers for vitreoretinal surgery. All the patients were advised for good glycemic control and regular follow-ups. Henricsson et al (12) analysed DR before and after cataract surgery in 70 patients and they found that most patients obtained improved visual acuity; a postoperative visual acuity of 0.5 or better was achieved in 89% of diabetic surgical eyes. Progression of the retinopathy occurred in 30 out of the 70 eyes, and was associated with mean level of HbA1C ($p=0.04$), duration of diabetes ($p=0.02$), insulin treatment ($p=0.001$), and presence of retinopathy at baseline ($p=0.01$). Patients who progressed had a significantly higher incidence of macular oedema ($p=0.006$) than those who did not progress. They concluded that the patients with PDR, obtained good visual acuity, better than in most previous studies. Poor glycemic control

was found to be an important factor for the progression of diabetic retinopathy after cataract surgery.

Our study showed, among the 129 patients with normal B-scan, 97 (75.2%) had normal fundus with no DR changes and 25% of the patients had significant DR changes which included 5 (3.9%) patients with mild NPDR, 19 (14.7%) patients with moderate NPDR, 4 (3.1%) patients with severe NPDR, 4 (3.1%) patients with CME. ($P<0.001$). This was statistically significant. These 25% of the patients are there as on for explaining the guarded visual prognosis.

Among the 19 patients with synechitic vitreous in B-scan, 9 (47.4%) patients had normal fundus, 2 (10.5%) had mild NPDR, 3 (15.8%) had moderate NPDR, 2 (10.5%) had severe NPDR, 1 (5.3%) had PDR, and 2 (10.5%) had CME. Among 23 patients with incomplete PVD, 7 (30.4%) patients had normal fundus, 7 (30.4%) had mild NPDR, 2 (8.7%) had mild NPDR with PVD, 4 (17.4%) had moderate NPDR with CSME, 2 (8.7%) had severe NPDR with CSME, 1 (4.3%) had CME. Among 5 patients with complete PVD, 1 (20%) had severe NPDR, 4 (80%) had mild NPDR with PVD. 1 patient with asteroid hyalosis on B-scan had PVD. ($p=0.000$) this was found to be statistically significant. Among the 48 patients with age related vitreous changes including synechitic vitreous, asteroid hyalosis and PVD, 17 (35.4%) patients had normal fundus and significant percentage (64.5%) of patients had DR changes including NPDR, PDR and CME. Patients with these age related vitreous changes had higher chances of DR changes compared to the patients with normal B-scan. Hence, the need for explaining the guarded visual prognosis in these patients is a must.

Among 6 patients with RD on B-scan, 3 (50%) had ADED, 3 (50%) had PDR. One patient with asteroid hyalosis + VH on B-scan had moderate NPDR with PVD. Among 7 patients with VH in B-scan, one (14.3%) had PDR, one (14.3%) had ADED (VH + TRD), 5 (71.4%) had VH. 4 Patients with RD + VH on B-scan had ADED. One patient with Posterior Staphyloma + PVD had Posterior Staphyloma + PVD mild NPDR. ($p=0.150$). These 5.5% of the patients with preoperative Proliferative diabetic Retinopathy changes like Vitreous hemorrhage and Retinal detachment will have to be definitely explained about the guarded or nil visual prognosis.

B-scan is helpful in identifying the structural abnormality of vitreous retinal complex. However, all normal B-scan picture does not mean the patient will have 6/6 vision post operatively because significant percentage of patients can have DR changes and macular edema which is the major cause for low vision postoperatively. These macular edema/ DR changes cannot be detected preoperatively with B-scan. Hence, any diabetic patient with dense cataract can be guaranteed with good vision. Thus, guarded visual prognosis has to be explained to all the diabetic patients with mature and hypermature cataract preoperatively

CONCLUSION

B-scan ultrasonography is a valuable diagnostic tool in evaluation of posterior segment in case of opaque media.

B-scan among diabetic patients with mature cataracts was found to be normal in 63.9% of the patients. 24.8% had age related vitreous changes like synchysis, incomplete Posterior Vitreous Detachment, complete PVD and Asteroid hyalosis. Only 11.3% of the patients had Proliferative diabetic Retinopathy pathologies like Vitreous hemorrhage and Retinal detachment.

Though B-scan is effective in anatomical evaluation of posterior segment and helps in assessing the approximate visual prognosis, among the patients with normal B-scan picture, postoperative fundoscopy was normal in 75.2% patients and postoperative fundoscopy showed DR changes and macular edema in 24.8% of the patients with normal B-scan. These findings could be the major cause for low vision postoperatively. Thus, guarded visual prognosis has to be explained to all the diabetic patients with mature and hypermature patients preoperatively.

Among the patients with age related vitreous changes, 35.4% patients had normal fundus and 64.5% of patients had DR changes including NPDR, PDR and CME. Patients with these age related vitreous changes had higher chances of DR changes compared to the patients with normal B-scan. Hence, the need for explaining the guarded visual prognosis in these patients is a must.

11.3% of the patients with preoperative Proliferative diabetic Retinopathy changes like Vitreous hemorrhage and Retinal detachment will have to be definitely explained about the guarded or nil visual prognosis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Agrawal R, Ahirwal S. A study of role of B scan Intultrasound in posterior segment pathology of eye. *J Med Res Rev* 2015;3(9):969-974
- 2) Byrne S F, Green RL. *Ultrasound of the Eye and Orbit*. 2nd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby Year Book; 2002.
- 3) Faheem Ullah Shaikh, Ashok Kumar Narsani, Shafi Muhammad Jatoi, Ziauddin A. Shaikh. Preoperative Posterior Segment Evaluation by Ultrasonography in Dense Cataract *Pak J Ophthalmol* 2009, Vol. 25 No. 4
- 4) Qureshi MA, Laghari K (2010) Role of B-scan ultrasonography in pre-operative cataract patients. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)* 4:31-37.
- 5) Gadkari, et al.: AIOS diabetic retinopathy eye screening study 2014 ; *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology* Vol. 64 No. 1
- 6) Andreas. Pollreisz and Ursula Schmidt- Erfurth Review Article on Diabetic Cataract- Pathogenesis, Epidemiology and Treatment Hindawi Publishing Corporation *Journal of Ophthalmology* Volume 2010, 8 pages
- 7) Rajiv Raman et al; Prevalence and Risk Factors for Cataract in Diabetes. *IOVS Papers in Press*.

Published on I OJUVISy 7, 2010 as Manuscript iovs.10-5414

- 8) PerFlesner, Birgit Sander, Vibeke Henning, Hans-Henrik Parving, Morten Domonville de la Cour and Henrik Lund-Andersen; Cataract surgery on diabetic patients. A prospective evaluation of risk factors and complications, *Acta Ophthalmol. Scand.* 2002; 80: 19-24
- 9) Chanchlani M, Chanchlani R (2016) A Study of Posterior Segment Evaluation by B-Scan in Hyper Mature Cataract. *J Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 7: 516
- 10) Anna Zaczek, Goran Olivestedt, Charlotta Zetterstrom. Visual outcome after phacoemulsification and IOL implantation in diabetic patients; *Br J Ophthalmol* 1999;83:1036-1041
- 11) R.J. Antcliff, A. Poulson and D.W. Flanagan. Phacoemulsification in Diabetics; *Eye* (1996) 10, 737-741
- 12) Marianne Hemicsson, Anders Heijl, Lars Janzon. Diabetic retinopathy before and after cataract surgery; *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 1996;80:789-793