RESEARCH PAPER

The Impact of Interpersonal Communication on Patient Outcomes and Physician Well‑being: Addressing the Communication Imperative in Medical Education Through Microteaching

Gellknight Christina[1], Nilakantan Ananthakrishnan[2], Panchadcharam Harinath[3*], Turgenbayev Ilyas[4], Aparna[5]

[1]Gellknight Christina, MHPE Scholar, Institute of Health Professions Education, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Pondicherry. mail id: cgellknight@gmail.com

[2] Nilakantan Ananthakrishnan, Department of Surgery and Institute of Health Professions Education, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Pondicherry. mail id: n.ananthk@gmail.com

[3]Panchadcharam Harinath, MHPE Scholar, Institute of Health Professions Education, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, Pondicherry. mail id: spharii@gmail.com. ORCID id: 0000-0001-9589-4198

[4]Turgenbayev Ilyas, HPE wing, Edicine, Chennai. mail id: tiliask@outlook.com. ORCID id: 0009-0002-3291-837X

[5]Aparna, HPE wing, Edicine, Chennai. mail id: aparnareddy446@gmail.com. ORCID id: 0009-0001-5027-1197

Received: 31th Mar, 2026; Revised: 29th Apr 2026; Accepted: 13th May, 2026; Available Online: 19th May, 2026

ABSTRACT

Background

Interpersonal communication is increasingly recognized as a critical determinant of healthcare quality, patient safety, and physician well-being. Despite major advances in biomedical sciences and healthcare technology, ineffective communication remains a leading contributor to medical errors, patient dissatisfaction, and professional burnout among healthcare providers. Medical education systems across the world continue to struggle with integrating structured communication training into competency-based curricula.

Aim

This review aims to critically examine the impact of interpersonal communication on patient outcomes and physician well-being, identify systemic barriers to effective communication training in medical education, and evaluate the role of microteaching as a focused pedagogical strategy for improving communication competencies among medical trainees.

Methods

A narrative review approach was adopted using published literature from peer-reviewed journals, systematic reviews, educational reports, and policy documents focusing on communication in healthcare, patient outcomes, physician burnout, medical education, simulation-based training, and microteaching methodologies.

Results

The evidence consistently demonstrates that effective physician–patient communication improves diagnostic accuracy, treatment adherence, patient satisfaction, trust, therapeutic alliance, and patient safety. Strong communication skills are also associated with reduced malpractice litigation and improved physician resilience and professional satisfaction. However, systemic barriers such as hidden curricula, curricular overload, inadequate assessment strategies, insufficient faculty development, and institutional pressures continue to hinder communication training. Microteaching emerged as a highly effective, scalable, and learner-centered pedagogical approach that enhances communication competency through focused practice, structured feedback, reflective learning, and iterative improvement.

Conclusion

Communication should be recognized and taught as a core clinical competency rather than an optional soft skill. Integrating structured microteaching approaches into undergraduate and postgraduate medical education may significantly improve patient-centered care, physician well-being, and healthcare outcomes.

Keywords: Interpersonal communication; Medical education; Patient outcomes; Physician burnout; Microteaching; Communication skills; Patient safety; Medical training

How to cite this article: Gellknight C, Nilakantan A, Panchadcharam H, Turgenbayev I, Aparna, The Impact of Interpersonal Communication on Patient Outcomes and Physician Well‑being: Addressing the Communication Imperative in Medical Education Through Microteaching. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(42s): 08-33. DOI: NA

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Modern healthcare is characterized by remarkable scientific and technological progress. However, despite these advancements, the quality of interpersonal communication between healthcare providers and patients continues to represent a major challenge within clinical practice. Communication failures are now recognized not merely an issue affecting patient satisfaction; rather, it is a major determinant of patient safety, quality of care, healthcare efficiency, and physician well-being.

Studies have demonstrated that communication breakdowns contribute significantly to diagnostic errors, medication errors, procedural complications, and preventable adverse events. Makary and Daniel estimated that medical errors account for nearly 250,000 deaths annually in the United States, making them one of the leading causes of mortality. A substantial proportion of these errors is directly and indirectly linked to failure in communication.

In addition to its influence on patient outcomes, communication also has a profound effect on healthcare professionals. Inadequate communication skills may contribute to emotional exhaustion, professional dissatisfaction, depersonalization, and physician burnout. Conversely, meaningful physician–patient interactions can reinforce empathy, resilience, and professional fulfillment.

Despite overwhelming evidence supporting the importance of communication, traditional medical education has historically prioritized biomedical knowledge and procedural expertise over relational and communication competencies. Communication training often remains fragmented, inconsistently assessed, and inadequately integrated into curricula.

This review explores the dual impact of interpersonal communication on patient outcomes and physician well-being while critically examining the educational barriers that limit effective communication training. Furthermore, it highlights microteaching as a practical and evidence-based pedagogical approach capable of strengthening communication competency in medical education.

Objectives

1. To examine the impact of interpersonal communication on patient outcomes.
2. To evaluate the relationship between communication competency and physician well-being.
3. To identify systemic barriers affecting communication training in medical education.
4. To analyze the evolution of communication pedagogy in healthcare education.
5. To evaluate the role of microteaching as an effective strategy for communication skills training.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This article is a narrative review that synthesizes evidence from medical education literature, communication studies, healthcare quality reports, and patient safety research.

Literature Search Strategy

Relevant literature was identified through searches in PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and educational databases using combinations of keywords including:

- “Interpersonal communication”
- “Patient outcomes”
- “Physician burnout”
- “Communication skills training”
- “Medical education”
- “Microteaching”
- “Patient safety”
- “Simulation-based learning”

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed journal articles
- Systematic reviews and meta-analyses
- Medical education research studies
- Communication training studies
- Publications discussing physician well-being and burnout
- Articles published in English

Exclusion Criteria

- Non-peer-reviewed publications
- Articles unrelated to healthcare communication
- Opinion pieces lacking supporting evidence

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. The Communication Imperative in Modern Healthcare

Communication is one of the most fundamental clinical tools available to physicians. The physician–patient interaction shapes diagnostic reasoning, therapeutic planning, patient engagement, and emotional support. Effective communication is now increasingly viewed as a measurable determinant of healthcare quality.

The Joint Commission has repeatedly identified communication failures as leading contributors to sentinel events and adverse patient outcomes. Communication errors affect physician–patient interactions as well as interprofessional collaboration, clinical handovers, documentation, and decision-making.

1.1 Communication Failures and Patient Safety

Breakdowns in communication may result in:

- Delayed diagnoses
- Medication errors
- Incorrect procedures
- Inadequate informed consent
- Poor discharge planning
- Failure to recognize patient deterioration
- Increased hospital readmissions

Structured communication strategies such as SBAR (Situation–Background–Assessment–Recommendation), closed-loop communication, and teach-back techniques have demonstrated measurable improvements in patient safety.

1.2 Communication and Diagnostic Accuracy

Accurate diagnosis depends heavily on effective history-taking. Studies estimate that 70–90% of diagnostic information is obtained from patient interviews.

Effective communication behaviors associated with improved diagnostic accuracy include:

- Active listening
- Open-ended questioning
- Reflective responses
- Clarification and summarization
- Exploration of psychosocial context
- Empathetic engagement

Poor communication can lead to incomplete histories, cognitive biases, premature diagnostic closure, and missed clinical cues.

1.3 Communication and Treatment Adherence

Treatment adherence remains a major challenge across healthcare systems. Patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans when physicians:

- Explain conditions clearly
- Use understandable language
- Involve patients in decision-making
- Address patient concerns and beliefs
- Build trust and rapport

Shared decision-making models significantly improve patient engagement and therapeutic compliance.

1.4 Communication, Trust, and Patient Satisfaction

Empathy and trust form the foundation of therapeutic relationships. Patients who perceive physicians as compassionate and attentive report:

- Higher satisfaction scores
- Greater trust in healthcare providers
- Better emotional support
- Improved continuity of care
- Enhanced healthcare experiences

Effective communication is also associated with improved healthcare ratings and reduced complaints.

1.5 Communication and Malpractice Litigation

Communication failures are strongly associated with malpractice claims. Patients are more likely to pursue legal action when they perceive physicians as dismissive, insensitive, unavailable, or unwilling to explain medical events.

Studies suggest that physicians with strong communication skills experience:

- Fewer malpractice claims
- Better conflict resolution
- Greater patient trust
- Improved disclosure practices after adverse events

2. Impact of Communication on Physician Well-being

2.1 Physician Burnout and Emotional Exhaustion

Burnout among healthcare professionals has emerged as a global healthcare crisis. Burnout is characterized by:

- Emotional exhaustion
- Depersonalization
- Reduced professional accomplishment

Communication challenges present substantial contribution to burnout, especially during emotionally intense clinical encounters.

2.2 Communication as a Protective Factor

Positive physician–patient relationships can act as protective buffers against burnout. Effective communication allows physicians to:

- Build meaningful therapeutic relationships
- Experience professional fulfillment
- Reduce interpersonal conflict
- Improve workplace satisfaction
- Strengthen empathy and resilience

Physicians who communicate effectively often report greater professional identity and emotional connectedness with patients.

2.3 Managing Difficult Conversations

Medical professionals frequently encounter emotionally charged discussions involving:

- Breaking bad news
- End-of-life care
- Chronic illness counseling
- Patient anger and dissatisfaction
- Family conflicts

Training in structured communication frameworks such as SPIKES improves physician confidence and emotional preparedness during difficult conversations.

3. Systemic Challenges in Communication Training

3.1 The Hidden Curriculum

The hidden curriculum refers to implicit institutional norms and behaviors that influence trainee attitudes and professional identity.

Despite formal teaching emphasizing empathy and patient-centered care, students may observe:

- Time-driven consultations
- Dismissive communication styles
- Hierarchical interactions
- Emotional detachment
- Reduced patient engagement

These contradictions can undermine formal communication training and contribute to empathy decline.

3.2 Curricular Overload

Medical curricula are heavily saturated with biomedical content. Communication training is often:

- Limited in duration
- Poorly integrated
- Given secondary priority
- Underprioritized in assessments

This curricular imbalance reduces opportunities for experiential communication learning.

3.3 Assessment Challenges

Assessing communication competency remains difficult due to the subjective nature of interpersonal behaviors.

Common assessment methods include:

- Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs)
- Standardized patient encounters
- Reflective portfolios
- Direct observation
- Multi-source feedback

However, assessment reliability and standardization remain ongoing challenges.

3.4 Faculty Development Limitations

Many faculty members lack formal training in communication teaching methodologies. Effective facilitation requires expertise in:

- Observation skills
- Reflective learning
- Constructive feedback
- Behavioral assessment
- Simulation-based teaching

Insufficient faculty preparation limits the effectiveness of communication training programs.

3.5 Healthcare System Pressures

Healthcare systems frequently impose constraints that negatively affect communication quality, including:

- Time pressure
- Administrative burden
- Electronic health record distractions
- Workforce shortages
- High patient volumes

These systemic pressures reduce opportunities for meaningful patient engagement.

4. Evolution of Communication Pedagogy in Medical Education

4.1 Traditional Didactic Teaching

Historically, communication training relied heavily on lectures and theoretical instruction. While lectures may improve conceptual understanding, they are inadequate for developing real-world communication competency.

Communication skills require:

- Practice
- Reflection
- Observation
- Feedback
- Behavioral reinforcement

4.2 Simulation-Based Learning

Simulation-based education marked a major advancement in communication training.

Advantages of Simulation

- Safe learning environment
- Standardized scenarios
- Repetitive practice opportunities
- Immediate feedback
- Enhanced learner confidence

Common Simulation Modalities

- Standardized patients
- Role-play

- Virtual simulations
- Hybrid simulations
- Video-assisted learning

Despite their strengths, simulation-based approaches may be resource-intensive and difficult to scale.

5. Microteaching: A Focused Educational Strategy

5.1 Concept of Microteaching

Microteaching is a learner-centered educational method originally developed for teacher training. It simplifies complex skills into smaller, manageable learning tasks.

Key features include:

- Short teaching sessions
- Small-group learning
- Focus on one skill at a time
- Immediate feedback
- Repeated practice
- Reflective learning

5.2 The Microteaching Cycle

The microteaching process generally follows a structured cycle:

1. Teaching or demonstration
2. Practice session
3. Observation
4. Structured feedback
5. Reflection
6. Re-teaching and improvement

This iterative cycle promotes deliberate practice and skill refinement.

5.3 Application in Medical Communication Training

Microteaching can effectively train specific communication skills such as:

- Active listening
- Empathy expression
- Open-ended questioning
- Non-verbal communication
- Shared decision-making
- Breaking bad news
- Patient counseling
- Teach-back techniques

5.4 Role of Video Recording

Video-assisted microteaching enhances self-awareness and reflective practice. Learners can observe:

- Body language
- Tone of voice
- Eye contact
- Interruptions
- Listening behaviors
- Emotional responses

Video feedback improves insight into communication strengths and weaknesses.

5.5 Structured Feedback Models

Structured feedback models improve the quality and consistency of feedback.

Common Models

- Pendleton's rules
- Agenda-led feedback
- Reflective feedback conversations
- SCOP model

Effective feedback should be:

- Specific
- Behavioral
- Constructive
- Timely
- Learner-centered

5.6 Evidence Supporting Microteaching

Research demonstrates that microteaching:

- Improves communication competency
- Enhances learner confidence
- Increases empathy-related behaviors
- Improves interviewing techniques
- Promotes reflective learning
- Facilitates skill retention

Microteaching also offers advantages in scalability, flexibility, and cost-effectiveness.

Implications for Medical Education

Communication training should be longitudinally integrated across undergraduate and postgraduate curricula.

Educational institutions should focus on:

- Treating communication as a core clinical competency
- Incorporating structured experiential learning
- Integrating communication into competency-based education
- Establishing robust assessment systems
- Strengthening faculty development programs
- Promoting reflective practice
- Using simulation and microteaching strategically

Communication competency should be evaluated with the same rigor as biomedical knowledge and procedural skills.

Recommendations

For Educational Institutions

1. Integrate structured communication training throughout medical curricula.

2. Implement microteaching-based communication modules.
3. Develop standardized communication assessment tools.
4. Invest in faculty development programs.
5. Create simulation laboratories with audiovisual support.
6. Encourage reflective and experiential learning strategies.

For Policy Makers

1. Include communication competency within accreditation standards.
2. Mandate competency-based communication assessments.
3. Support funding for educational innovation.
4. Promote national faculty training initiatives.

For Future Research

1. Conduct longitudinal studies examining long-term outcomes of communication training.
2. Evaluate comparative effectiveness of different communication teaching strategies.
3. Study technology-enhanced communication training models.
4. Assess impact of communication interventions on physician burnout.
5. Explore communication competency within culturally diverse healthcare settings.

CONCLUSION

Interpersonal communication is not merely a desirable attribute in healthcare but a foundational clinical competency that directly influences patient safety, healthcare quality, treatment adherence, diagnostic accuracy, physician resilience, and professional satisfaction.

The current healthcare environment faces a dual crisis involving patient dissatisfaction and physician burnout, both of which are connected to communication quality. Although medical education increasingly acknowledges the importance of communication skills, systemic barriers continue to hinder effective implementation.

Microteaching represents a highly promising educational strategy capable of addressing these challenges through focused practice, reflective learning, structured feedback, and iterative skill development. Integrating microteaching into competency-based medical education can substantially strengthen physician communication competency and ultimately contribute to safer, more compassionate, and patient-centered healthcare systems.

Strengths of the Review

- Comprehensive integration of patient-centered and physician-centered outcomes.
- Strong emphasis on educational implications.
- Evidence-based discussion of communication training strategies.
- Practical recommendations for implementation.
- Focus on scalable educational innovation through microteaching.

Limitations

- Narrative review methodology may introduce selection bias.
- Lack of quantitative meta-analytic synthesis.
- Limited discussion of communication differences across specialties and cultures.
- Variability among included studies regarding assessment methods.

REFERENCES

1. Makary MA, Daniel M. Medical error—the third leading cause of death in the US. BMJ. 2016;353:i2139.
2. Huntington B, Kuhn N. Communication gaffes: a root cause of malpractice claims. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2003;16(2):157–161.
3. Kelley JM, Kraft-Todd G, Schapira L, Kossowsky J, Riess H. The influence of the patient-clinician relationship on healthcare outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e94207.
4. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive leadership and physician well-being: organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017;92(1):129–146.
5. Nyquist JG. Educating physicians: a call for reform of medical school and residency. J Chiropr Educ. 2011;25(2):193–195.
6. Rethans JJ, Norcini JJ, Barón-Maldonado M, et al. The relationship between competence and performance: implications for assessing practice performance. Med Educ. 2002;36(10):901–909.
7. Singh H, Giardina TD, Meyer AN, et al. Types and origins of diagnostic errors in primary care settings. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173(6):418–425.
8. Shay LA, Lafata JE. Where is the evidence? A systematic review of shared decision making and patient outcomes. Med Decis Making. 2015;35(1):114–131.
9. Starmer AJ, Spector ND, Srivastava R, et al. Changes in medical errors after implementation of a handoff program. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(19):1803–1812.
10. Taveira-Gomes I, Mota-Cardoso R, Figueiredo-Braga M. Communication skills in medical students: an exploratory study before and after clerkships. Porto Biomed J. 2016;1(5):173–180.

11. Groenendijk A, Van Hecke J. The therapeutic alliance in community mental health services. Tijdschr Psychiatr. 2018;60(5):326–332.
12. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. J Intern Med. 2018;283(6):516–529.
13. Fallowfield L, Jenkins V. Communicating sad, bad, and difficult news in medicine. Lancet. 2004;363(9405):312–319.
14. Lempp H, Seale C. The hidden curriculum in undergraduate medical education. BMJ. 2004;329(7469):770–773.
15. Neumann M, Edelhäuser F, Tauschel D, et al. Empathy decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students and residents. Acad Med. 2011;86(8):996–1009.
16. Lawrence C, Mhlaba T, Stewart KA, et al. The hidden curricula of medical education: a scoping review. Acad Med. 2018;93(4):648–656.
17. van der Vleuten CPM, Schuwirth LWT. Assessment in the context of problem-based learning. Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract. 2019;24(5):903–914.
18. Steinert Y, Mann K, Centeno A, et al. Faculty development initiatives designed to improve teaching effectiveness in medical education: BEME Guide No. 8. Med Teach. 2006;28(6):497–526.
19. Asan O, Montague E. Technology-mediated information sharing between patients and clinicians in primary care encounters. Behav Inf Technol. 2014;33(3):259–270.
20. Barrows HS. An overview of the uses of standardized patients for teaching and evaluating clinical skills. Acad Med. 1993;68(6):443–451.
21. Pritchard SA, Blackstock FC, Nestel D, Keating JL. Simulated patients in physical therapy education: systematic review and meta-analysis. Phys Ther. 2016;96(9):1342–1353.
22. Boissy A, Windover AK, Bokar D, et al. Communication skills training for physicians improves patient satisfaction. J Gen Intern Med. 2016;31(7):755–761.