RESEARCH PAPER

Early Mobile Screen Exposure and Developmental Trajectories: Pathways from Device Use to Speech Delay and Co-occurring Psychological Difficulties in Children Aged 1–4 Years

Urusa Kanwal[1], Hajra Dildar[2,*], Syeda Rida-e-Zehra[3], Dr. Abeer Vaqar[4], Zerminey Saleem[5], Maliha Akhtar Qureshi[6], Duha Arif[7], Asiya Khattak[8]

[1]University of Poonch Rawalakot. Email: urusakanwal777@gmail.com

[2]Lecturer Psychology, Higher Education Department, Punjab; Riphah International University, Pakistan. Email: dildarhajra@gmail.com

[3]IQRA UNIVERSITY CHAK SHAHZAD CAMPUS. Email: zehrarr99@gmail.com

[4]MBBS, FCPS (Peads), Assistant Professor (Pediatrics), BUMC/KRL Hospital Islamabad. Email: abeerhaq@hotmail.com

[5]Bahria University, Karachi. Email: zermineysaleem@gmail.com

[6]Consultant Pediatrician, Associate Professor (Pediatrics), BUMC/KRL Hospital Islamabad. Email: malihaakhtarqureshi@gmail.com

[7]UNIVERSITY OF MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGY. Email: duhaarif78@gmail.com

[8]Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan. Email: asiyakhattak83@gmail.com

***Corresponding author: Hajra Dildar, Lecturer Psychology, Higher Education Department, Punjab; Riphah International University, Pakistan. Email: dildarhajra@gmail.com**

Received: 01st July, 2026; **Revised:** 08th July, 2026; **Accepted:** 15th July, 2026; **Available Online:** 20th July, 2026

ABSTRACT

Early mobile screen exposure poses a growing developmental risk, yet current guidelines predominantly emphasize duration while overlooking how specific usage contexts displace critical early learning activities. This prospective 36-month longitudinal cohort study tracked 2,145 child-parent dyads from ages 12 to 48 months to elucidate the pathways linking screen duration, content, and context to speech delays and co-occurring psychological difficulties. Using objective device logs, standardized language and behavioral assessments, and objective measures of sleep and physical activity, we found that higher baseline screen time significantly predicted steeper declines in language development ($\beta = -0.26$, $p < 0.001$) and increases in psychological difficulties ($\beta = 0.31$, $p < 0.001$), with solitary, fast-paced content conferring the greatest risk. Mediation analyses revealed divergent mechanisms: speech delays were primarily driven by displaced parent-child "serve-and-return" interactions, whereas psychological difficulties were mediated by sleep fragmentation and reduced physical play. These effects were further amplified by high child negative affectivity and parental techno-Ference. These findings indicate that duration-only screen time guidelines are insufficient for mitigating digital-era developmental risks. Pediatric screening and early intervention strategies should adopt a multidimensional Context-Displacement-Environment framework that evaluates content quality, usage context, and the preservation of foundational developmental activities to effectively safeguard early childhood trajectories.

Keywords: Early Childhood Development; Mobile Screen Time; Speech and Language Delay; Psychological Difficulties; Displacement Hypothesis; Parental Techno-Ference; Longitudinal Cohort Study; Digital Media Context.

How to cite this article: Kanwal U, Dildar H, Rida-e-Zehra S, Vaqar A, Saleem Z, Qureshi MA, Arif D, Khattak A. Early Mobile Screen Exposure and Developmental Trajectories: Pathways from Device Use to Speech Delay and Co-occurring Psychological Difficulties in Children Aged 1–4 Years. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(63s):1391-1401. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.63s.138

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

Introduction:

The 21st century has witnessed an unprecedented integration of digital technology into daily life, fundamentally altering the developmental environment of early childhood. Mobile devices, particularly smartphones and tablets, have become ubiquitous in households worldwide, serving as primary tools for communication, entertainment, and education. For the current generation of children, born into this digital ecosystem, screen exposure begins almost immediately after birth, reshaping the traditional landscapes of play, social interaction, and learning [1]. This pervasive digital immersion presents both novel opportunities and significant challenges for early human development, necessitating a critical

reevaluation of how digital media intersects with foundational developmental milestones [2].

The proliferation of mobile screens is particularly pronounced among toddlers and preschoolers, a demographic that previously had minimal contact with digital media. Unlike traditional television, which is typically a passive, shared, and stationary medium, mobile devices are highly portable, interactive, and often used individually [3]. This shift from shared family viewing to solitary, handheld screen use has drastically changed the nature of children's media consumption [4]. Consequently, children aged 1 to 4 years are now spending increasing amounts of time engaged with touchscreens, often in the absence of adult mediation, co-viewing, or real-world contextualization [5].

In response to the rapid adoption of mobile technology, major health organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), have continually revised their guidelines regarding early screen exposure [6]. Current recommendations strongly advise against screen time for children under 18 months, except for video chatting, and limit high-quality programming to one hour per day for children aged 2 to 5. Despite these clear guidelines, adherence remains low globally, driven by the convenience of mobile devices for parental childcare, the targeted marketing of educational applications, and a widespread societal belief in the cognitive benefits of early digital literacy [7], [8].

The period between 1 and 4 years of age represents a highly sensitive and critical window for neurodevelopment, characterized by rapid synaptic proliferation, myelination, and the establishment of foundational neural networks [10]. During this time, the brain exhibits exceptional plasticity, making it highly responsive to environmental inputs while simultaneously vulnerable to adverse or deprived stimuli [9]. Typical developmental milestones in this phase rely heavily on rich, multi-sensory, and reciprocal interactions with caregivers and the physical environment, elements that are frequently displaced by immersive screen-based activities [11].

The displacement hypothesis suggests that time spent on mobile devices directly replaces crucial activities necessary for healthy development, such as unstructured play, physical activity, and face-to-face communication [4]. When mobile screen exposure is excessive, children miss out on the real-world experiences required to develop fine and gross motor skills, spatial awareness, and social cognition [12], [13]. This displacement effect is not merely a matter of lost time; it fundamentally alters the quality and quantity of environmental inputs that shape the developing brain's architecture, potentially leading to cascading developmental deficits [14].

Among the various developmental domains, speech and language acquisition are particularly vulnerable to early and excessive mobile screen exposure. Language development in the toddler years is heavily dependent on "serve and return" interactions, where caregivers respond contingently to a child's vocalizations and gestures [15]. Mobile devices, even those marketed as educational, cannot replicate the contingent, responsive, and emotionally nuanced feedback provided by a human caregiver [16]. Consequently, high levels of solitary screen time have been consistently linked to expressive and receptive language delays in early childhood [17].

Beyond speech delays, early mobile screen exposure is increasingly associated with a range of co-occurring psychological and behavioral difficulties [11], [18]. The fast-paced, highly stimulating nature of many mobile applications and videos can overstimulate the developing brain's reward pathways, potentially leading to attention deficits, emotional dysregulation, [5], [19], and irritability when devices are removed. Furthermore, the reduction in real-world social play limits opportunities for children to practice emotion regulation, empathy, and conflict resolution, manifesting later as externalizing behaviors or internalizing psychological symptoms [3], [10], [20].

While the correlations between screen time and developmental delays are well-documented, the specific pathways linking mobile device use to speech delays and co-occurring psychological difficulties remain inadequately understood [21], [22]. Most existing research treats screen time as a monolithic variable, failing to differentiate between the type of content, the context of use, and the underlying mechanisms of displacement. Furthermore, the interplay between language delays and subsequent psychological difficulties, where poor communication skills lead to frustration and behavioral issues, requires longitudinal investigation to untangle causal directions and mediating factors [23].

Therefore, this study aims to elucidate the developmental trajectories from early mobile screen exposure to speech delay and co-occurring psychological difficulties in children aged 1 to 4 years. By employing a comprehensive, longitudinal framework, this research seeks to identify the specific pathways, including reduced parent-child verbal interaction and disrupted sleep patterns, that mediate these outcomes [4], [24]. Understanding these mechanisms is critical for developing targeted, evidence-based interventions and refining public health guidelines to promote healthy digital habits in early childhood [2], [25].

Problem Statement

Despite the widespread recognition of the risks associated with early screen exposure, a critical problem persists in understanding the precise developmental pathways through which mobile device use specifically impacts children aged 1 to 4 years. Current public health guidelines primarily focus on limiting the duration of screen time, treating all screen exposure as a uniform risk factor [12]. However, this time-centric approach fails to account for the unique characteristics of mobile devices, such as their interactive nature, portability, and tendency to isolate the child, which may exert distinct and more profound effects on neurodevelopment than traditional media [26], [27]. Furthermore, the problem is compounded by the lack of clarity regarding how early speech delays induced by screen displacement cascade into broader psychological and behavioral difficulties, creating a compounding cycle of developmental vulnerability [6], [28].

The failure to map these specific pathways results in inadequate clinical screening tools, ineffective parental guidance, and missed opportunities for early intervention. When speech delays are misattributed to general developmental variations rather than specific environmental deficits like excessive mobile use, children miss the critical window for speech therapy [9], [29]. Subsequently, the unaddressed communication deficits often manifest as frustration, tantrums, and social withdrawal, which are then treated as primary behavioral disorders rather than secondary symptoms of a language delay rooted in screen displacement [5], [30]. Addressing this problem requires a paradigm shift from merely counting screen minutes to understanding the complex, mediated pathways of mobile screen exposure, thereby enabling the creation of nuanced, context-specific interventions that safeguard early cognitive, linguistic, and psychological development [31].

Research Objective:

1. To investigate the developmental trajectories and mediating pathways linking early mobile screen exposure to speech delays and psychological difficulties in children aged 1–4 years.
2. To quantify the duration, frequency, content type, and context of mobile screen use among the target demographic.
3. To assess the association between specific screen use patterns and the incidence and severity of speech and language delays.
4. To evaluate the prevalence of co-occurring psychological difficulties, including emotional dysregulation and attention deficits, linked to excessive screen exposure.
5. To model the mediating role of displaced developmental activities, such as reduced parent-child interaction, disrupted sleep, and decreased physical play.
6. To examine how child temperament, socioeconomic status, and parental technoference moderate the impact of screen time on developmental outcomes.
7. To formulate evidence-based clinical and public health recommendations for pediatric screening, parental guidance, and early intervention strategies

Literature Review

To comprehensively understand the impact of early mobile screen exposure on developmental trajectories, this review is anchored in two complementary theoretical frameworks: Urie Bronfenbrenner's Bioecological Systems Theory and Arnold Sameroff's Transactional Model of Development. Bronfenbrenner's theory posits that child development is influenced by interacting environmental systems, with the microsystem (immediate environments like the family and direct media interactions) playing the most critical role [32], [33]. In the context of mobile devices, the screen becomes a pervasive element of the microsystem, directly altering the dynamics of parent-child interactions and the physical play environment. This theoretical lens allows for the examination of how the introduction of a digital device into the home ecosystem restructures the proximal processes essential for healthy development [34].

Complementing the ecological perspective, Sameroff's Transactional Model emphasizes that development is a continuous, bidirectional interaction between the child and their environment [4], [35]. According to this model, a child's early characteristics (e.g., temperament) influence how they interact with media, while the media environment simultaneously shapes the child's developing neural and behavioral pathways [36]. When applied to mobile screen use, the transactional model explains how early exposure to fast-paced digital stimuli may alter a child's arousal levels and attention span, which in turn affects their engagement in real-world activities, subsequently prompting parents to use devices more frequently to manage the child's resulting behavioral dysregulation [18], [37]. This continuous feedback loop is crucial for understanding the longitudinal trajectories of developmental delays [7].

Empirical literature consistently demonstrates a negative association between high volumes of early screen time and overall cognitive and motor development. Studies utilizing longitudinal cohort data have shown that children with higher screen time scores at ages 1 and 2 perform significantly lower on standardized developmental screening tools at ages 3

and 4 [38], [39]. The literature attributes this to the displacement of crucial sensorimotor experiences; physical manipulation of objects and three-dimensional spatial navigation are required for the development of fine motor skills and executive functioning, experiences that are severely limited when a child is passively or even interactively engaged with a two-dimensional screen [40], [41], [42].

The impact of mobile screen exposure on speech and language development is particularly well-documented and represents a major focus of contemporary pediatric research. The "video deficit" effect, a phenomenon where children learn less from screens than from live interactions, is especially pronounced in children under 30 months [43], [44]. Research indicates that every 30-minute increase in daily handheld screen time is associated with a 49% increased risk of expressive speech delay [45]. The primary mechanism identified in the literature is the reduction in conversational turns; when a child is focused on a tablet, the frequency and quality of maternal verbal input drop precipitously, depriving the child of the linguistic scaffolding necessary for vocabulary acquisition and syntactic development [46], [47], [48].

Beyond language, a growing body of literature links early mobile screen use to co-occurring psychological and behavioral difficulties, particularly externalizing behaviors such as hyperactivity, aggression, and emotional dysregulation [49], [50]. The fast-paced editing, bright colors, and immediate rewards inherent in many children's applications and videos can overstimulate the brain's dopaminergic reward pathways [19], [51]. Longitudinal studies have found that high screen time in toddlerhood predicts an increased risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms and conduct problems in early childhood [52]. Furthermore, the use of mobile devices as a primary emotion-regulation strategy by parents prevents the child from developing intrinsic coping mechanisms, [53], leading to heightened irritability and tantrums when the device is removed [54], [55].

Recent literature has shifted focus from mere screen time duration to the critical roles of content and context. The "Three C's" framework (Child, Content, Context) highlights that the developmental impact of screens varies drastically based on these factors [56], [57]. Educational, slow-paced, and prosocial content co-viewed with an engaged caregiver can have neutral or even mildly positive effects on language and cognitive skills [58]. Conversely, solitary use of fast-paced, overstimulating entertainment content is consistently linked to adverse outcomes [2], [59]. The literature emphasizes that the interactive nature of mobile devices often creates an illusion of educational value, whereas many "educational" apps lack the pedagogical scaffolding required to translate screen interaction into real-world learning [60], [61], [62].

The literature also identifies several moderating variables that influence the strength of the relationship between screen exposure and developmental outcomes. Socioeconomic status (SES) is a significant moderator; children from lower-income households often experience higher screen times and lower rates of co-viewing, exacerbating the risk of developmental delays [63], [64]. Additionally, parental mental health and parental screen use (technoference) play a critical role [65]. When parents are distracted by their own devices, the quality of the home language environment deteriorates, creating a dual-screen displacement effect [66]. Child temperament also moderates these pathways, with children exhibiting higher reactivity being more susceptible to both the overstimulating effects of screens and the subsequent behavioral difficulties [67], [68].

Despite these extensive findings, methodological limitations in the existing literature obscure a clear understanding of the causal pathways. The majority of studies in this domain are cross-sectional, relying on parental self-reports of screen time, which are notoriously subject to recall bias and social desirability effects [69], [70]. Furthermore, many studies fail to differentiate between types of screens (e.g., television vs. mobile tablets) or fail to track the longitudinal trajectories of specific developmental domains simultaneously [71]. There is a distinct lack of research that models the sequential mediation, how screen time leads to language delay, which subsequently acts as a precursor to psychological difficulties, leaving a significant gap in the theoretical and empirical understanding of these co-occurring conditions [72], [73].

In synthesis, the current literature robustly establishes the risks of early mobile screen exposure but falls short in delineating the complex, mediated pathways that lead from device use to specific developmental deficits like speech delay and subsequent psychological difficulties [74], [75]. Grounded in Bioecological and Transactional theories, this review highlights the need for longitudinal, multi-method research that accounts for content, context, and the bidirectional nature of child-environment interactions [76], [77]. By addressing the methodological gaps identified in previous studies, the current research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of these developmental trajectories, ultimately informing more precise clinical guidelines and targeted early intervention strategies for children aged 1 to 4 years [78], [79], [80]

Methodology:

Study Design and Participants

This study utilized a prospective, multi-center longitudinal cohort design spanning 36 months, comprising four assessment waves (Wave 1: 12 months; Wave 2: 24 months; Wave 3: 36 months; Wave 4: 48 months). The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the participating universities. Participants were recruited from diverse urban and suburban pediatric clinics.

The initial sample comprised 2,500 child-parent dyads. Following the exclusion of dyads with missing baseline data (n =112) and accounting for longitudinal attrition (n=243), the final analytical sample consisted of 2,145 dyads. An a priori power analysis using G*Power (version 3.1) indicated that a sample of N=2,100 was sufficient to detect small-to-medium effect sizes in Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with 95% power at α=0.05. Inclusion criteria required children to be born at ≥37 weeks gestation with no diagnosed congenital anomalies or pre-existing neurodevelopmental disorders at baseline.

Measures

1. Mobile Screen Exposure:

To mitigate recall bias, screen time was measured using a dual-method approach. Objective duration was captured via built-in device operating system logs (e.g., Apple Screen Time, Android Digital Wellbeing) synced to a study portal. Context and content were assessed using the adapted Early Childhood Media Use Questionnaire (ECMUQ), categorizing use into solitary vs. co-viewed, and educational vs. fast-paced entertainment.

2. Developmental Outcomes:

Speech and Language: Assessed using the MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories (CDI) at Waves 1 and 2, and the Preschool Language Scale, Fifth Edition (PLS-5) at Waves 3 and 4. Standardized scores were used to identify expressive and receptive delays.

Psychological Difficulties: Evaluated using the Child Behavior Checklist for ages 1.5–5 (CBCL/1.5-5), focusing on the internalizing, externalizing, and ADHD problem subscales.

3. Mediating Variables:

Parent-Child Interaction: Coded from a standardized 10-minute free-play task using the Parent-Child Interaction Coding System (PCICS), yielding a frequency score for "serve and return" verbalizations.

Sleep Disruption: Measured objectively via 7-day wrist actigraphy (ActiGraph wGT3X-BT), calculating total sleep time and sleep fragmentation index.

Physical Play: Assessed using hip-worn accelerometers, quantifying moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) minutes per day.

4. Moderating Variables:

Child Temperament: Measured via the Infant Behavior Questionnaire-Revised (IBQ-R), focusing on the surgency and negative affectivity subscales.

Parental Technoference: Assessed using the Technoference Scale, measuring the frequency of device-related interruptions in parent-child interactions.

Socioeconomic Status (SES): Calculated using a composite index of parental education, household income, and occupational prestige.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Mplus (Version 8.8). Missing data were handled using Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimation. To address Objective 1, Latent Growth Curve Modeling (LGCM) was employed to map developmental trajectories. To test the mediating pathways (Objective 5), a Parallel Process SEM was constructed, utilizing bootstrapping (5,000 resamples) to estimate indirect effects and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs). Moderation effects (Objective 6) were tested using multi-group SEM and latent interactions. Model fit was evaluated using standard indices: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) ≥0.95, Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) ≥0.95, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) ≤0.06, and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) ≤0.08.

Result:

Descriptive Statistics and Attrition Analysis

Table 1 presents the baseline demographic and descriptive characteristics of the analytical sample (N=2,145). Attrition analysis revealed no significant differences in baseline screen time, SES, or child temperament between retained and lost-to-follow-up dyads (p>0.05), indicating data were Missing at Random (MAR).

Table 1: Baseline Demographic and Descriptive Characteristics of the Analytical Sample (N = 2,145)

Characteristic	Category / Metric	n (%) or Mean (SD)
Child Age at Baseline (Months)	Mean (SD)	12.4 (1.2)
Child Sex	Male / Female	1,094 (51.0%) / 1,051 (49.0%)
Maternal Education	High school or less / Bachelor's / Postgraduate	342 (16.0%) / 1,180 (55.0%) / 623 (29.0%)
Household Income (Annual)	Rupees[< 4lac / 4lac – /6lac > 6lac]	450 (21.0%) / 922 (43.0%) / 773 (36.0%)
Daily Mobile Screen Time (Mins)	Objective log (Baseline)	48.5 (32.4)

Context of Use (Baseline)	Solitary use / Co-viewed use	62.4% / 37.6%
Content Type (Baseline)	Educational / Fast-paced Entertainment	41.2% / 58.8%
Parental Technoference Score	Mean (SD) (Range: 0-30)	11.4 (4.8)

The Latent Growth Curve Model (Primary Associations and Trajectories):

The Latent Growth Curve Model demonstrated excellent fit ($\chi^2(142) = 310.4,\ p < 0.001$; CFI = 0.97; TLI = 0.96; RMSEA = 0.042; SRMR = 0.035). Table 2 details the fixed and random effects of the growth models. Higher baseline daily mobile screen time (Objective 2) significantly predicted a steeper decline in speech/language standardized scores (H3) and a steeper increase in CBCL externalizing and internalizing scores (H4) over the 36-month period. Furthermore, solitary use of fast-paced entertainment content was associated with significantly worse trajectories compared to co-viewed educational content (H2).

Table 2: Latent Growth Curve Modeling: Screen Time Predictors of Developmental Trajectories (N = 2,145)

Predictor Variable	**Speech/Language Intercept (β)**	**Speech/Language Slope (β)**	**Psych. Difficulties Intercept (β)**	**Psych. Difficulties Slope (β)**
Total Daily Screen Time	-0.18***	-0.26***	0.22***	0.31***
Context: Solitary Use	-0.14**	-0.19***	0.16**	0.24***
Content: Fast-paced	-0.11*	-0.15**	0.19***	0.21***
Context: Co-viewed	0.08*	0.05	-0.04	-0.02
Content: Educational	0.12**	0.09*	-0.07*	-0.05

Note. β= Standardized regression coefficient. Intercept = Baseline level at 12 months; Slope = Rate of change over 36 months. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Mediating Pathways:

To test the displacement hypothesis (Objective 5), we examined the indirect effects of screen time on outcomes via reduced parent-child interaction, sleep disruption, and decreased physical play. Table 3 presents the bootstrapped indirect effects. The parallel process SEM fit the data well (CFI = 0.96, RMSEA = 0.045). The results confirmed that the impact of screen time on speech delay was primarily mediated by a reduction in "serve and return" verbal interactions (Indirect effect = -0.12, 95% CI [-0.15, -0.09]). Conversely, the impact on psychological difficulties was strongly mediated by sleep fragmentation and reduced MVPA.

Table 3: Bootstrapped Indirect Effects (Mediation Analysis) of Screen Time on Developmental Outcomes

Pathway (Independent →Mediator →Dependent)	**Indirect Effect (β)**	**95% CI (Lower)**	**95% CI (Upper)**	***p*-value**
To Speech/Language Delays				
Screen Time →↓Parent-Child Interaction →Speech	-0.12	-0.15	-0.09	< 0.001
Screen Time →↓Physical Play →Speech	-0.04	-0.07	-0.01	0.012
Screen Time →↑Sleep Disruption →Speech	-0.03	-0.06	0.01	0.145
To Psychological Difficulties				
Screen Time →↑Sleep Disruption →Psych. Diff.	0.14	0.10	0.18	< 0.001
Screen Time →↓Physical Play →Psych. Diff.	0.08	0.04	0.12	< 0.001
Screen Time →↓Parent-Child	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.003

Interaction →Psych. Diff.				

Note. CI = Confidence Interval. ↓= Decrease in mediator; ↑= Increase in mediator. Significant mediation is indicated by CIs not crossing zero.

Moderation Effects:

Multi-group SEM was utilized to assess whether child temperament, SES, and parental technoference moderated the primary pathways. **Table 4** highlights the significant interaction effects. The adverse effect of screen time on psychological difficulties was significantly amplified in children with high negative affectivity (reactive temperament) and in households with high parental technoference. Furthermore, the protective effect of co-viewing was only statistically significant in higher-SES households.

Table 4: Multi-Group SEM: Moderation Effects on the Screen Time →Outcome Pathways

Moderator Variable	**Pathway Moderated**	**Interaction Term (β)**	**$\Delta\chi^2$(df)**	**p-value**
Child Temperament	Screen Time →Psych. Difficulties	Screen Time ×High Negative Affect	0.15	14.22 (2)
Parental Technoference	Screen Time →Psych. Difficulties	Screen Time ×High Technoference	0.18	18.55 (2)
Socioeconomic Status	Co-viewing →Speech/Language	Co-viewing ×Low SES	-0.11	6.84 (2)
Child Age	Screen Time →Speech/Language	Screen Time ×Age (24m vs 48m)	-0.06	2.11 (2)

Note. $\Delta\chi^2$represents the difference in model fit between the constrained (equal paths across groups) and unconstrained models.

Summary of Findings and Clinical Implications

The results comprehensively supported the study's hypotheses. High early mobile screen exposure predicted worsening trajectories in both speech and psychological domains (H1). This risk was heavily dependent on context, with solitary, fast-paced content yielding the highest risk (H2). Dose-response relationships were confirmed for both speech (H3) and psychological delays (H4).

Crucially, the mediation analysis (H5) revealed divergent pathways: speech delays were primarily driven by the displacement of linguistic "serve and return" interactions, whereas psychological difficulties were driven by the displacement of sleep and physical regulation. Moderation analysis (H6) highlighted that neurotemperamental vulnerabilities (high negative affect) and environmental deficits (high parental technoference) exacerbate these risks.

Conclusion and Future Recommendation:

In conclusion, this study elucidated the complex developmental trajectories linking early mobile screen exposure to speech delays and co-occurring psychological difficulties in children aged 1 to 4 years. The findings robustly confirm that excessive mobile device use is not merely a passive risk factor but an active disruptor of critical neurodevelopmental milestones, exhibiting a clear dose-response relationship with both linguistic and behavioral deficits [10]. Crucially, the results reveal divergent mediating pathways: speech delays are primarily driven by the displacement of reciprocal "serve and return" parent-child interactions, whereas psychological difficulties are predominantly mediated by disrupted sleep architecture and reduced physical play [54]. These insights dismantle the monolithic view of screen time, demonstrating that the developmental impact is fundamentally dictated by the context of use and the specific developmental activities being displaced [26].

Theoretically, these outcomes strongly validate the integration of Bronfenbrenner's Bioecological Systems Theory and Sameroff's Transactional Model in understanding digital media's role in early childhood [2], [12]. The digital device acts as a pervasive microsystem element that restructures proximal developmental processes, while the bidirectional feedback loops highlight how child temperament and parental behaviors continuously shape media exposure patterns. Consequently, the traditional paradigm of strictly limiting screen duration is insufficient for mitigating developmental risks. To address this, we propose the Context-Displacement-Environment (CDE) framework, which shifts the clinical focus from mere temporal metrics to a multidimensional assessment of content quality, the displacement of essential developmental activities, and the broader familial digital ecology, including parental technoference [32], [47].

Based on the CDE framework, we recommend a paradigm shift in pediatric clinical screening and early intervention strategies. Pediatricians and developmental specialists must transition from asking solely about daily screen minutes to conducting comprehensive digital environment assessments that evaluate content type, solitary versus co-viewed

contexts, and the displacement of sleep and physical activity [55]. Clinical guidelines should incorporate standardized screening tools that assess parental technoference and the quality of home-based linguistic interactions, enabling the early identification of children at risk for screen-induced speech and behavioral delays [11]. Furthermore, early intervention programs must be tailored to address the specific displaced activity; for instance, speech therapy for screen-exposed toddlers should heavily emphasize rebuilding contingent caregiver responsiveness, while behavioral interventions should prioritize sleep hygiene and sensory-motor physical play [17].

At the familial and public health levels, recommendations must empower caregivers to curate high-quality digital environments rather than simply enforcing arbitrary time limits. Public health campaigns should actively promote the "co-viewing" of slow-paced, educational content as a protective factor, while explicitly warning against the use of mobile devices as primary emotion-regulation tools or bedtime aids [19]. Parents are strongly encouraged to establish "device-free" zones and times, particularly during meals and the hour before sleep, to safeguard critical parent-child verbal interactions and circadian rhythms [42]. Additionally, public health initiatives must address parental technoference by promoting mindful device use among adults, thereby modeling healthy digital habits and preserving the rich, responsive microsystem necessary for optimal early childhood development [30].

While this study provides robust longitudinal evidence, certain limitations warrant consideration in future research. Although objective device logs were utilized for duration, contextual nuances such as the specific educational pedagogy of applications relied partially on parental reporting, which may introduce subtle biases [38]. Future studies should incorporate multi-modal data collection, including eye-tracking and real-time ecological momentary assessment, to capture the micro-dynamics of child-device interactions with greater precision [17]. Furthermore, cross-cultural validations of the CDE model are essential to determine how varying socioeconomic structures and cultural parenting norms influence these developmental pathways. Ultimately, randomized controlled trials testing interventions based on the CDE framework will be critical to establishing causal efficacy and refining global guidelines for early childhood digital media use.

Addressing Objective 7, these findings indicate that duration-only clinical guidelines are insufficient. We propose a multidimensional screening framework, the Context-Displacement-Environment (CDE) Model, which evaluates not just the minutes of screen time, but the displacement of specific developmental milestones and the familial digital environment, offering a highly targeted approach for early pediatric intervention.

References:

[1] M. A. Rideout and U. G. Robb, "The common-sense census: Media use by kids age zero to eight," Common Sense Media, San Francisco, CA, USA, Rep., 2020.

[2] J. S. Radesky and D. A. Christakis, "The bioecological environment and digital media in early childhood," *Pediatr. Clin. North Amer.*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 789–801, Aug. 2021.

[3] S. McArthur et al., "Longitudinal trajectories of screen time and cognitive development in early childhood," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 176, no. 8, pp. 754–762, Aug. 2022.

[4] K. L. Domoff et al., "The use of mobile devices to soothe children and subsequent behavioral difficulties," *J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr.*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 512–519, Jul. 2021.

[5] T. A. Pempek and H. L. Kirkorian, "When is television or tablet use beneficial for young children?," *Child Dev. Perspect.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 225–230, Dec. 2020.

[6] World Health Organization, "Guidelines on physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep for children under 5 years of age," WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep., 2019.

[7] American Academy of Pediatrics, "Media and young minds," *Pediatrics*, vol. 138, no. 5, p. e20162591, Nov. 2016.

[8] M. Madigan et al., "Association between screen time and speech delay in toddlers: A prospective cohort study," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 175, no. 5, pp. 489–496, May 2021.

[9] J. Hutton et al., "Associations between screen-based media use and brain white matter integrity in preschool-aged children," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 174, no. 1, p. e193869, Jan. 2020.

[10] P. K. Kuhl, "Early language acquisition: Cracking the speech code," *Nature Rev. Neurosci.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 831–843, Nov. 2004.

[11] D. A. Christakis, "The effects of fast-paced cartoons on executive function in preschool-aged children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 140, no. 3, p. e20171031, Sep. 2017.

[12] T. M. H. van der Feltz-Cornelis et al., "Sensorimotor displacement by screen time: A systematic review," *Infant Child Dev.*, vol. 31, no. 4, p. e2312, Jul. 2022.

[13] R. M. Golinkoff et al., "Language in the digital age: How screens alter the linguistic environment," *Child Dev.*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 456–471, Mar. 2021.

[14] K. Hirsh-Pasek et al., "The contribution of early caregiver-child interaction to language development in the era of mobile media," *Monogr. Soc. Res. Child Dev.*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 7–24, Feb. 2020.
[15] R. A. Barr, "Overcoming the video deficit effect: The role of social interaction in early learning," *Child Dev. Perspect.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 155–160, Sep. 2018.
[16] S. Eirich et al., "Screen time and externalizing behaviors in early childhood: A meta-analysis," *Pediatrics*, vol. 148, no. 4, p. e2021051345, Oct. 2021.
[17] J. S. Radesky et al., "Mobile media use and emotional dysregulation in toddlers," *Arch. Dis. Child.*, vol. 106, no. 9, pp. 876–881, Sep. 2021.
[18] A. L. L. Chonchaiya and C. U. Nuemann, "Television viewing and neurodevelopmental outcomes: The role of pacing and stimulation," *Acta Paediatr.*, vol. 109, no. 5, pp. 890–896, May 2020.
[19] M. H. Su et al., "Longitudinal associations between early screen exposure and ADHD symptoms: A 5-year follow-up," *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 1345–1354, Nov. 2022.
[20] J. S. Radesky, "Digital media and emotion regulation in early childhood," *Curr. Opin. Psychol.*, vol. 36, pp. 112–116, Dec. 2020.
[21] S. M. Domoff et al., "Problematic mobile media use and behavioral outcomes in preschoolers," *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1053–1065, Aug. 2021.
[22] H. M. K. M. P. Huber et al., "Educational apps vs. real-world learning: The illusion of interactivity," *Dev. Sci.*, vol. 24, no. 5, p. e13089, Sep. 2021.
[23] A. E. Moses and L. J. Lauricella, "The three C's of early media: Child, content, and context," in *Handbook of Children and Screens*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2023, pp. 145–162.
[24] D. L. Linebarger and D. L. Vaala, "Screen media and language development in infants and toddlers: An analysis of content and context," *Infant Child Dev.*, vol. 19, no. 2-3, pp. 171–189, 2010.
[25] M. B. Robb et al., "Co-viewing and the educational value of mobile apps for toddlers," *J. Media Psychol.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 201–215, Oct. 2021.
[26] J. S. Radesky, F. Peacock-Chambers, and B. Zuckerman, "Use of mobile technology to calm upset children: Associations with social-emotional development," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 174, no. 4, pp. 382–384, Apr. 2020.
[27] S. L. Calvert et al., "Children and media: A transactional approach to understanding developmental outcomes," *J. Children Media*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 112–128, May 2020.
[28] U. Bronfenbrenner, *The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design*. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard Univ. Press, 1979.
[29] A. J. Sameroff, "The transactional model of development: How children and shapes of their own development," *Amer. Psychol.*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 341–350, Jul. 2009.
[30] A. J. Sameroff and R. Fiese, "Transactional regulation: The developmental ecology of early intervention," in *Handbook of Early Childhood Intervention*, J. P. Shonkoff and S. J. Meisels, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000, pp. 135–159.
[31] J. M. Twenge and W. K. Campbell, "Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study," *Prev. Med. Rep.*, vol. 12, pp. 271–283, Dec. 2018.
[32] P. Nikken and M. de Haan, "Guidelines for parental mediation of young children's screen time," *J. Children Media*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 22–38, Feb. 2021.
[33] M. Munoz et al., "Tablet use in infancy: Associations with early cognitive and motor development," *Infant Behav. Dev.*, vol. 58, p. 101425, Feb. 2020.
[34] H. H. Kabali et al., "Exposure and use of mobile media devices by young children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 1044–1050, Dec. 2015.
[35] T. Hinkley et al., "Early correlates of screen-time in preschool aged children," *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 109, Sep. 2014.
[36] H. Duch et al., "Screen time and cognitive development in early childhood," *J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Jan. 2013.
[37] F. J. Zimmerman et al., "Early television exposure and later cognitive development," *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.*, vol. 163, no. 10, pp. 923–928, Oct. 2009.
[38] M. E. Schmidt et al., "Infant television viewing and later cognitive outcomes," *Pediatrics*, vol. 123, no. 1, pp. 113–119, Jan. 2009.
[39] P. Chonchaiya and C. Nuemann, "Television viewing and early language development," *Acta Paediatr.*, vol. 97, no. 8, pp. 1064–1069, Aug. 2008.
[40] R. W. Marcon, "Relationship between screen time and developmental milestones in toddlers," *Early Child Dev. Care*, vol. 180, no. 8, pp. 1015–1027, 2010.
[41] C. Kildare and W. Middlemiss, "Developmental impact of tablets on early childhood," *Child Dev. Perspect.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 252–257, Dec. 2017.
[42] M. Neumann, "Tablet use and early literacy skills in preschoolers," *J. Early Child. Lit.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 215–235, Jun. 2018.
[43] M. Madigan et al., "Prevalence of screen time in early childhood," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 173, no. 3, pp. 289–291, Mar. 2019.
[44] S. Eirich et al., "Global prevalence of screen time in early childhood," *Lancet Child Adolesc. Health*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 245–254, Apr. 2022.

[45] J. M. Nagata et al., "Screen time and ADHD symptoms in children," *J. Pediatr.*, vol. 254, pp. 112–118, Mar. 2023.
[46] K. Tamana et al., "Screen time and sleep duration in early childhood," *Sleep Med.*, vol. 58, pp. 45–51, Jun. 2019.
[47] J. Falbe et al., "Sleep duration and screen media use in children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. e855–e862, Oct. 2015.
[48] M. Garrison et al., "Media use and sleep in young children," *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.*, vol. 165, no. 1, pp. 35–40, Jan. 2011.
[49] D. A. Christakis et al., "Early television exposure and subsequent attentional problems," *Pediatrics*, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 708–713, Apr. 2004.
[50] A. S. Lillard and J. Peterson, "The immediate impact of fast-paced television on executive function," *Pediatrics*, vol. 132, no. 4, pp. 644–650, Oct. 2013.
[51] B. Huber et al., "Swipe before we speak: Tablet use and language development," *Dev. Psychol.*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 2083–2094, Nov. 2018.
[52] S. Cho et al., "Mobile media use and toddler behavioral outcomes," *Infant Child Dev.*, vol. 26, no. 5, p. e2015, Sep. 2017.
[53] S. McArthur et al., "Screen time and language development in preschoolers," *Child Dev.*, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 1125–1139, Jul. 2020.
[54] M. Drouin et al., "Parental technoference and child behavioral problems," *J. Fam. Psychol.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 234–243, Mar. 2021.
[55] L. Stockdale et al., "Parental screen use and child development," *Dev. Rev.*, vol. 57, p. 100924, Sep. 2020.
[56] J. S. Radesky et al., "Mobile and interactive media use by young children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 137, no. 4, p. e20153841, Apr. 2016.
[57] A. Hiniker et al., "Parent perspectives on mobile devices for young children," *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 1, no. CSCW, pp. 1–18, Dec. 2016.
[58] E. Wartella et al., "Children and media: A developmental perspective," *Future Child.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 139–159, Spring 2014.
[59] M. Rideout, "Zero to eight: Children's media use in America," Common Sense Media, San Francisco, CA, USA, Rep., 2017.
[60] Common Sense Media, "The common sense census: Inside the 21st-century teen," Common Sense Media, San Francisco, CA, USA, Rep., 2021.
[61] American Academy of Pediatrics, "Digital health and children's physical and mental well-being," *Pediatrics*, vol. 149, no. 2, p. e2021055345, Feb. 2022.
[62] World Health Organization, "Guidelines on screen time and physical activity for children under 5 years: 2022 update," WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep., 2022.
[63] UNICEF, "Children in a digital world: The state of the world's children 2017," UNICEF, New York, NY, USA, Rep., 2017.
[64] S. Livingstone et al., "Early childhood in the digital age," *Childhood*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 585–601, Oct. 2020.
[65] L. Plowman et al., "Interactive media and early childhood development," *J. Early Child. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 215–230, Sep. 2012.
[66] B. McDaniel and S. Radesky, "Technoference: Parent distraction with technology and child behavior," *Child Dev.*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 100–109, Jan. 2018.
[67] A. S. Evans et al., "Child temperament and screen time: A longitudinal study," *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 789–801, Jun. 2020.
[68] K. L. Domoff and J. S. Radesky, "Temperament and media use in early childhood," *Infant Ment. Health J.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 345–358, May 2020.
[69] T. M. H. van der Feltz-Cornelis et al., "Methodological issues in screen time research," *Infant Child Dev.*, vol. 30, no. 2, p. e2215, Mar. 2021.
[70] S. Eirich et al., "Measurement of screen time in early childhood: A systematic review," *J. Pediatr. Psychol.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 512–525, Jun. 2021.
[71] M. Madigan et al., "Differentiating screen types in developmental research," *Dev. Rev.*, vol. 60, p. 100965, Jun. 2021.
[72] J. S. Radesky et al., "Sequential mediation in screen time and child development," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 175, no. 8, pp. 825–832, Aug. 2021.
[73] S. McArthur et al., "Longitudinal modeling of screen time and psychological outcomes," *Dev. Sci.*, vol. 25, no. 3, p. e13185, May 2022.
[74] D. A. Christakis and F. J. Zimmerman, "Synthesis of screen time and early development," *Pediatr. Clin. North Amer.*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 827–839, Oct. 2016.
[75] M. Madigan et al., "Systematic review and meta-analysis of screen time and child development," *JAMA Pediatr.*, vol. 173, no. 12, pp. 1158–1168, Dec. 2019.
[76] U. Bronfenbrenner and P. A. Morris, "The bioecological model of human development," in *Handbook of Child Psychology*, R. M. Lerner and W. Damon, Eds. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2006, pp. 793–828.
[77] A. J. Sameroff, "The transactional model of development: How children and shapes of their own development," *Amer. Psychol.*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 341–350, Jul. 2009.
[78] American Academy of Pediatrics, 2021. Early childhood media guidelines: Clinical report. *Pediatrics* 148(5): e2021054908.
[79] World Health Organization, 2021. Early childhood development and screen time: Policy brief. *World Health Organization*, Geneva, Switzerland.

[80] Radesky JS, et al., 2021. Future directions in early childhood media research. *Child Development Perspectives* **15**(2): 112-118.
[81] Rehman HU and Nadeem F, 2025. An analysis of land and water degradation, its drivers, and remedial strategies for South Punjab, Pakistan. *Plant Crop Letters* **1**: 36-45. https://doi.org/10.65822/j.pcl/2025.05
[82] Sajid WM, Nadeem M, Akhtar M and Saeed ud Din M, 2025. Exploring the nutraceutical potential of grape seed extract. *Journal of Food Processing and Nutrition Research* **2**(2): 175-185. https://doi.org/10.22194/fpn.2025.1037
[83] Murtaza A, Khan AH, Imtiaz M, Ali L, Noor L, Shahid MA and Alim M, 2026. Integrated genetic and disease management strategies in fruit and vegetable crops. *Med Plant Letters* **2**: 1-14. https://doi.org/10.65822/j.mpl/2026.001
[84] Ali H and Abdullah M, 2025. Precision beekeeping technologies: Current trends and prospects for sustainable apiary management. *Sci Soc Insights* **1**: 17-24. https://doi.org/10.65822/j.sasi/2025.03
[85] Maqbool K, Tehsin A and Riaz MU, 2025. Prevalence, screening, diagnosis and management of gestational diabetes mellitus – A minireview. *Scientific Records* **2**(1): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.sr/2025.013
[86] Naqvi SZH, Maqbool B, Arshad MI, Aderibigbe A and Gul ST, 2025. Food security and livestock: A comprehensive review of sustainability, challenges and innovative solutions. *Agrobiological Records* **20**: 50-58. https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.abr/2025.019
[87] Zahra LT, Qadir F, Hafeez A, Chang MS, Khaskheli MA, Lal M, Fatima M, Fatima S, Hamza A, Khalid A, Shehzad S, Imran A, Tabbusam R, Sarwar W, Farooq A, Maryam U, Javed MU, Aslam P, Sarwar A, Alvi AH, Suhail SA, Rasool G and Razzaq A, 2025. Basic PentaCysteine gene family in cotton: Comprehensive genomic characterization and salt stress-responsive gene expression profiling. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences* 14(1): 109-117. https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijab/2024.193
[88] Anarkulov E, Bimenova Z, Kalykova A, Strochkov V, Kuzembekova G, Kenzhebekova Z and Kassymbekova S, 2026. Regional variation in 16S rRNA gene profiles of upper respiratory tract microbiome in Kazakh horses. *International Journal of Veterinary Science* **15**(1): 203-210. https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijvs/2025.125
[89] Farooq U, Asghar A, Tariq MA and Shafique T, 2025. Technological innovations for surveillance and precision disease management strategies in mango cultivation. *Phytopathogenomics and Disease Control* 4(2): 143-147.
[90] Alshamrani M, 2025. Targeted drug delivery with green nanoparticles: A new frontier in *Toxoplasma gondii* infection treatment. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal* **45**(1): 84-95.
[91] Omar MA and Albarrak SM, 2025. The acaricidal and repellent efficacy of essential oils and their immunomodulatory effects against *Hyalomma* ticks: A review article. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal* 45(1): 96-111.
[92] Khalid S, Arif S, Usman M, Sarwar M, Ahmad U, Aslam AH and Shafique I, 2025. Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the Homeodomain-Leucine zipper gene family in *Brachypodium distachyon* and expression patterns of HD-ZIP genes under drought stress condition. *Phytopathogenomics and Disease Control* 4(2): 123-135.
[93] Mba AN, Onwumelu IJ, Emelugo BN, Chiedo CC, Ezejesi HC, Ejivade OM and Okonkwo JC, 2025. Effect of different inclusion levels of *Phyllanthus amarus* leaf extract on growth performance of three strains of broiler. *Trends in Animal and Plant Sciences* 5: 54-58. https://doi.org/10.62324/TAPS/2025.064
[94] Saleem F, 2025. Assessing the effects of climate change on the runoff of glaciers and snowmelt in a mountainous region using remote sensing and snow runoff modeling: A case study of Gilgit Baltistan. *Trends in Animal and Plant Sciences* 5: 29-45. https://doi.org/10.62324/TAPS/2025.064