

Comprehensive Strategies for Hospital Infection Control: A Systematic Review of Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens, Surveillance Systems, and Antimicrobial Stewardship Interventions

Majed Saleh M Aljohani¹, Yasir Abdullah M Alharbi^{1*}, Naif Sulaiman H Asr¹, Ahmed Ali H Arabi¹, Abdalrhman Saad N Alrhely¹, Ahmed Abdullah Shuokr¹, Waleed Mohammed Alqayidi¹, Naif Hameed Alsaedi¹, Ghanem Ali L Almutairi², Waheeb Mohammed A Azoni², Rawan Ateeq Allah A Aljohani², Nawaf Saad H Alharbi³, Ahmed Abdulrahman Nashi Alharbi⁴, Mohd Rashid⁵

¹Pharmacy Technician, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

²Pharmacist, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

³Radiology Specialist, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

⁴Technician-Medical secretary, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

⁵College of Dentistry and Pharmacy, Buraydah Colleges, Buraydah-51418, Al-Qassim, Saudi Arabia

Received: May 01, 2026; Accepted: July 25, 2026; Available Online: July 30, 2026

***Corresponding author:** Yasir Abdullah M Alharbi

Abstract

Despite the significant contribution of HAIs to increased morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and escalating health care costs, they continue to be a major public health problem globally. New and fast spreading “MDROs” (Multidrug Resistant Pathogens) including MRSA (Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*), VRE (Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci*), ESBL (Extended-spectrum β -lactamase) producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, CRE (carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*), *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have further contributed to the complexity of infection management in hospital settings. Due to the various resistance mechanisms such as enzymatic degradation, induction of efflux pumps, alteration of permeability and biofilm formation, there are limited therapeutic options and poor clinical outcomes available for these pathogens. This systematic review will assess the effectiveness of complete hospital infection control with an emphasis on infection prevention and control (IPC) interventions, surveillance tools and antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs). The standard and transmission based precautions, environmental hygiene and device associated IPC bundles (CLABSI, CAUTI, VAP) are critical reviewed as basic IPC approaches. The use of advanced surveillance tools, such as Electronic Health Records (EHR) surveillance, Microbiological antibiogram development, and AI-driven predictive analytics for early detection and outbreak management are also discussed. In addition, the effect of antimicrobial stewardship interventions (formulary restriction, de-escalation, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) optimization, and WHO AWaRe classification integration) on trends in antimicrobial resistance and hospital outcomes are evaluated. Future tools for infection control that are emerging as a result of research are noted as being artificial intelligence, quick molecular diagnostics, and nanotechnology antimicrobial surfaces. However, there are still challenges to be addressed, such as the improper use of antibiotics, limited resources, and non-compliance with treatment by health personnel. It exposes the need for multi-disciplinary, integrated approaches that include IPC, surveillance, and stewardship to effectively support the fight against MDR pathogens and patient safety in health care systems worldwide.

Keywords

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs); Multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens; Infection prevention and control (IPC); Antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs); Surveillance systems; Antibiotic resistance; Hospital epidemiology

How to cite this article: Aljohani MSM, Alharbi YAM, Asr NSH, Arabi AHA, Alrhely ASN, Shuokr AA, Alqayidi WM, Alsaedi NH, Almutairi GAL, Azoni WMA, Aljohani RAAA, Alharbi NSH, Alharbi AAN, Rashid M. Comprehensive strategies for hospital infection control: a systematic review of multidrug-resistant pathogens, surveillance systems, and antimicrobial stewardship interventions. *Int J Drug Deliv Technol.* 2026;16(75s): 303-312. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.75s.34

1. Introduction

Worldwide, HAIs are one of the most prevalent and challenging healthcare issues that strongly impacts both patient safety and clinical outcomes, in addition

to healthcare costs. These infections are caused by getting them while receiving treatment in hospitals or other health care facilities and are not present or incubating when they enter the hospital or health

care facility. HAIs are still a problem at an alarming rate despite improvements in infection prevention, especially in intensive care, surgical wards and in immunocompromised patients. HAIs are a significant public health burden with implications for morbidity and mortality and affect hundreds of millions of patients around the world annually (World Health Organization, 2022). However, in the past few decades, the emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens in hospitals has further complicated the picture. Others have acquired resistance to a variety of antibiotics, making it more difficult to treat and putting patients at risk of treatment failure. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* (VRE), Extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE), *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are the most clinically relevant MDR pathogens. These organisms are often linked with ventilator associated pneumonia, bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections, and post operative wound infections especially in the critically ill patient (Magill et al., 2018; Tacconelli et al., 2018).

Meningitis outbreaks are becoming more common, and are associated with inappropriate and excessive antibiotic use, poor infection control measures, extended hospital stays and the use of invasive medical devices. Hospital environments, especially those of intensive care units, are reservoirs of MDR organisms because of the high antibiotic pressure and susceptible patient population. These pathogens can quickly spread from one person to another through the hands of healthcare workers, contaminated surfaces in the healthcare environment and healthcare equipment (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019). The impact of HAIs and MDR infections is not limited to clinical consequences and can also have a significant economic impact on health care services. HAIs are associated with: longer hospital stays, more intensive care, more diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, and more expensive treatment. Researchers estimate that world-wide, hospital-acquired infections cost billions of dollars in health care each year. Additionally, increased mortality rates are linked to these infections, especially infections caused by DRGOs like CRE and MRSA that are associated with limited therapeutic options and poor clinical outcomes (Cassini et al., 2019).

To combat this escalating problem, Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) programs have come to the forefront of hospital safety initiatives. The goal of IPC programs is to decrease the risk of HAIs through evidence-based practices such as compliance with hand hygiene, sterile/disinfection guidelines, isolation precautions, environmental cleaning, and staff education. According to the

WHO, when implemented and monitored, effective IPC programs can prevent HAIs by as much as 70% (World Health Organization, 2022). But, there is still a lot of variation in compliance, resources and lack of continuous monitoring to achieve optimal infection control outcomes in many healthcare facilities.

In addition to IPC measures, the establishment of surveillance systems and antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) are now a critical component of the fight against MDR pathogens. Surveillance systems allow for early detection of trends in infection, early identification of outbreaks and ongoing monitoring of trends in antimicrobial resistance. In the meanwhile, ASPs concentrate on optimizing antibiotic use, reducing inappropriate antibiotic prescribing, and the selection, dosage, and length of antibiotic therapy. These strategies together can be considered as an integrated approach to infection control, encompassing infection prevention, monitoring, and rational practices of antibiotic use (Baur et al., 2017).

This systematic review seeks to critically review and appraise the most comprehensive strategies for hospital infection prevention and control with particular emphasis on three key areas: (1) infection prevention and control measures against MDR pathogens; (2) surveillance systems for monitoring and early detection of HAIs; and (3) interventions in the field of antimicrobial stewardship, aiming to improve antibiotic use and minimize antimicrobial resistance. This review aims to combine the existing facts available to date to give a comprehensive picture of the impact integrated infection control measures can have on lowering the burden of HAI and enhancing patient outcomes in HCOs.

2. Epidemiology and Mechanisms of Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens have become a major challenge to the healthcare system globally and have been rising steadily in developed and developing countries alike. These organisms are known to abound in major areas of a hospital, such as intensive care wards (ICUs), surgery wards and long-term care facilities. The burden of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) varies around the world, with higher prevalence rates reported in low and middle-income countries, where infection control systems are less developed, antibiotics are more readily available, and surveillance is less robust. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports a high level of resistance among the most prevalent hospital-acquired pathogens, including Gram-negative bacteria like *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which are resistant to carbapenems and third-generation cephalosporins in many areas (World Health Organization, 2023).

MDR infections are on the rise, particularly in a hospital setting, although surveillance systems are better established in high-income countries. In Europe, for instance, there has been a rise in the incidence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE); in the United States, MRSA continues to be one of the most common causes of bloodstream infections and wound infections in healthcare settings (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022). However, the problem of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae is more prevalent in South Asia, such as South Asian countries including India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, with a prevalence rate of more than 50–70% of clinical isolates in tertiary care hospitals in these countries due to high levels of antibiotic misuse and poor stewardship enforcement (Kumar et al., 2021).

Pathophysiology and Resistance Mechanisms

The development of multidrug resistance in hospital pathogens is driven by complex genetic and biochemical mechanisms that allow bacteria to survive exposure to multiple antimicrobial agents. One of the most significant mechanisms is enzymatic degradation, particularly through the production of β -lactamases and carbapenemases. β -lactamases such as extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) hydrolyze penicillins and cephalosporins, while carbapenemases (e.g., KPC, NDM, OXA-type enzymes) inactivate carbapenems, which are often considered last-resort antibiotics (Bush & Bradford, 2020). Another major mechanism involves efflux pumps and membrane permeability alterations, which reduce intracellular antibiotic concentration. Efflux systems such as AcrAB-TolC in Gram-negative bacteria actively expel antibiotics from bacterial cells, while mutations in porin channels decrease drug entry, collectively contributing to high-level resistance. These adaptations are particularly important in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

and *Acinetobacter baumannii*, which are intrinsically resistant to multiple antibiotic classes (Munita & Arias, 2016).

A further critical survival strategy is biofilm formation, where bacteria aggregate within a self-produced extracellular polymeric matrix on surfaces such as catheters, ventilator tubes, and prosthetic devices. Biofilms provide physical protection against antibiotics and immune responses, significantly increasing tolerance to antimicrobial therapy. Biofilm-associated infections are particularly difficult to eradicate and are a major cause of chronic and device-related hospital infections (Hall & Mah, 2017).

Transmission Dynamics in Healthcare Settings

There are several interconnected pathways for the transmission of MDR pathogens in hospitals. The most prevalent mode of transmission is between patients, typically through direct or indirect contact with contaminated surfaces or medical devices. High turnover of patients and the use of invasive procedures in hospital settings, particularly in the ICU and surgical wards, create the perfect conditions for the rapid spread of infection. Another significant mode of transmission is through healthcare workers. Hands of healthcare workers are known to be the single most important vector for cross transmission of pathogens. Poor hand hygiene practices are a major risk for the transmission of MDR organisms between patients, especially during care activities involving multiple contacts e.g. insertion of a catheter, wound cleaning and intubation (World Health Organization, 2022).

Also, contamination of the environment is an important mechanism in perpetuating hospital outbreaks. MDR can be found on inanimate surfaces, like bed rails, medical equipment, sinks and ventilator circuits, for long periods. The situation is especially bad in ICUs and surgical wards, where the intensive use of invasive devices, frequent use of antibiotics, and high density of critically ill patients combine to create a high risk environment. Environmental reservoirs have also been shown to be a potential source of reinfections after outbreak control measures have been taken (Donskey, 2013).

Risk Factors for MDR Infections

Several clinical and healthcare-related factors increase the risk of acquiring MDR infections in hospital settings. One of the most important risk factors is prolonged hospital stay, which increases the likelihood of exposure to resistant organisms circulating within healthcare facilities. Extended hospitalization also reflects underlying severe illness, which further predisposes patients to infection. ICU admission is another significant risk factor due to the high frequency of invasive procedures, mechanical ventilation, and immunocompromised patient populations. ICU environments are often associated with higher

antibiotic pressure and increased selective pressure for resistant organisms.

The use of invasive medical devices such as urinary catheters, central venous lines, and ventilators significantly increases infection risk by bypassing natural host defense barriers and providing surfaces for biofilm formation. Device-associated infections remain among the most common healthcare-associated infections globally. Finally, the overuse and misuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics is one of the strongest drivers of antimicrobial resistance. Empirical prescribing without culture confirmation, prolonged prophylactic antibiotic use, and self-medication contribute to selection pressure that promotes the survival and proliferation of resistant strains. This issue is particularly severe in resource-limited settings where antibiotic regulation and stewardship programs are weak or inconsistently implemented (O'Neill, 2016).

3. Hospital Infection Control Strategies

Hospital infection control strategies form the backbone of preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and limiting the spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens within clinical settings. These strategies are implemented through a combination of standard precautions, transmission-based precautions, environmental decontamination, device-related infection prevention bundles, and institutional governance systems such as infection prevention and control (IPC) committees. Collectively, these interventions aim to break the chain of infection transmission, reduce microbial burden in hospital environments, and improve patient safety outcomes (World Health Organization, 2022).

Standard Precautions

Standard precautions are the fundamental infection control practices applied to all patients regardless of infection status. They are based on the principle that all blood, body fluids, secretions, and excretions may contain infectious agents. A core component of standard precautions is hand hygiene compliance, which is widely recognized as the single most effective measure to prevent HAIs. Proper hand hygiene using alcohol-based hand rubs or soap and water significantly reduces cross-transmission of pathogens between patients and healthcare workers. However, compliance rates remain suboptimal in many healthcare settings due to workload pressure, lack of awareness, and behavioral barriers (Allegranzi & Pittet, 2017). Another essential component is the appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE), including gloves, gowns, masks, and eye protection. PPE acts as a physical barrier that prevents direct contact with infectious materials. Its correct selection and use depend on the anticipated exposure risk, and improper donning or doffing procedures can significantly reduce its effectiveness. Training

healthcare workers in PPE protocols is therefore critical to ensuring optimal protection.

Transmission-Based Precautions

Transmission-based precautions are additional infection control measures used for patients known or suspected to be infected with highly transmissible or epidemiologically significant pathogens. These precautions are categorized into three main types:

- **Contact precautions:** Used for MDR organisms such as MRSA, VRE, and CRE. These require the use of gloves and gowns and often involve patient isolation or cohorting to prevent direct and indirect contact transmission.
- **Droplet precautions:** Applied for infections transmitted via respiratory droplets, such as influenza and certain bacterial respiratory infections. Surgical masks and spatial separation between patients are key measures.
- **Airborne precautions:** Required for pathogens such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and measles virus. These involve the use of N95 respirators and placement of patients in negative-pressure isolation rooms to prevent airborne spread.

These precautionary categories are essential in interrupting pathogen-specific transmission routes and are particularly important in outbreak containment scenarios (Siegel et al., 2007).

Environmental Hygiene and Sterilization

Environmental contamination plays a major role in sustaining MDR pathogens within hospital settings. Therefore, environmental hygiene and sterilization protocols are critical components of infection control. Routine cleaning and disinfection of hospital surfaces, especially high-touch areas such as bed rails, doorknobs, and medical equipment, help reduce microbial load and prevent indirect transmission.

Sterilization of surgical instruments and reusable medical devices is performed using physical or chemical methods such as autoclaving, ethylene oxide gas, and hydrogen peroxide plasma systems. High-level disinfection is used for semi-critical equipment such as endoscopes. Failure in sterilization practices has been associated with outbreaks of MDR organisms in healthcare facilities, highlighting the importance of strict adherence to validated protocols (Rutala & Weber, 2016).

Device-Associated Infection Prevention Bundles

Device-associated infections are among the most common HAIs, particularly in ICU settings. Evidence-based prevention bundles have been developed to reduce the incidence of these infections:

- **Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSI):** Prevention strategies include maximal sterile barrier

precautions during insertion, chlorhexidine skin antisepsis, daily review of line necessity, and prompt removal of unnecessary catheters.

- **Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI):** Key interventions include limiting catheter use, maintaining closed drainage systems, ensuring aseptic insertion techniques, and early catheter removal protocols.
- **Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP):** Prevention bundles include elevation of the head of the bed (30–45°), daily sedation interruption, oral hygiene with chlorhexidine, and subglottic suctioning.

Implementation of these bundles has been shown to significantly reduce infection rates when compliance is consistently maintained (Marschall et al., 2014).

Role of IPC Committees and Staff Training Programs

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) committees play a central role in designing, implementing, and monitoring infection control policies within healthcare institutions. These multidisciplinary teams typically include infection control physicians, microbiologists, clinical pharmacists, nurses, and hospital administrators. IPC committees are responsible for developing institutional guidelines, conducting surveillance audits, investigating outbreaks, and ensuring adherence to infection control standards. Regular staff training programs are essential to improve awareness and compliance with IPC practices. Continuous education sessions, simulation-based training, and audit-feedback mechanisms have been shown to significantly enhance adherence to hand hygiene and bundle protocols (World Health Organization, 2022).

Impact of Hospital Infrastructure Design

Hospital infrastructure plays a crucial role in infection prevention. Proper ventilation systems help reduce airborne transmission of pathogens by maintaining adequate air exchanges and pressure differentials, particularly in isolation rooms and operating theatres. Negative-pressure rooms are essential for managing airborne infections, while positive-pressure environments are used in sterile areas such as operating rooms. The design of isolation wards also significantly impacts infection control outcomes. Effective isolation facilities include single-patient rooms, dedicated nursing stations, and separate pathways for infected and non-infected patients. Inadequate infrastructure, overcrowding, and poor ventilation systems are strongly associated with increased HAI transmission rates, particularly in resource-limited healthcare settings (Beggs et al., 2010).

4. Surveillance Systems for Infection Monitoring

Surveillance systems are a fundamental pillar of hospital infection prevention and control (IPC) programs, enabling early detection, continuous monitoring, and evidence-based decision-making for healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogen spread. Effective surveillance not only identifies infection trends but also evaluates the impact of infection control interventions and antimicrobial stewardship programs over time. In modern healthcare systems, surveillance is increasingly recognized as an active preventive strategy rather than a passive reporting mechanism, forming the backbone of hospital epidemiology and quality improvement initiatives (World Health Organization, 2022).

Importance of Infection Surveillance in Hospitals

Infection surveillance plays a critical role in reducing HAI incidence by providing timely data on infection rates, pathogen distribution, and resistance patterns. Without structured surveillance, hospitals are unable to detect outbreaks early or identify emerging resistance trends. Surveillance data also supports benchmarking between units, hospitals, and regions, allowing healthcare institutions to compare performance and implement targeted improvements. Additionally, surveillance findings guide antibiotic policy development and reinforce antimicrobial stewardship decisions, ultimately improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare costs (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023).

Types of Surveillance Systems

Hospital infection surveillance systems are broadly categorized into active, passive, and targeted surveillance approaches, each serving distinct roles in infection monitoring.

Active surveillance involves real-time, proactive data collection where trained infection control personnel actively review patient records, laboratory reports, and clinical signs to identify infections. This method is highly sensitive and allows early detection of HAIs, but it is resource-intensive and requires dedicated staffing and infrastructure.

Passive surveillance relies on routine reporting of infection cases by healthcare workers or laboratory systems. Although less resource-demanding, passive surveillance is often under-reported and may miss asymptomatic or unrecognized infections, limiting its sensitivity.

Targeted surveillance focuses on high-risk hospital areas such as intensive care units (ICUs), surgical wards, neonatal units, and transplant centers. This approach allows more efficient use of resources by concentrating efforts on units with higher infection risk and MDR pathogen prevalence. It is particularly useful for monitoring device-associated infections such as ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), and central line-associated bloodstream

infections (CLABSI) (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2021).

Digital and Electronic Surveillance Tools

The integration of digital health technologies has significantly transformed infection surveillance systems in hospitals. Modern surveillance increasingly relies on Electronic Health Records (EHR)-based monitoring systems, which allow automated extraction of clinical, microbiological, and pharmacy data to identify potential infections in real time. These systems reduce manual workload and improve the speed and accuracy of infection detection. Advanced hospitals are also adopting AI-assisted predictive surveillance systems, which use machine learning algorithms to analyze large datasets and predict infection risk patterns. These systems can identify early warning signals of outbreaks, detect abnormal resistance trends, and forecast potential infection clusters based on patient movement, antibiotic usage, and laboratory data. Artificial intelligence-based models have demonstrated improved sensitivity in early outbreak detection compared to traditional manual methods, particularly in ICU settings (Baker et al., 2020).

Role of Microbiology Laboratories

Microbiology laboratories are central to infection surveillance systems as they provide definitive diagnostic data for identifying causative organisms and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns. Laboratory-based surveillance includes:

- **Culture and sensitivity tracking:** Routine analysis of clinical specimens helps identify pathogen distribution and resistance profiles across hospital units.
- **Specimen trend monitoring:** Continuous tracking of specimen positivity rates supports early outbreak identification.

A key output of microbiology surveillance is the development of antibiograms, which are cumulative reports summarizing antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates over a defined period. Antibiograms guide empirical antibiotic therapy, inform hospital formulary decisions, and support antimicrobial stewardship programs by identifying resistance trends at institutional and regional levels (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2022).

Outbreak Detection and Response Systems

Rapid detection and control of hospital outbreaks are essential to prevent widespread transmission of MDR organisms. Surveillance systems support outbreak detection by identifying unusual increases in infection rates, clustering of cases, or emergence of uncommon pathogens. Once an outbreak is suspected, infection control teams initiate epidemiological investigations, including case mapping, environmental sampling, and molecular typing of isolates to determine the source and transmission pathway. Outbreak response systems include immediate implementation of control measures such as patient isolation, enhanced

disinfection protocols, staff cohorting, and restriction of hospital admissions in affected units. Timely response significantly reduces outbreak duration and prevents further spread within healthcare facilities (Tacconelli et al., 2018).

National and International Reporting Frameworks

Surveillance data is often integrated into national and global reporting systems to monitor antimicrobial resistance and healthcare-associated infections at a population level. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) operates the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN), which collects standardized HAI data across healthcare institutions in the United States. At the global level, the World Health Organization (WHO) coordinates the Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (GLASS), which provides standardized data on resistance trends across participating countries. These frameworks enable international comparison, guide policy development, and support global strategies to combat antimicrobial resistance (World Health Organization, 2023). In Europe, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) maintains surveillance networks that monitor MDR pathogen trends across member states. These coordinated systems are essential for understanding global resistance patterns and implementing unified infection control strategies.

5. Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs)

Antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) are structured, coordinated interventions implemented within healthcare systems to promote the appropriate use of antimicrobial agents. Their core purpose is to ensure that patients receive the right antibiotic, at the right dose, for the right duration, and via the right route of administration. ASPs have become an essential component of hospital infection control frameworks due to the escalating threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), particularly in multidrug-resistant (MDR) hospital pathogens. By optimizing antimicrobial therapy, these programs aim to improve clinical outcomes while minimizing unintended consequences such as resistance selection, toxicity, and unnecessary healthcare costs (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021).

Definition and Objectives of ASPs

Antimicrobial stewardship is defined as a set of coordinated strategies designed to improve the use of antimicrobial agents in order to enhance patient outcomes, reduce microbial resistance, and decrease the spread of infections caused by MDR organisms. The primary objectives of ASPs include optimizing antibiotic prescribing practices, reducing inappropriate antibiotic exposure, improving clinical efficacy, and slowing the emergence and spread of resistant pathogens. In hospital settings, ASPs also aim to standardize prescribing behavior, reduce variability in treatment decisions, and ensure

adherence to evidence-based guidelines (World Health Organization, 2023).

Core Components of Stewardship Programs

ASPs operate through multiple interrelated strategies that collectively regulate antimicrobial use across healthcare settings.

One major component is formulary restriction and antibiotic approval policies, where access to specific broad-spectrum or high-risk antibiotics is controlled through prior authorization systems. This ensures that powerful antibiotics such as carbapenems, linezolid, and colistin are used only when clinically justified, thereby reducing unnecessary selective pressure on microbial populations. Another key strategy is de-escalation therapy, which involves initiating broad-spectrum empiric antibiotics in critically ill patients and subsequently narrowing therapy based on culture results and sensitivity patterns. De-escalation reduces exposure to broad-spectrum agents and minimizes resistance development while maintaining therapeutic effectiveness.

Dose optimization based on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) is also a crucial element of ASPs. This approach ensures that antibiotic dosing regimens achieve optimal drug concentrations at infection sites to maximize bacterial killing while minimizing toxicity. For example, time-dependent antibiotics such as β -lactams require prolonged exposure above minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), whereas concentration-dependent drugs like aminoglycosides rely on achieving high peak concentrations for efficacy (Roberts et al., 2014).

Role of Clinical Pharmacists and Infectious Disease Specialists

Clinical pharmacists and infectious disease (ID) specialists play a central role in the implementation and success of ASPs. Clinical pharmacists are actively involved in antibiotic selection, dose adjustments, therapeutic drug monitoring, and evaluation of drug-drug interactions. They also participate in ward rounds and provide real-time recommendations for optimizing antimicrobial therapy. Infectious disease physicians contribute specialized expertise in diagnosing complex infections, guiding empiric and targeted therapy, and managing MDR infections. The collaborative model between pharmacists, ID physicians, microbiologists, and infection control teams has been shown to significantly improve antibiotic prescribing practices and reduce inappropriate antimicrobial use in hospitals (Baur et al., 2017).

Diagnostic Stewardship

Diagnostic stewardship refers to the appropriate selection, timing, and interpretation of microbiological and laboratory tests to guide antimicrobial therapy. It plays a critical role in reducing unnecessary antibiotic exposure by ensuring that diagnostic tests are used effectively

and results are correctly interpreted. Advances in rapid diagnostic technologies, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, and multiplex molecular panels, have significantly reduced the time required to identify pathogens and resistance markers. Additionally, biomarker-guided therapy, using markers such as procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP), helps differentiate bacterial infections from viral or non-infectious conditions, thereby reducing unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions in hospitalized patients (Schuetz et al., 2017).

Integration of WHO AWaRe Classification

The World Health Organization (WHO) AWaRe (Access, Watch, Reserve) classification system is a global antibiotic stewardship framework designed to improve prescribing practices. Antibiotics are categorized into:

- **Access group:** Narrow-spectrum antibiotics with lower resistance potential, recommended as first-line therapy.
- **Watch group:** Broad-spectrum antibiotics with higher resistance potential, requiring careful monitoring.
- **Reserve group:** Last-resort antibiotics used for treatment of confirmed MDR infections.

Integration of the AWaRe framework into hospital formularies helps standardize antibiotic use, reduce inappropriate broad-spectrum prescribing, and promote sustainable antimicrobial practices (World Health Organization, 2021).

Impact of ASPs on Resistance Trends and Hospital Outcomes

Extensive evidence demonstrates that well-implemented ASPs significantly reduce antimicrobial consumption and improve clinical outcomes in hospital settings. Hospitals with active stewardship programs have reported reductions in inappropriate antibiotic use, decreased incidence of *Clostridioides difficile* infections, and lower rates of MDR pathogen colonization and infection. ASPs have also been associated with improved patient outcomes, including reduced length of hospital stay, decreased mortality in certain infections, and lower healthcare costs. Importantly, sustained stewardship interventions contribute to long-term reductions in resistance trends, particularly for organisms such as MRSA and ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae. These outcomes highlight the critical role of ASPs as a cornerstone in combating the global antimicrobial resistance crisis (Davey et al., 2017).

6. Emerging Technologies, Challenges, and Future Directions

The landscape of hospital infection control is rapidly evolving due to advances in biomedical technology, data science, and global health strategies. Despite significant progress in infection prevention and antimicrobial stewardship, healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) and multidrug-resistant (MDR)

pathogens remain persistent threats. Consequently, emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), rapid molecular diagnostics, nanotechnology, and immunization strategies are increasingly being integrated into infection control frameworks to strengthen early detection, prevention, and response systems.

Use of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Infection Prediction

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) are transforming hospital infection surveillance by enabling predictive analytics and real-time risk assessment. AI-driven models can analyze large datasets from electronic health records (EHRs), microbiology reports, antibiotic prescriptions, and patient movement patterns to identify early signals of infection outbreaks. These systems can predict the likelihood of HAIs such as ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), and sepsis before clinical manifestation. Machine learning algorithms also enhance antimicrobial stewardship by supporting antibiotic selection and dose optimization based on patient-specific variables. Predictive models have demonstrated improved accuracy in identifying high-risk patients and reducing delayed diagnosis, particularly in intensive care units where infection dynamics are complex and rapidly evolving (Wiens et al., 2019).

Rapid Molecular Diagnostics

Rapid diagnostic technologies have significantly reduced the time required for pathogen identification and resistance profiling. Techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allow rapid detection of bacterial and viral pathogens directly from clinical samples, often within hours. This enables early initiation of targeted antimicrobial therapy, reducing unnecessary broad-spectrum antibiotic use.

MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry has revolutionized microbial identification by providing fast, accurate, and cost-effective species-level identification of pathogens. Additionally, whole genome sequencing (WGS) offers detailed insights into resistance genes, transmission pathways, and outbreak tracing at a molecular level. These technologies collectively enhance diagnostic stewardship and support precision infection control strategies (Didelot et al., 2012).

Nanotechnology-Based Antimicrobial Surfaces and Coatings

Nanotechnology is emerging as a promising approach to reduce microbial contamination in hospital environments. Nanoparticle-based coatings, such as silver, copper, and zinc oxide nanoparticles, exhibit strong antimicrobial properties by disrupting bacterial cell membranes, generating reactive oxygen species, and inhibiting biofilm formation. These coatings can be applied to medical devices, surgical instruments, and hospital

surfaces to reduce pathogen persistence. In addition, nanocarrier-based drug delivery systems are being explored to enhance antibiotic efficacy against MDR pathogens by improving drug targeting and intracellular penetration. Such innovations may significantly reduce infection rates associated with indwelling medical devices and contaminated hospital environments (Pelgrift & Friedman, 2013).

Vaccination Strategies for Hospital-Acquired Infections

Vaccination represents an important preventive strategy for reducing the burden of certain HAIs. Although no universal vaccine exists for most MDR bacterial pathogens, vaccines targeting specific organisms such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Clostridioides difficile*, and influenza viruses play a critical role in infection prevention. Influenza vaccination among healthcare workers reduces transmission risk to vulnerable hospitalized patients. Similarly, ongoing research into vaccines against MDR Gram-negative bacteria aims to provide long-term protection and reduce dependence on antibiotics. Integration of vaccination programs into hospital infection control policies can significantly reduce infection incidence and outbreak potential (Pollard & Bijker, 2021).

Barriers to Effective Infection Control

Despite advancements in technology and policy frameworks, several barriers continue to hinder effective infection control practices in healthcare settings.

Antibiotic Misuse and Self-Medication

Unregulated antibiotic use and self-medication remain major drivers of antimicrobial resistance, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Over-the-counter availability of antibiotics and empirical prescribing without diagnostic confirmation contribute significantly to resistance development.

Resource-Limited Healthcare Settings

Many healthcare facilities, particularly in developing regions, face challenges such as inadequate staffing, limited diagnostic infrastructure, lack of isolation facilities, and insufficient funding for infection control programs. These constraints severely limit the implementation of effective surveillance and stewardship systems.

Compliance Issues Among Healthcare Workers

Non-adherence to hand hygiene protocols, improper use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and inconsistent implementation of infection control guidelines remain significant challenges. Behavioral factors, workload pressure, and insufficient training contribute to suboptimal compliance rates, thereby increasing the risk of nosocomial transmission (World Health Organization, 2022).

Future Directions

The future of hospital infection control lies in integrating advanced technologies with evidence-

based clinical practices to create more adaptive and responsive healthcare systems.

Precision Antimicrobial Therapy

Precision medicine approaches aim to tailor antimicrobial therapy based on individual patient characteristics, including genomic data, microbiome composition, pharmacogenomics, and infection-specific biomarkers. This strategy improves therapeutic efficacy while minimizing unnecessary antibiotic exposure and resistance development.

Integration of Real-Time Surveillance and AI-Based Decision Support

Future infection control systems will increasingly combine real-time surveillance data with AI-powered clinical decision support systems (CDSS). This integration will enable continuous monitoring of infection risks, automated outbreak alerts, and personalized antibiotic recommendations, improving response times and clinical decision-making accuracy.

Global Collaborative AMR Containment Networks

Addressing antimicrobial resistance requires coordinated global efforts. International surveillance networks, such as the WHO Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS), will play a central role in data sharing, policy harmonization, and outbreak response coordination. Strengthening global collaboration will be essential to contain the spread of MDR pathogens across borders (World Health Organization, 2023).

Conclusion

Hospital infection control is undergoing a major transformation driven by emerging technologies, surveillance innovations, and antimicrobial stewardship strategies. However, the persistent burden of MDR pathogens highlights the need for a fully integrated approach that combines infection prevention and control (IPC) measures, robust surveillance systems, and effective antimicrobial stewardship programs. The convergence of AI-driven predictive analytics, rapid diagnostics, and global collaborative frameworks offers a promising pathway toward reducing healthcare-associated infections and combating antimicrobial resistance. Ultimately, sustainable success in infection control will depend on coordinated efforts between healthcare professionals, policymakers, and global health organizations to ensure safe, effective, and responsible use of antimicrobial agents.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this review article.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare they don't have any conflict of interest.

Ethics approval: Not applicable for this review article.

Author contributions

The first author wrote the first draft of the paper, which was supervised by a cross-responding author.

Each author contributed to the manuscript's writing, gathered information, edited it, made tables, and received approval to submit it to a journal for publication.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to numerous resources for their open access publications, including Google Scholar, PubMed, Cochrane Library, DOAJ, BMJ Clinical Evidence, Embase, and Medline.

References

- Allegranzi, B., & Pittet, D. (2017). Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 17(2), e15–e26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30358-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30358-0)
- Baker, M. A., Sands, K. E., Huang, S. S., et al. (2020). The impact of electronic surveillance systems on infection control. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 71(5), 1260–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz999>
- Baur, D., Gladstone, B. P., Burkert, F., Carrara, E., Foschi, F., Döbele, S., & Tacconelli, E. (2017). Effect of antibiotic stewardship on the incidence of infection and colonisation with antibiotic-resistant bacteria and *Clostridioides difficile* infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 17(9), 990–1001. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(17\)30325-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30325-0)
- Beggs, C. B., Kerr, K. G., Iosifidis, E., & Wilkinson, P. (2010). The role of hospital design in infection prevention. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 74(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2009.08.022>
- Cassini, A., Högberg, L. D., Plachouras, D., et al. (2019). Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by antibiotic-resistant infections in the EU and EEA. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 19(1), 56–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(18\)30605-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30605-4)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). *Core elements of hospital antibiotic stewardship programs*. <https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023). *National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) overview*. <https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/>
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2022). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (32nd ed.)*. CLSI.
- Davey, P., Marwick, C. A., Scott, C. L., et al. (2017). Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2, CD003543. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003543.pub4>
- Didelot, X., Bowden, R., Wilson, D. J., Peto, T. E., & Crook, D. W. (2012). Transforming clinical microbiology with bacterial genome sequencing. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 13(9), 601–612. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3226>
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. (2021). *Healthcare-associated infections surveillance*. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/>

- Magill, S. S., Edwards, J. R., Bamberg, W., et al. (2018). Multistate point-prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(13), 1198–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1306801>
- Marschall, J., Mermel, L. A., Fakih, M., et al. (2014). Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 35(7), 753–771. <https://doi.org/10.1086/676533>
- Pelgrift, R. Y., & Friedman, A. J. (2013). Nanotechnology as a therapeutic tool to combat microbial resistance. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 65(13–14), 1803–1815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2013.07.011>
- Pollard, A. J., & Bijker, E. M. (2021). A guide to vaccinology: From basic principles to new developments. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 21(2), 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-00479-7>
- Roberts, J. A., Abdul-Aziz, M. H., Lipman, J., et al. (2014). Individualised antibiotic dosing for patients who are critically ill. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 14(6), 498–509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(14\)70036-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70036-2)
- Rutala, W. A., & Weber, D. J. (2016). Disinfection, sterilization, and antisepsis: An overview. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 44(5), e1–e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2015.10.038>
- Schuetz, P., Wirz, Y., Sager, R., Christ-Crain, M., & Stolz, D. (2017). Procalcitonin to initiate or discontinue antibiotics in acute respiratory tract infections. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10, CD007498. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007498.pub3>
- Siegel, J. D., Rhinehart, E., Jackson, M., & Chiarello, L. (2007). *Guideline for isolation precautions: Preventing transmission of infectious agents in healthcare settings*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/>
- Tacconelli, E., Carrara, E., Savoldi, A., et al. (2018). Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18(3), 318–327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(17\)30753-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30753-3)
- Wiens, J., Saria, S., Sendak, M., et al. (2019). Do no harm: A roadmap for responsible machine learning for health care. *Nature Medicine*, 25(9), 1337–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0548-6>
- World Health Organization. (2021). *WHO AWaRe antibiotic book and classification*. <https://www.who.int/>
- World Health Organization. (2022). *Global report on infection prevention and control*. <https://www.who.int/>
- World Health Organization. (2023). *Global antimicrobial resistance and use surveillance system (GLASS) report*. <https://www.who.int/>