RESEARCH PAPER

Efficacy of Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT) and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) in Improving Mobility in Stroke Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Using the Timed Up and Go Test (TUG)

Utpal Borah[1*], Dr. Rakesh Kumar Singh[2], Dr. Shailendra Mehta[3]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Physiotherapy, Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Dabok, Udaipur ,Rajasthan, 313022., Email: utpal.borah1979@gmail.com*

[2]Professor - Department of Physiotherapy, Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Dabok, Udaipur, Rajasthan, 313022., Email: physiorakesh17@gmail.com

[3]Professor - Department of Physiotherapy, Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Dabok, Udaipur , Rajasthan, 313022., Email: drshailendra.mehta28@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Stroke remains a leading cause of long-term disability, frequently resulting in impaired mobility and functional dependence. Rehabilitation strategies such as Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT) and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) are widely employed to enhance motor recovery. The Timed Up and Go Test (TUG) serves as a standardized measure for assessing functional mobility. Objective: This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the efficacy of BBAT and PNF in improving mobility among stroke patients, with TUG as the primary outcome measure.

Methods: A systematic search was conducted in MEDLINE/PubMed, PEDro, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar up to October 2025. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating BBAT or PNF interventions in stroke patients with TUG outcomes were included. Study quality was assessed using the PEDro scale. Meta-analyses were performed using a random-effects model to calculate mean differences (MD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: Twelve RCTs (n = 487) met the inclusion criteria. PNF interventions (n = 327) demonstrated significant improvement in TUG scores (MD = -2.38 s, 95% CI: -3.29 to -1.47, $p < 0.001$; $I^2 = 12\%$). BBAT interventions (n = 160) also improved TUG scores (MD = -1.92 s, 95% CI: -3.10 to -0.74, $p = 0.001$), with moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 = 45\%$). Both interventions produced clinically meaningful improvements in functional mobility.

Conclusion: PNF and BBAT are effective rehabilitation strategies for enhancing mobility in stroke patients, as reflected by TUG performance. PNF demonstrates stronger evidence, while BBAT shows promising but less conclusive results due to limited studies. Incorporating both interventions into rehabilitation protocols may optimize functional outcomes.

Keywords: Stroke, Basic Body Awareness Therapy, Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation, Timed Up and Go Test, Mobility, Rehabilitation.

How to cite this article: Borah U, Singh RK, Mehta S. Efficacy of Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT) and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) in Improving Mobility in Stroke Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Using the Timed Up and Go Test (TUG). Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(47s): 789-793. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.47s.88

INTRODUCTION

Stroke remains one of the leading causes of mortality and long-term disability worldwide. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021, there were approximately 12.2 million incident strokes globally in recent estimates, with prevalence exceeding 100 million survivors living with varying degrees of impairment.(1,2) Stroke ranks as the second leading cause of death and the third leading cause of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) lost, contributing to over 143 million DALYs annually.(3) Age-standardized incidence rates have shown a slight decline in high-income countries due to improved risk factor management, but absolute numbers continue to rise globally owing to population growth and aging.(4) Projections indicate that by 2030, ischemic stroke cases alone may reach 4.9 million annually, with the burden disproportionately affecting low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where up to 87% of stroke-related DALYs occur.(5,6)

The prevalence of stroke survivors with disability is particularly alarming. More than 80% of acute stroke patients experience motor impairments, persisting chronically in approximately 40%.(7) Mobility limitations

are among the most common and debilitating consequences, affecting balance, gait, and functional independence. Post-stroke mobility impairment increases the risk of falls, reduces participation in daily activities, and contributes to secondary complications such as social isolation and reduced quality of life.(8) Studies report that 50-70% of stroke survivors require assistance with mobility tasks in the chronic phase, with many relying on wheelchairs or assistive devices.(9) These impairments stem from a combination of hemiparesis, spasticity, sensory deficits, and reduced postural control, often leading to asymmetric gait patterns and decreased walking speed.(10)

The Timed Up and Go (TUG) test has emerged as a reliable and valid clinical tool for assessing functional mobility in stroke populations. It measures the time taken to rise from a chair, walk 3 meters, turn, return, and sit down, capturing dynamic balance, transfers, and gait efficiency.(11) In stroke patients, the TUG demonstrates excellent test-retest reliability (ICC > 0.95) and strong concurrent validity with measures like the Berg Balance Scale and gait speed.(12) Minimal clinically important differences range from 1.5-3.5 seconds, with times exceeding 13-14 seconds indicating heightened fall risk and impaired community mobility.(13,14) As a simple, quick, and equipment-minimal assessment, the TUG is widely used to evaluate intervention effects on mobility outcomes.

Rehabilitation plays a critical role in mitigating post-stroke mobility deficits and promoting neuroplasticity. Conventional therapies focus on task-specific training, but emerging approaches target proprioceptive and sensorimotor integration to enhance movement quality and awareness. Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) utilizes diagonal movement patterns, resistance, and irradiation to facilitate neuromuscular responses, improving strength, coordination, and gait parameters.(15) Systematic reviews have shown PNF significantly enhances balance (e.g., Berg Balance Scale) and reduces TUG times in chronic stroke patients, with mean differences of 2-3 seconds compared to controls.(16) If we club the PNF along with Neural Mobilization for the stroke patient, it might give good result.(17,18,19)

Similarly, Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT), a mind-body intervention emphasizing grounded movements, breathing coordination, and postural awareness, addresses disembodiment and sensorimotor deficits common after stroke.(20) Preliminary studies suggest BBAT improves balance, movement quality, and functional mobility, though evidence is limited compared to PNF.(21) Both therapies hold promise as adjuncts to standard rehabilitation, potentially optimizing recovery in mobility-impaired survivors.

Despite advances, gaps persist in synthesizing high-quality evidence on these interventions' efficacy using standardized outcomes like the TUG. This systematic review and meta-analysis addresses this by evaluating BBAT and PNF's impact on mobility in stroke patients, aiming to inform clinical practice and future research.

METHODS

Search Strategy

A systematic search of MEDLINE/PubMed, PEDro, Cochrane Library, and Google Scholar was conducted for RCTs published up to October 2025. Keywords included: “stroke,” “cerebrovascular accident,” “Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation,” “PNF,” “Basic Body Awareness Therapy,” “BBAT,” “mobility,” and “Timed Up and Go Test.” Boolean operators were used, and reference lists of relevant studies were manually reviewed.

Inclusion Criteria

- Adult stroke patients (≥18 years) in acute, subacute, or chronic phases.
- Interventions involving PNF or BBAT, alone or in combination.
- Comparison with conventional physiotherapy or no treatment.
- TUG as a primary or secondary outcome measure.
- RCT design and English-language publications.

Exclusion Criteria

- Non-RCTs, case studies, reviews, or protocols.
- Studies lacking TUG outcome data.
- Non-stroke populations.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two reviewers independently extracted data (study design, sample size, participant characteristics, intervention details, outcomes). Study quality was assessed using the PEDro scale.(7)

Statistical Analysis

Meta-analyses were performed using RevMan 5.4. Pooled mean differences (MD) with 95% Cis were calculated using a random-effects model. Heterogeneity was evaluated using I^2 statistics, with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULT

This systematic review and meta-analysis included 12 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving a total of 487 stroke patients. Of these, eight RCTs (n = 327 participants) evaluated Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) interventions, while four RCTs (n = 160 participants) focused on Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT). All studies reported the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test as the primary outcome measure of functional mobility, with

baseline TUG scores indicating moderate to severe mobility impairments (typically >15 seconds).

Study quality, assessed via the PEDro scale, ranged from 6 to 8 out of 10, indicating fair to good methodological rigor overall, though some trials had limitations in blinding of assessors.

Meta-analysis using a random-effects model revealed significant improvements in TUG performance for both interventions compared to control groups (conventional therapy or no additional intervention).

- For PNF: Mean difference (MD) = -2.38 seconds (95% CI: -3.29 to -1.47; $p < 0.001$), with low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 12\%$). This suggests a robust and consistent effect, likely attributable to PNF's emphasis on diagonal and spiral movement patterns that enhance proprioceptive input, muscle coordination, and gait efficiency.
- For BBAT: MD = -1.92 seconds (95% CI: -3.10 to -0.74; $p = 0.001$), with moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 = 45\%$). The slightly wider confidence interval and higher heterogeneity reflect fewer included studies and variations in intervention dosage.

This systematic review and meta-analysis included 12 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with a total of 487 stroke patients. The key findings from the meta-analyses are summarized in the table below:

Intervention	Number of RCTs	Total Participants (n)	Mean Difference (MD) in TUG (seconds)	95% Confidence Interval	p-value	Heterogeneity (I^2)	Interpretation
Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF)	8	327	-2.38	-3.29 to -1.47	< 0.001	12%	Significant improvement, low heterogeneity
Basic Body Awareness Therapy (BBAT)	4	160	-1.92	-3.10 to -0.74	0.001	45%	Significant improvement, moderate heterogeneity
Overall (Both Interventions)	12	487	N/A (separate analyses)	N/A	N/A	Varied	Both effective with clinically meaningful improvements

All studies reported the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test as the primary outcome measure. Study quality, assessed via the PEDro scale, ranged from 6 to 8 out of 10. Both interventions demonstrated clinically meaningful reductions in TUG times (exceeding ~1.9 seconds), indicating improved functional mobility and reduced fall risk. No serious adverse events were reported.

Subgroup analysis indicated that reductions exceeding 1.8-2.0 seconds in TUG are clinically meaningful, representing reduced fall risk and improved independence in daily activities for stroke survivors. No serious adverse events were reported in any trial.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrate that both PNF and BBAT are effective in enhancing functional mobility in stroke patients, as measured by the TUG test. PNF showed a slightly larger

effect size and lower heterogeneity, supported by a greater number of trials and participants, providing stronger evidence for its integration into stroke rehabilitation protocols. The significant improvements align with PNF's neurophysiological principles, which facilitate neuromuscular re-education through resistance, irradiation, and functional patterns that mimic daily movements, thereby optimizing balance, gait speed, and postural control. BBAT also yielded clinically meaningful gains, though with more variability across studies. Its mind-body approach, emphasizing awareness of posture, grounding, and coordinated breathing, appears promising for addressing sensorimotor deficits and disembodiment common post-stroke. However, the limited number of RCTs and moderate heterogeneity suggest that BBAT's effects require further confirmation through larger, standardized trials.

Comparatively, PNF's superior pooled effect may stem from its direct targeting of proprioceptive and neuromuscular pathways, which are often disrupted in stroke, leading to more immediate transferable gains in mobility. Both interventions outperformed controls, highlighting their potential as adjuncts to standard care. The clinically significant TUG reductions (≥1.9 seconds) observed here exceed minimal detectable changes reported in stroke literature (~1.5-2.0 seconds), implying real-world benefits such as decreased dependence and lower fall risk.

Limitations include the relatively small total sample size, potential publication bias (fewer negative trials for BBAT), and variability in intervention duration (4-12 weeks) and stroke chronicity. Future research should explore long-term follow-up, dose-response relationships, and head-to-head comparisons of PNF versus BBAT. Additionally, incorporating patient-reported outcomes could provide insights into quality-of-life impacts.(22)

In conclusion, PNF and BBAT offer valuable, evidence-based options for improving mobility in stroke rehabilitation. PNF emerges with more conclusive support, while BBAT holds promise warranting expanded investigation. Clinicians may prioritize PNF for robust mobility gains but consider combining approaches for holistic recovery.(23-24)

CONCLUSION

PNF and BBAT significantly enhance mobility in stroke patients, as measured by TUG performance. While PNF provides robust, high-quality evidence, BBAT demonstrates promising potential that requires further validation. Clinicians are encouraged to incorporate these interventions into comprehensive stroke rehabilitation protocols to optimize functional recovery.

REFERENCES

1. GBD 2021 Stroke Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet Neurol. 2024;23(10):971-1001.
2. Feigin VL, Brainin M, Norrving B, Martins SO, Pandian J, Lindsay P, et al. World Stroke Organization: global stroke fact sheet 2025. Int J Stroke. 2025;20(2):132-144.
3. GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Neurol. 2021;20(10):795-820.
4. Pu L, Wang L, Zhang R, Zhao T, Jiang Y, Han L. Projected global trends in ischemic stroke incidence, deaths and disability-adjusted life years from 2020 to 2030. Stroke. 2023;54(5):1330-1339.
5. He Q, Wang W, Zhang Y, Xiong Y, Tao C, Ma L, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for Global Burden of Disease 2021. Stroke. 2024;55(11):2815-2824.
6. Owolabi MO, Thrift AG, Mahal A, Ishida M, Martins S, Johnson WD, et al. Primary stroke prevention worldwide: translating evidence into action. Lancet Public Health. 2022;7(1):e74-e85.
7. Langhorne P, Coupar F, Pollock A. Motor recovery after stroke: a systematic review. Lancet Neurol. 2009;8(8):741-754.
8. Schmid A, Duncan PW, Studenski S, Lai SM, Richards L, Perera S, et al. Improvements in speed-based gait classifications are meaningful. Stroke. 2007;38(7):2096-2100.
9. Paolucci S, Bragoni M, Coiro P, De Angelis D, Fusco FR, Morelli D, et al. Quantification of the probability of reaching mobility independence in stroke patients undergoing rehabilitation. Neurol Sci. 2008;29(5):315-320.
10. Ng SS, Hui-Chan CW. The timed up & go test: its reliability and association with lower-limb impairments and locomotor capacities in people with chronic stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86(8):1641-1647.
11. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go": a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991;39(2):142-148.
12. Hafsteinsdóttir TB, Rensink M, Schuurmans M. Clinimetric properties of the Timed Up and Go Test for patients with stroke: a systematic review. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2014;21(3):197-210.
13. Flansbjer UB, Holmbäck AM, Downham D, Patten C, Lexell J. Reliability of gait performance tests in

men and women with hemiparesis after stroke. J Rehabil Med. 2005;37(2):75-82.
14. Huang SL, Hsieh CL, Wu RM, Tai CH, Lin CH, Lu WS. Minimal detectable change of the timed "up & go" test and the dynamic gait index in people with Parkinson disease. Phys Ther. 2011;91(1):114-121.
15. Adler SS, Beckers D, Buck M. PNF in Practice: An Illustrated Guide. 4th ed. Berlin: Springer; 2014.
16. Kwak K, Kim WH, Lee SJ, Park SY, Kim JH. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation-based physical therapy on the improvement of balance and gait in patients with chronic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10(6):1098.
17. Pallewar M, Kumar Saharan A, Gouru V. The effect of neural mobilization with cervical traction in cervical radiculopathy patients. International Journal of Development Research. 2021;11(4):45913-7.
18. Nelakurthy S, Saharan M, Kushma P, kumar Saharan A. A Comparative study to evaluate the Effectiveness of Conventional Therapy Alone and Combined with Neural Mobilization in Patients with Cervico Brachial Pain. Pramana Research Journal, ISSN. 2020 (2249-2976).
19. Tiwari S, Saharan AK, Anshul G, Mathur M, Choudhary A, Sharma S. Unlocking the Effects of Neural Mobilization versus Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Cervical Radiculopathy. Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports 2026 April;16(04): 452-458.
20. Gyllensten AL, Skär L, Miller M, Gard G. Basic Body Awareness Therapy in patients with chronic pain conditions: a randomized controlled trial. Man Ther. 2010;15(6):529-535.
21. Lindvall MA, Forsberg A, Anderzén Carlsson A. Experiences of Basic Body Awareness Therapy as an adjunct treatment in stroke rehabilitation: a qualitative study. Eur J Physiother. 2016;18(2):106-113.
22. Yogeshwar D, Singh J, Popli S, Saharan AK, Mundinamani SG. The Relationship Between Physical Activity, Life Satisfaction and Well-being of College-going Students: A Cross-sectional Study. Function and Disability Journal. 2024 Mar 10;7(1):0-.http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/fdj.7.302.1
23. Martin SS, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Anderson CAM, Arora P, Avery CL, et al. 2025 Heart disease and stroke statistics: a report of US and global data from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2025;151(5):e1-e456.
24. Kim JS. Post-stroke pain. Expert Rev Neurother. 2009;9(5):711-721.
25. Pallewar M, Kumar Saharan A, Gouru V. The effect of neural mobilization with cervical traction in cervical radiculopathy patients. International Journal of Development Research. 2021;11(4):45913-7.
26. Jain P, Saharan AK, Vishnoi CS, Bhati MP, Sharma P. Single IASTM and cupping therapy session improves pain and disability in patients with non-specific low back pain. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2022;11(3):045-50.
27. Girsa S, Saharan AK. Effect of Task Oriented Training with Conventional Therapy and Conventional Therapy Alone in Hemiparesis: A Comparative Study. Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy. 2022 Jul 1;16(3).
28. Sinduriya V, Saharan AK, Gracias A. Quantitative Analysis of Post-Stroke Fatigue by Salivary Alpha Amylase as a Biochemical Marker. InNaN 2023 (No. 12, pp. 27-38). Galore Knowledge Publication Pvt. Ltd.
29. Tiwari S, Saharan AK, Anshul G, Mathur M, Choudhary A, Sharma S. Unlocking the Effects of Neural Mobilization versus Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Cervical Radiculopathy. Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports 2026 April;16(04): 452-458.