

THE ROLE OF PATIENT MICRO-INFLUENCERS IN PHARMACEUTICAL DISEASE AWARENESS

Dr. Abhishek D. Abhani¹, Dr. Bhagtani Bharat Jethanand², Dr. Meera Vithalani³

¹Assistant Professor, MBA Department, SRK Institute of Management, Anjar, Kachchh, Gujarat, India.

Email: aabhani.sim@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, MBA Department, N. R Vekaria Institute of Business Management Studies, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. Email: bharatjbhagtani@gmail.com

³Assistant Professor, Shree Swaminarayan Institute of Management & IT, Chhaya, Porbandar, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of social media platforms has transformed healthcare communication, shifting it from traditional marketing approaches to patient-driven narratives. This study examines the role of patient micro-influencers—individuals who share personal health experiences online—in enhancing pharmaceutical disease awareness. The research evaluates the marketing effectiveness and ethical implications of using non-professional patient advocates to influence public understanding of diseases. A descriptive and analytical research design was adopted, using both primary and secondary data. Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire from 150 respondents, including patients, healthcare students, and general social media users. Statistical tools such as percentage and mean score analyses, correlation, and chi-square tests were applied. The findings indicate that patient-led content significantly improves disease awareness and patient engagement but raises concerns about misinformation and ethical boundaries. The study highlights the growing influence of patient micro-influencers in shaping the "informed patient" dynamic and emphasizes the need for regulatory oversight in healthcare communication.

Key Words: Patient micro-influencers, disease awareness, pharmaceutical marketing, social media, informed patient, healthcare ethics.

How to cite this article: Abhani AD, Jethanand BB, Vithalani M. The Role of Patient Micro-Influencers in Pharmaceutical Disease Awareness. *Int J Drug Deliv Technol.* 2026;16(46s): 1200-1202. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.46s.138

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare communication has undergone a substantial transformation with the emergence of digital platforms and social media networks. Traditionally, pharmaceutical companies relied on doctors, medical representatives, and celebrity endorsements to promote disease awareness and treatment options. However, the growing accessibility of digital platforms such as LinkedIn, Instagram, and TikTok has led to the rise of patient micro-influencers—ordinary individuals who share their personal health journeys and experiences with a broader audience.

Patient micro-influencers differ significantly from traditional endorsers as they offer authentic, experience-based insights rather than professionally curated messages. Their content often includes real-life struggles, treatment experiences, lifestyle changes, and emotional journeys, which create a strong sense of relatability and trust among followers. As a result, these influencers play an increasingly important role in shaping public awareness and perception of diseases.

In modern healthcare, the concept of the "informed patient" has gained importance. Patients today actively seek information from digital platforms before consulting healthcare professionals. While this increased awareness empowers patients to make

informed decisions, it also introduces challenges such as misinformation and biased opinions.

Despite the growing importance of patient micro-influencers, limited research has examined their impact on pharmaceutical disease awareness, particularly in the Indian context. This study aims to analyse the role, effectiveness, and ethical considerations of patient-driven health communication.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Brown and Hayes (2008) examined influencer marketing and highlighted that trust and authenticity are key drivers of consumer engagement. The study emphasized that micro-influencers often generate higher engagement compared to celebrities due to their perceived credibility.

Freberg et al. (2011) analyzed social media influencers and found that followers perceive influencers as opinion leaders who significantly shape attitudes and behaviors. The study suggested that personal storytelling enhances audience connection and trust.

Abroms and Maibach (2008) studied the role of social media in health communication and concluded that digital platforms are effective tools for spreading health awareness and encouraging behavioral change among patients.

De Veirman et al. (2017) explored the effectiveness of micro-influencers and concluded that smaller

RESEARCH PAPER

influencer communities tend to demonstrate higher engagement rates and stronger audience relationships.

Ventola (2014) examined the risks of social media in healthcare communication and identified concerns related to misinformation, lack of regulation, and ethical challenges in patient-generated content.

The existing literature indicates that while micro-influencers are highly effective in engaging audiences, their use in healthcare communication requires careful monitoring due to ethical and informational risks.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to evaluate the impact of patient micro-influencers on pharmaceutical disease awareness.

Both primary and secondary data sources were utilized. Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire distributed among 150 respondents, including patients, healthcare students, and social media users. Convenience and judgment sampling techniques were applied to select respondents who actively use social media platforms.

The questionnaire included statements measured on a five-point Likert scale to assess awareness levels, trust in patient influencers, influence on healthcare decisions, and ethical concerns.

Secondary data were collected from research journals, healthcare reports, and publications from organizations such as the WHO and academic databases.

For data analysis, statistical tools, including percentage analysis, mean score analysis, correlation analysis, and chi-square tests, were applied to derive meaningful interpretations.

STATISTICAL TOOLS – FORMULAS

1. Percentage Analysis

$$\text{Percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of Responses}}{\text{Total Number of Responses}} \times 100$$

2. Mean Score Analysis (Average Score)

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f}$$

Where:

- f = Frequency
- x = Score (Likert scale value)

3. Correlation Analysis (Karl Pearson's Coefficient)

$$r = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 \cdot \sum (y - \bar{y})^2}}$$

4. Chi-Square Test (χ^2 Test)

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$

Where:

- O = Observed Frequency
- E = Expected Frequency

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1: Type of Respondents

Type	Response	Percentage
Patients	60	40%
Healthcare Students	45	30%
General Users	45	30%
Total	150	100%

Table 2: Social Media Usage for Health Information

Usage Level	Response	Percentage
Frequently	70	46.70%
Occasionally	50	33.30%
Rarely	30	20%
Total	150	100%

Table 3: Trust in Patient Micro-Influencers

Trust Level	Response	Percentage
High	62	41.30%
Moderate	55	36.70%
Low	33	22%
Total	150	100%

Table 4: Impact on Disease Awareness

Impact Level	Response	Percentage
Very High	50	33.30%
High	60	40%
Moderate	25	16.70%
Low	15	10%
Total	150	100%

Table 5: Influence on Doctor Consultation Behaviour

Influence Level	Response	Percentage
Strong Influence	55	36.70%
Moderate Influence	60	40%
Low Influence	35	23.30%
Total	150	100%

Table 6: Ethical Concerns

Concern Level	Response	Percentage
High Concern	65	43.30%
Moderate Concern	50	33.30%
Low Concern	35	23.30%

RESEARCH PAPER

Total	150	100%
--------------	------------	-------------

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the study reveal that patient micro-influencers play a significant role in enhancing disease awareness and influencing patient behaviour. Table 1 indicates a balanced representation of respondents, ensuring diverse perspectives.

Table 2 shows that a majority of respondents frequently use social media for health-related information, highlighting the growing importance of digital platforms in healthcare communication.

Table 3 reveals that a large proportion of respondents have moderate to high trust in patient influencers, indicating their credibility and influence.

Table 4 demonstrates that patient-generated content has a strong impact on disease awareness, as most respondents rated it as high or very high.

Table 5 highlights that patient narratives significantly influence doctor consultation behaviour. Many respondents reported asking more questions or discussing treatment options based on information obtained from social media.

However, Table 6 emphasizes significant ethical concerns. Respondents expressed apprehension about misinformation, lack of professional expertise, and hidden promotional content.

Overall, the study confirms that while patient micro-influencers enhance awareness and engagement, they also introduce risks that must be addressed through proper regulation and awareness.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that patient micro-influencers are emerging as powerful agents in pharmaceutical disease awareness. Their ability to share authentic experiences creates strong engagement and trust among audiences, contributing to increased awareness and informed decision-making.

The concept of the “informed patient” is significantly influenced by social media, where patients actively seek and evaluate health information before consulting doctors. While this shift empowers patients, it also raises concerns regarding misinformation and ethical boundaries.

The findings suggest that pharmaceutical companies and healthcare regulators must develop guidelines to ensure responsible use of patient influencers. Transparency, accuracy of information, and ethical compliance should be prioritized to maximize benefits while minimizing risks.

In the context of India, where digital adoption is rapidly increasing, patient micro-influencers can play a vital role in public health awareness if supported by appropriate regulatory frameworks.

REFERENCES

- Abidin, C. (2016). “Aren’t these just young, rich women doing vain things online?” Influencer selfies as subversive frivolity. *Social Media + Society*, 2(2), 1–17.
- De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising*, 36(5), 798–828.
- Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review*, 37(1), 90–92.
- Brown, D., & Hayes, N. (2008). *Influencer marketing: Who really influences your customers?* Oxford: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Abroms, L. C., & Maibach, E. W. (2008). The effectiveness of mass communication to change public behavior. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 29, 219–234.
- Ventola, C. L. (2014). Social media and health care professionals: Benefits, risks, and best practices. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 39(7), 491–520.
- Korda, H., & Itani, Z. (2013). Harnessing social media for health promotion and behavior change. *Health Promotion Practice*, 14(1), 15–23.
- Moorhead, S. A., Hazlett, D. E., Harrison, L., Carroll, J. K., Irwin, A., & Hoving, C. (2013). A new dimension of health care: Systematic review of the uses, benefits, and limitations of social media for health communication. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(4), e85.
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68.
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Digital health and social media in health promotion*. WHO Press, Geneva.
- Food and Drug Administration. (2020). *Guidance for industry: Internet/social media platforms with character space limitations*. U.S. FDA.
- Chou, W. Y. S., Hunt, Y., Beckjord, E. B., Moser, R. P., & Hesse, B. W. (2009). Social media use in the United States: Implications for health communication. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 11(4), e48.
- Tuten, T. L., & Solomon, M. R. (2017). *Social media marketing* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2021). *Marketing 5.0: Technology for humanity*. Wiley Publications.
- Evans, D. (2012). *Social media marketing: An hour a day*. Wiley Publishing.