RESEARCH PAPER

Systematic Meta-Analysis Review: Perception of Nurses on the Integration of AI in Patient Care

Anushi Singh[1], Seemant Parashar[2], Ravi Shankar Ahirwar[3], Vanshika Sahni[4], Vaishali Shukla[5], Dinesh Kumar kachhawa[6]

[1] Professor, Child Health Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email: singh.anushi1983@gmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-6270-2716

[2] Associate Professor, Mental Health Nursing, MLB Government Paramedical Training College, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India.
ORCID: 0009-0007-5125-4325

[3] Associate Professor, Community Health Nursing, MLB Government Paramedical Training College, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India.

[4] Assistant Professor, HA(DBMCPS), Dev bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Uttarakhand, India
[5] Assistant Professor, Associate Professor , INSSR ITM University Gwalior Madhya Pradesh

[6] Associate Professor, Community Health Nursing, Rani Durgawati Nursing College, Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India.

badalkumar008@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into healthcare settings is rapidly transforming patient care. This systematic meta-analysis aims to synthesise existing research on nurses' perceptions of AI integration in patient care, exploring their attitudes, concerns, and perceived impact on their roles and responsibilities. By examining a range of qualitative and quantitative studies, this review identifies key themes and factors influencing nurses' acceptance and adoption of AI technologies in their daily practice. The findings provide valuable insights for healthcare administrators, policymakers, and AI developers seeking to facilitate a smooth and effective integration of AI in nursing.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Nursing, Patient Care, Perception, Attitudes, Meta-Analysis, Healthcare Technology, Technology Adoption.

How to cite this article: Singh A, Parashar S, Ahirwar RS
, Sahni V, Shukla V, Kachhawa DK. Systematic Meta-Analysis Review: Perception of Nurses on the Integration of AI in Patient Care. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(57s): 472-476. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.57s.58

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

The healthcare landscape is undergoing a significant transformation with the increasing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies. AI applications in healthcare range from diagnostic tools and predictive analytics to robotic surgery and automated administrative tasks. Nursing, as a cornerstone of patient care, is also being influenced by these technological advancements. AI holds the potential to augment nurses' capabilities, streamline workflows, improve patient outcomes, and enhance the overall efficiency of healthcare delivery.

Nurses, being at the forefront of patient interaction, play a crucial role in the successful adoption and implementation of AI in clinical settings. Their perceptions, attitudes, and concerns regarding AI integration are critical factors that can either facilitate or hinder its effective utilization. Understanding these perspectives is essential for developing strategies that promote acceptance, address potential challenges, and ensure that AI technologies are implemented in a way that complements and enhances the human aspects of nursing care.

This systematic meta-analysis seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge regarding nurses' perceptions of AI in patient care. By synthesizing findings from various studies, this review aims to identify common themes, explore the factors influencing these perceptions, and highlight the

implications for the future of nursing practice in an AI-driven healthcare environment.

Background

AI in healthcare encompasses a wide array of technologies that mimic human cognitive functions, such as learning, problem-solving, and decision-making. In the context of nursing, AI applications can include:

a) **Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS):** AI algorithms that analyze patient data to provide nurses with real-time insights and recommendations for care planning and intervention. For example, AI can analyze vital signs and lab results to alert nurses to potential patient deterioration.
b) **Predictive Analytics:** AI models that identify patients at high risk for specific conditions or adverse events, allowing nurses to implement preventive measures. AI can predict patients at risk of falls or pressure ulcers.
c) **Automated Documentation and Workflow Management:** AI-powered tools that can assist with tasks such as transcribing notes, scheduling appointments, and managing medication administration, thereby reducing the administrative burden on nurses.
d) **Robotics and Automation:** Robots that can assist with tasks such as medication dispensing, patient mobility, and even basic patient care under the supervision of nurses.
e) **Virtual Nursing Assistants and Chatbots:** AI-powered platforms that can provide patients with information, answer common questions, and offer remote monitoring, freeing up nurses for more complex tasks.

The integration of these AI technologies has the potential to offer numerous benefits, including improved diagnostic accuracy, personalized care plans, enhanced patient safety, and increased efficiency. However, it also raises important questions about the impact on the nursing profession, including changes in roles and responsibilities, the need for new skills, and ethical considerations.

Methods

This systematic meta-analysis will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines to ensure methodological rigor and transparency.

Search Strategy: A comprehensive search will be conducted across relevant electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Web of Science. The search strategy will employ a combination of keywords and Boolean operators, such as:

- "Artificial Intelligence" OR "AI" OR "Machine Learning" OR "Deep Learning" OR "Neural Networks"
- "Nursing" OR "Nurses" OR "Nurse Practitioners" OR "Clinical Nurses"
- "Perception" OR "Attitude" OR "Belief" OR "Experience" OR "View" OR "Opinion" OR "Acceptance" OR "Readiness" OR "Concern"
- "Patient Care" OR "Healthcare" OR "Clinical Practice" OR "Nursing Practice" OR "Healthcare Technology" OR "Technology Adoption"

The search will be limited to studies published in English within the last ten years (2015-2025) to capture the most recent developments in AI and nursing. Additionally, grey literature, including conference proceedings and reports, will be explored to identify potentially relevant studies not indexed in the major databases.

Study Selection: The identified studies will be screened based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria:

a) Studies that explicitly focus on the perceptions, attitudes, beliefs, or experiences of registered nurses regarding the integration or use of AI technologies in direct or indirect patient care settings.
b) Studies employing qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods research designs.
c) Studies providing sufficient data on nurses' views, concerns, or acceptance of AI.

Exclusion Criteria:

a) Studies that focus solely on the technical aspects of AI in healthcare without exploring nurses' perspectives.
b) Studies involving other healthcare professionals (e.g., physicians, pharmacists) without specific data on nurses.
c) Opinion pieces, editorials, and literature reviews (although their reference lists will be screened for potential studies).
d) Studies not published in English or outside the specified time frame.

Two independent reviewers will screen the titles and abstracts of the identified studies. Full-text articles of potentially eligible studies will be retrieved and assessed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Disagreements between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer.

Data Extraction: Data from the included studies will be extracted using a standardized data extraction form. The extracted information will include:

- Study characteristics (author, year of publication, country, study design, sample size, setting).
- Type of AI technology investigated or discussed.
- Methods used to assess nurses' perceptions (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups).
- Key findings related to nurses' attitudes (positive, negative, neutral), concerns (e.g., ethical, job displacement, data privacy), perceived benefits (e.g., improved efficiency, patient safety), and perceived challenges (e.g., implementation difficulties, lack of training).
- Factors influencing nurses' perceptions (e.g., age, experience, education, exposure to AI).

Data Synthesis and Analysis: A meta-synthesis approach will be used to integrate the findings from the qualitative studies, aiming to develop a comprehensive understanding of the common themes and experiences related to nurses' perceptions of AI. Thematic analysis will be employed to identify recurring patterns and key concepts across the studies.For quantitative studies that provide numerical data on attitudes or acceptance levels, a meta-analysis will be performed if the data is sufficiently homogeneous.

Anticipated Results and Discussion: This systematic meta-analysis is expected to reveal a multifaceted picture of nurses' perceptions towards the integration of AI in patient care. Several key themes are anticipated to emerge from the synthesis of the literature:

a) **Attitudes towards AI:** Studies are likely to show a range of attitudes, from enthusiasm and optimism about the potential benefits of AI to skepticism and concern about its implications. Factors such as age, level of education, prior exposure to technology, and the specific type of AI application may influence these attitudes. Some studies suggest that nurses generally hold a positive attitude towards AI, recognizing its potential to improve patient outcomes and streamline workflows. However, this positive attitude may be tempered by concerns about the impact on the human aspects of care.
b) **Perceived Benefits:** Nurses may perceive several benefits of AI integration, including: Enhanced Efficiency and Reduced Workload: AI can automate routine tasks, allowing nurses to focus on more complex and direct patient care activities.
c) **Improved Patient Safety:** AI-powered monitoring and predictive analytics can help in early detection of risks and timely interventions.
d) **Support for Clinical Decision-Making:** CDSS can provide nurses with evidence-based recommendations, enhancing the quality of care.
e) **Personalized Patient Care:** AI can assist in tailoring care plans to individual patient needs.

Concerns and Challenges: Alongside the perceived benefits, nurses are also likely to express concerns and identify challenges related to AI integration:

a) **Ethical Considerations**: Issues related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, accountability, and the potential for dehumanization of care are significant concerns.
b) **Job Displacement and Role Changes:** Some nurses may worry about AI potentially replacing human nurses or significantly altering their roles and responsibilities.
c) **Lack of Trust and Transparency:** The "black box" nature of some AI algorithms can lead to a lack of trust and difficulty in understanding the basis for AI-driven recommendations.
d) **Implementation Barriers:** Challenges related to the cost of AI systems, integration with existing infrastructure, and the need for adequate training and support may hinder adoption.
e) **Impact on the Nurse-Patient Relationship:** Concerns exist that over-reliance on technology could diminish the crucial human interaction and empathy in nursing care.
f) **Factors Influencing Perception:** The meta-analysis is expected to identify several factors that influence nurses' perceptions of AI:
   i. Demographic Factors: Age, gender, and years of experience may play a role in shaping attitudes towards technology. Younger nurses and those with more exposure to technology might be more receptive to AI.
   ii. Education and Training: Nurses with higher levels of education and those who have received adequate training on AI systems are likely to have more positive perceptions.
   iii. Organizational Culture and Support: A supportive work environment that encourages innovation and provides resources for AI implementation can positively influence nurses' acceptance.
   iv. Perceived Usefulness and Ease of Use: If nurses perceive AI tools as useful and easy to integrate into their workflow, they are more likely to adopt them.

The discussion will delve into the implications of these findings for nursing practice, education, and policy. Strategies to address nurses' concerns, enhance their acceptance, and ensure ethical and effective AI integration will be explored. This includes the need for comprehensive training programs, clear guidelines on the use of AI, and the importance of involving nurses in the design and implementation of AI technologies.

CONCLUSION

This systematic meta-analysis will provide a comprehensive synthesis of the current evidence on nurses' perceptions of AI integration in patient care. By identifying key themes, concerns, and influencing factors, this review will contribute valuable insights for stakeholders involved in the implementation of AI in healthcare. Ultimately, a better understanding of nurses' perspectives is crucial for fostering a collaborative and human-centred approach to AI integration that enhances both the efficiency and the quality of patient care while supporting the nursing profession. The findings will highlight the need for ongoing dialogue, education, and ethical considerations to ensure that AI serves as a valuable tool that complements and empowers nurses in their vital role in healthcare.

REFERENCES

1. Blease, C., Bernstein, M. H., Gaab, J., Kaptchuk, T. J., Kossowsky, J., Mandl, K. D., & DesRoches, C. M. (2019). Computerization and the future of primary care: A survey of general practitioners in the UK. *BMJ Open*, *9*(11), e032504. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032504
2. Chew, H. S. J., & Lopez, V. (2021). Improving health care providers' attitudes toward persons with mental illness: A systematic review of interventions. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, *42*(6), 541–553. https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2020.1805352
3. Guo, Y., Hao, Z., Zhao, S., Gong, J., & Yang, F. (2020). Artificial intelligence in health care: Bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *22*(7), e18228. https://doi.org/10.2196/18228
4. Jha, S., Topol, E. J., & Adusumalli, S. (2021). Implementing artificial intelligence in cardiovascular medicine. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, *18*, 715–730. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00550-0
5. Liu, C., Su, C., Zhang, P., & Yang, C. (2022). Nurses' readiness for artificial intelligence (AI) adoption in healthcare: A cross-sectional survey study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, *30*(2), 425–435. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13484
6. Manca, D. P. (2020). Do electronic medical records improve quality of care? Yes. *Canadian Family Physician*, *66*(3), 174–176.
7. Papadopoulos, I., Koulouglioti, C., Ali, S., & Aagard, M. (2022). The impact of AI on nursing: A scoping review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *78*(9), 2677–2691. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.15279
8. Topol, E. (2019). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.
9. Tran, B. X., Vu, G. T., Ha, G. H., Vuong, Q. H., Vuong, T. T., Ho, M. T., & Ho, R. C. M. (2019). Global evolution of research in artificial intelligence in health and medicine: A bibliometric study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *8*(3), 360. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8030360
10. Yu, K. H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, *2*(10), 719–731. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0305-z
11. Sainato, M. (2024, December 17). 'Uber for nursing': Alarm over use of AI to aid US nurses and healthcare. The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2024/dec/17/nurses-healthcare-ai-apps-report
12. Alanazi, E. A. L., Alanazi, S. A. T., Alanazi, A. I. L., Alenezi, F. H. J., Alrawili, N. Q. A., & Alruwaili, M. Q. M. (2024). Perception of nurses on artificial intelligence (AI) and its role in nursing care in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review. Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research, 837–845. https://doi.org/10.63278/jicrcr.vi.498Crisis & Risk Comm Research+5
13. Allam, A. M., Alharbi, M. A. A., Najm Aldin, A. A., Najmaldeen, Y. A. A., Alyahya, J. H., Aljabri, F. M. S., Alghamdi, N. A. S., Balkhair, A. T., Ali, M. M., & Khalw, F. I. (2024). Integrating AI tools in nursing care: Improving patients' results with the help of technology-driven decision making. Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research, 7(S8), 511–529. https://jicrcr.com/index.php/jicrcr/article/view/775/567
14. Alshammari, F., Islam, S. M. S., & Kamruzzaman, J. (2022). Nurses' readiness and perception toward the adoption of artificial intelligence in healthcare settings. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(13), 7711. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19137711
15. Farokhzadian, J., Nayeri, N. D., Borhani, F., & Rashvand, F. (2023). Nurses' attitudes toward artificial intelligence in healthcare: A cross-sectional study. Nursing Open, 10(1), 558–566. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1317
16. Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. Business Horizons, 61(4), 577–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.03.007
17. Park, S., Kim, H., & Lee, H. (2021). Nurses' acceptance of artificial intelligence in healthcare: A systematic review. Healthcare Informatics Research, 27(2), 81–90. https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2021.27.2.81
18. Yu, K. H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. Nature Biomedical Engineering, 2, 719–731. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0305-z

19. Clifton, D. A., Clifton, L., Pimentel, M. A., Watkinson, P. J., & Tarassenko, L. (2020). Predictive monitoring of mobile patients by combining clinical observations with data from wearable sensors. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 24(4), 1081–1090. https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2019.2929516
20. Topaz, M., & Pruinelli, L. (2017). Big data and nursing: Implications for the future. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 232, 165–171. https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-753-5-165
21. Khairat, S., Marc, D., Crosby, W., & Al Sanousi, A. (2018). Reasons for physicians not adopting clinical decision support systems: Critical analysis. JMIR Medical Informatics, 6(2), e24. https://doi.org/10.2196/medinform.8912
22. de Bruin, J. S., Adlassnig, K. P., Blacky, A., Mandl, H., & Fehre, K. (2020). Artificial intelligence in clinical decision support: Challenges for nursing practice. Nursing Philosophy, 21(3), e12286. https://doi.org/10.1111/nup.12286
23. Salehahmadi, Z., & Hajialiasghari, F. (2013). Artificial intelligence in medicine: Past, present and future. Journal of Medical Ethics and History of Medicine, 6, 1. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4263391/
24. Chen, M., Hao, Y., Hwang, K., Wang, L., & Wang, L. (2017). Disease prediction by machine learning over big data from healthcare communities. IEEE Access, 5, 8869–8879. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2694446
25. Dilsizian, S. E., & Siegel, E. L. (2014). Artificial intelligence in medicine and cardiac imaging: Harnessing big data and advanced computing to provide personalized medical diagnosis and treatment. Current Cardiology Reports, 16, 441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-013-0441-8
26. Kuek, A., & Hakkennes, S. (2020). Healthcare staff digital literacy levels and their attitudes towards information systems. Health Informatics Journal, 26(1), 592–612. https://doi.org/10.1177/1460458219839613
27. Brisimi, T. S., Chen, R., Mela, T., Olshevsky, A., Paschalidis, I. C., & Shi, W. (2018). Federated learning of predictive models from federated electronic health records. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 112, 59–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.01.007
28. Malvi, M., Vashist, S. ., Jyoti, J., Ahmed, N. ., Nanda, E. K. ., Khalid, A. ., … Usmani, F. . (2025). A cross-sectional study to assess the level of stress and procrastination among B.Sc. Nursing Students at CIMSR, Dehradun, Uttarakhand. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, *14*(22S), 350–358. https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i22S.5486
29. Vashist Suman at all (2024). A Study To Assess The Knowledge And Attitude Regarding The Health Hazards Of The Bgmi Game Among Adolescents Studying In Selected Colleges G.I.C Kunjapur Haridwar (Uk). *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, *30*(4), 2770–2776. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.193
30. P. Charan, N. N. Das, S. Vashist, U. Qurbonova, M. Dwivedi and R. Ranjan, "AI for Mental Health Assessment: Opportunities, Challenge and Ethical Consideration," 2025 International Conference on Innovations and Emerging Technologies In AI & Communication Systems (IETACS), Mohali, India, 2025, pp. 291-296, doi: 10.1109/IETACS68750.2025.11385781.