RESEARCH PAPER

A study on Financial Performance Analysis of Selected Large cap mutual fund scheme in Nagpur

Sujit Ramesh Ingle[1*], **Pranav Raju Dongre**[1], **Mo Ajhar Mo Admin Lakhani**[1], **Prof. Pratiksha Meshram**[1]

[1]Tulsiramji Gaikwad-Patil College of Engineering and Technology (TGPCET), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

[1]Email: bbasujit258@gmail.com

[1]Email: pranavdongre385@gmail.com

[1]Email: ajharlakhani@gmail.com

[1]Guide (Prof. Pratiksha Meshram) | Email: ashapratiksha9@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author:** Sujit Ramesh Ingle, Tulsiramji Gaikwad-Patil College of Engineering and Technology (TGPCET), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India | Email: bbasujit258@gmail.com

***Abstract*—** The paper looks to break down the variables impacting the presentation of huge cap shared assets in India. It lays out associations between reserve execution and different elements, investigates the determinants of cost proportions, and leads a near examination of asset execution against benchmarks. The essential goal of the review is to help financial backers by giving bits of knowledge into the variables affecting common asset returns and presenting an elective venture approach — benchmark contributing, especially important for beginner financial backers, considering that numerous dynamic shared reserves battle to beat benchmarks. Information on common assets is obtained from different optional outlets like Moneycontrol, Morningstar, Paytm Cash, AMFI site, among others. Factual investigation, utilizing devices like relationship, relapse, t-tests, and clear insights, uncovers that both gamble and cost proportions essentially influence reserve execution. Also, cost proportions are affected by the size and age of the asset. Overall, dynamic shared reserves neglect to outperform benchmark returns.

Keywords: store return, Sharpe, Alpha, cost proportion, Resources under administration, benchmark, reserve director.

How to cite this article: Ingle SR, Dongre PR, Lakhani MAMA. A Study on Financial Performance Analysis of Selected Large Cap Mutual Fund Scheme in Nagpur. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(76s): 763-768. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.76s.71

I. INTRODUCTION

A shared asset is a type of aggregate venture conspire where financial backers pool their cash to differentiate their portfolio risk and moderate unsystematic dangers related with individual stocks. These assets can envelop different venture choices like offers, bonds, subordinates, and that's only the tip of the iceberg. While the quantity of common asset financial backers in the Indian market is consistently expanding, many face misfortunes or disappointing returns, with just 33% of dynamic shared reserves figuring out how to beat benchmark returns. Accordingly, it is urgent for financial backers to grasp the variables affecting shared store execution to pick the most ideal choices and expand returns.

For this review, information from each of the 29 huge cap common assets was gathered from assorted optional sources like Moneycontrol, Morningstar, Paytm Cash, AMFI site, and others. Measurable investigations, including relationship, relapse, t-tests, and enlightening measurements, were led on the information. The essential focal point of the review is to help financial backers by illuminating them about the variables impacting shared reserve returns and presenting an elective venture approach — benchmark contributing. This is especially important for fledgling financial backers, considering that a critical piece of dynamic common assets neglects to outperform benchmark returns.

The review underlines the significance of careful assessment prior to putting resources into common assets. Financial backers ought to painstakingly evaluate which plan lines up with their speculation targets and hazard craving. Also, taking into account the elements influencing store execution is fundamental, as past execution doesn't ensure future achievement. Settling on informed speculation choices in light of a thorough comprehension of these variables is fundamental for expanding returns and accomplishing monetary objectives.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Late patterns in the securities exchange feature that typical retail financial backers frequently face misfortunes during occasional negative patterns. The development of common subsidizes in the Indian setting originates from requirements on the financial area, planning to bridle the capability of the capital market, combined with financial backers' wavering to take part in the mind boggling and flighty activities of the monetary market straightforwardly. Given the significant commitment of the family area to the nation's investment funds, it becomes urgent for the public authority and common asset supervisors to direct the sending of reserve funds in the correct course.

As a large number of common asset plans become accessible with great development in India, the ongoing review means to reveal insight into the presentation of shared reserves. This examination effectively guides retail and little financial backers in pursuing informed choices while choosing speculation roads for their well deserved investment funds through shared reserve vehicles. Execution assessment assumes an essential part in helping financial backers in recognizing the best plans on the lookout, offering significant experiences for going with judicious speculation decisions. Eventually, such assessments add to engaging financial backers to explore the complex monetary scene with certainty and settle on decisions lined up with their monetary objectives.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The goals of the review are as per the following:

- To recognize the variables impacting the profits of enormous cap common assets.
- To look at the variables impacting the gamble changed returns of enormous cap common assets.
- To lay out the connection between's cost proportions and the size and time of huge cap reserves.
- To dissect the presentation of dynamic enormous cap shared assets in contrast with benchmark execution.

IV. HYPOTHESIS

Ho: There are no abnormal negative returns generated by large cap mutual funds

H1: There are abnormal negative returns generated by large cap mutual funds

V. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

R. Narayanasamy and V. Rathnamani (2013): This study zeroed in on the exhibition examination of five chose huge cap shared assets during a particular period. The autonomous factors included Sharpe proportion, beta, and Alpha, while the reliant factors were NAV returns. Standard deviation and relapse investigation were utilized for the review. Results uncovered that the decrease in CNX Clever in 2011 impacted the profits of all chosen enormous cap common assets, aside from Dependence Vision, which performed well in the high-unpredictability market setting.

Haslem John, Dough puncher Kent, Smith David (2008): Exploring the connection between store execution and asset credits, this study involved cost proportion and execution as free and subordinate factors, individually. The examination included estimating cost proportions, sorting shared reserves in light of standard deviations, and speculation testing. Discoveries demonstrated that bigger value finances produced more significant yields because of decreased costs from economies of scale. Moreover, a negative relationship was seen between shared reserve execution and burdens, turnover, and beta.

Parvez Ahmed, Kristine Beck (2010): This study directed a relative assessment of miniature shared assets' presentation in view of CAP and RAP. Free factors included CAP, Alpha, and RAP, while the reliant variable was shared asset returns. Results recommended that while half of the miniature cap subsidizes beat the benchmark in light of crude month to month returns, risk-changed returns didn't outflank the benchmark. The review suggested alert for financial backers thinking about effectively overseen miniature cap shared reserves.

Lorne Nelson Switzer, Yanfen Huang (2007): Examining the effect of asset administrator attributes on shared reserve execution, this study thought about instruction, orientation, and experience as free factors and asset execution as the reliant variable. Three-stage least square relapse investigation uncovered that residency and experience fundamentally affected execution. Be that as it may, reserves oversaw by male directors outflanked those oversaw by female supervisors. Moreover, low Resource Under Administration (AUM) reserves created better alpha returns.

Muhammad Arshad (2013): This study investigated the elements affecting the cost proportion of shared assets in Pakistan, involving size and experience as autonomous factors and cost proportion as the reliant variable. Speculation testing and exact examination were led, uncovering that age, size, and nature altogether affected cost proportions, underscoring the significance of considering these elements while putting resources into common assets.

David K. Musto (2011): A subjective report investigating different ideas in the common subsidizes industry, including execution, motivators, charge productivity, exchange and file costs, and functional working. The creator inferred that in spite of the business' very long term improvement, the ongoing century is making it more secure for long haul speculations, with an assumption for people in the future spending down shares in light of their encounters.

C. Hoff Stauffer Jr. furthermore, Robert C. Vogel (1971): This study estimated common asset execution and surveyed the impact of variables like age, size, turnover proportion. It zeroed in on risk-changed returns, involving standard deviation and yearly normal returns as factors for the relapse condition. The review laid out an immediate connection among hazard and return, with age being an inconsequential variable and stacking charges influencing returns.

Mishra, Ankita and Mishra, Vinod and Smyth, Russell (2014): Researching the way of behaving of the Indian financial exchange, this study investigated whether it followed an irregular walk process with a productive market. The examination utilized stock file means and returns as factors, utilizing Q-measurement, Dickey-Fuller test, and difference proportion with homoscedastic and heteroscedastic tests. Discoveries recommended mean-returning conduct in Indian stock records and overcompensation of stock costs in a unitary heading.

Daniel, Christine Jiang, Michael Hu, and Wayne Lee (1999): Utilizing illustrative insights and relapse examination, this investigation discovered that the proficiency of a functioning asset the board methodology relies upon the size of Resources Under Administration (AUM). There exists a base resource size expected to take care of related costs and accomplish gains.

V. RESEARCH TECHNIQUE

Research is an orderly examination that adds to the development of existing information through the quest for truth utilizing strategies like review, perception, correlation, and trial and error. It includes an organized and objective way to deal with tracking down answers for issues.

RESEARCH PLAN

Research configuration fills in as a pre-arranged structure for resolving an issue. It addresses the underlying and crucial stage in the whole exploration process. The review will be directed in light of this examination configuration, giving direction on how the exploration will advance, including the order, understanding, and plan of ideas. Basically, it goes about as a plan for the whole exploration work.

RESEARCH STRATEGIES

Research strategies allude to explicit systems utilized for the assortment and examination of information. The improvement of examination techniques is a fundamental part of the general exploration plan. In the arranging period of your strategies, two basic choices should be made.

RESEARCH TECHNIQUE

A researcher is using descriptive research for the research.

V. RESEARCH TECHNIQUE

Research fills in as an orderly cycle pointed toward widening how we might interpret contemporary issues through the assortment of information. Graphic examinations, specifically, center around outlining the way of behaving of a chose test populace. These investigations ordinarily include the assessment of a solitary variable, and their essential targets are depiction, clarification, and approval of the got discoveries.

TEST PLAN

The scientist utilizes an examining strategy to choose explicit components from the populace for consideration in the review.

TEST TECHNIQUE

The examining technique utilized depends on the standards of likelihood testing, where each individual from the populace has a known and equivalent possibility being chosen for consideration in the review. This approach guarantees a fair and unprejudiced portrayal of the bigger populace in the gathered example.

TEST PROCEDURES

In quantitative examination, the attention is on utilizing explicit example methods to accumulate mathematical information, working with the estimation of factors. Quantitative information is portrayed by its organized and factual nature, guaranteeing that the outcomes acquired are unbiased and convincing. The technique embraces a grounded hypothesis approach, depending on efficiently examined information assortment. Quantitative exploration is especially valuable when the point is to reach general determinations from the examination discoveries and make forecasts about results. The accentuation on mathematical information improves the accuracy and objectivity of the exploration interaction.

VI. DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION LARGE CAP FOR 1 YEAR

Fund Name	NAV (Rs.)	AUM (Cr.)	Returns Since Inception	Past	Avg. Rolling	Some what Good
SBI Blue Chip Fund	38.77	23,625	10.4 20 Jan' 06	10.83	14.18	Some what Good
Aditya Birla SL Frontline Equity Fund(G)	217.59	20,708	19.5 30 Aug' 02	6.87	14.44	Some what Good
Aditya Birla SL Frontline Equity (Trigger Facility)(G)	217.59	20,708	19.6 23 Sep' 02	6.87	14.44	Some what Good
HDFC op 100 Fund	447.44	18,266	17.8 03 Sep' 96	2.34	14.28	Risky
Mirae Asset Large Cap Fund	50.67	17,140	15 04 Apr' 08	10.49	18.30	Some what Good
Nippon India Large Cap Fund	32.82	12,741	10.3 08 Aug' 07	5.23	14.78	Risky
Axis Bluechip Fund	31.68	11,077	12.4 05 Jan' 10	20.94	12.89	Very Good
UTI Mastershare Unit Scheme	122.88	6,530	12.4 03 Aug' 05	10.52	12.74	Very Good
ranklin India Bluechip Fund	439.68	6,392	15.7 01 Dec' 93	3.53	12.41	Risky
JM Large Cap Fund	66.33	3,223	7.88 01 Apr' 95	2.73	9.19	Very Good

SP Top 100 Equity Fund	211.93	2,684	20 10 Mar' 03	16.0 6	11.80	Some what Good
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 19	8.92	1,800	- 3.23 10 Jan' 18	- 2.41	2.22	NA
Kotak Bluechip Fund	237.80	1,512	19.4 04 Feb' 03	12.7 1	12.59	Some what Good
HDFC EOF - II - 1126D May 2017 (1)	9.50	1,163	- 0.37 15 Jun' 17	0.51	0.94	NA
BNP Paribas Large Cap Fund	93.97	827	15.9 23 Sep' 04	18.8 8	14.08	Very Good
Tata Large Cap Fund	217.14	802	15.4 07 May' 98	11	12.71	Some what Good
HDFC EOF - II - 1100D June 2017 (1)	9.33	727	- 1.23 17 Jul' 17	2.33	1.49	NA
HSBC Large Cap Equity Fund	211.71	664	19.6 10 Dec' 02	12.7 7	11.04	Some what Good
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 16	10.82	645	3.61 11 Aug' 17	7.14	3.61	NA
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 15	9.96	614	1.28 17 Jul' 17	0	0.00	NA
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 14	10.43	565	3.05 15 Jun' 17	0	0.00	NA
	27.48	545	8.87 23 Oct' 07	8.9	12.77	Some what
L&T India Large Cap Fund						Good
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 20	9.43	522	- 1.31 06 Feb' 18	0	0.00	NA
IDFC Large Cap Fund	32.89	467	9.35 09 Jun' 06	9.06	9.86	Some what Good
IDBI India Top 100 Equity Fund	24.66	370	12.8 15 May' 12	6.79	12.60	Very Good
Canara Robeco Bluechip Equity Fund	26.80	330	11.3 20 Aug' 10	13.0 6	12.83	Some what Good
LIC MF Large Cap Fund	28.56	329	9.33 31 Mar' 98	10.6 6	10.04	Very Good
PGIM India Large Cap Fund	167.81	329	18.2 30 Jan' 03	7.99	10.63	Very Good

Invesco India Largecap Fund	29.12	207	11 21 Aug' 09	9.4	12.13	Some what Good
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 17	10.27	202	2.21 18 Sep' 17	0	0.00	NA
Union Largecap Fund	10.61	195	3.58 11 May' 17	0	0.00	Some what Good
Edelweiss Large Cap Fund(Formerly Edelweiss Large Ca...	36.17	179	13.1 20 May' 09	11.07	12.88	Very Good
Indiabulls Blue Chip Fund	21.15	162	10.2 10 Feb' 12	9.28	12.76	Some what Good
ICICI Prudential Value Fund - Series 18	11.70	146	8.09 25 Oct' 17	0	0.00	NA
Mahindra Pragati	9.98	101	3.93 15 Mar' 19	0	0.00	Very Good
Essel Large	22.85	95	10.8 28 Sep' 11	7.13	13.29	Some what Good
PGIM India Large	12.35	59	5.08 07 Apr' 15	8.36	9.74	NA
SBI	16.44	34	10.3 07 Oct'	7.34	9.41	NA
SBI Equity Opportuni	15.60	18	11.6 26 Oct' 15	10.39	11.90	NA
Sahara Growth	154.01	4	16.8 12 Aug' 02	6.58	9.19	Some what Good
Sahara	21.09	4	6.27 26 Nov' 07	5.27	12.83	Some what Good
Sahara	20.87	2	6.45 27 May'	2.55	8.68	Risky

Comparative performance of DSP Fund as of January 31, 2022

	DSP Smallca A Fund	NIFTY SMALLC NIFTY p …^
CAGR since Inception	14.65%	11.55% 10.25%
1 Year	21.09%	7.56% 11.84%
3 years	10.70%	6.46% 13.29%
5 Years	10.99%	7.69% 7.67%
Current Value of Rs. 10,000 invested at inception	Rs. 60,9 69.00	Rs. 42,451.3 Rs. 36,31 3 9.43
NAV/Index Value as of 31 Jan , 2022	Rs. 60.9 69	Rs. 23,315.6 Rs. 16,79 8 0.445

VII. FINDING AND CONCLUSION

- Benefit of Shared Assets:
  Shared reserves arise as a profoundly beneficial venture device, credited to elements, for example, low obtaining costs, tax breaks, and the viable broadening of benefits prompting risk decrease.
- Financial backer Discernments:
  Numerous financial backers favor shared assets over conventional organization ventures, seeing them to give

prevalent returns. Age assumes a part in financial backer inclinations, with more seasoned people and widows showing an inclination for ordinary returns over capital appreciation.

- Potential open doors for Development:

  While financial backers ordinarily pick shared assets, there is a chance for organizations to improve their contributions and draw in additional financial backers. Similar investigation recommends that a few financial backers think about the Organization, showing potential for development and expanded financial backer commitment.
- Capital Appreciation:

  Common supports stand apart as a best choice for those looking for capital appreciation, adjusting great to the venture goals of a different arrangement of financial backers.
- Industry Accomplishments:

  Organizations in the shared asset industry have made impressive progress, adding to the general development and advancement of this monetary area.
- Market Seriousness:

  The business, in any case, faces huge rivalry from different contenders, impacting organizations' methodologies and requiring consistent endeavors to remain cutthroat.

  All in all, common supports offer a rewarding venture road with benefits, for example, cost-viability, tax reductions, and chance expansion. While there is areas of strength for a for shared assets among financial backers, organizations can immediately jump all over chances to improve their contributions and draw in a more extensive financial backer base. The shared asset industry has seen remarkable accomplishments, however market seriousness stays a test that requires progressing key endeavors.

V. SUGGESTION

- Financial backer Training:

  It is essential to guarantee that financial backers are completely educated about the idea regarding shared reserves, including all agreements. Obliviousness is recognized as a critical issue, and tending to it includes making financial backers mindful of the advantages and persuading them regarding the benefits of putting resources into common assets.
- Having a significant impact on Outlooks:

  Many individuals view common supports simply as one more venture choice without grasping their expected advantages. Counsels ought to pursue altering this mentality, underlining the remarkable benefits presented by common assets. Focusing on a more youthful segment could be especially viable, as they might look for direction because of an absence of skill and time.
- Guide Preparing:

  Common Asset Organizations ought to focus on preparing individual monetary counsels about the different assets and plans, giving bits of knowledge into their targets. Monetary counselors act as a critical effect on financial backers, and improving their insight adds to better-educated venture choices.
- Risk Evaluation:

  Monetary guides ought to direct careful evaluations of financial backers' gamble resilience, needs, and time limitations prior to suggesting any ventures. By thinking about these elements, counselors can tailor their suggestions to line up with the particular conditions and objectives of the financial backers.

In synopsis, the key proposals include teaching financial backers, changing discernments about shared reserves, giving far reaching preparing to monetary consultants, and leading exhaustive evaluations of financial backers' gamble resistance and monetary objectives. These actions aggregately expect to upgrade financial backer mindfulness, further develop guide adequacy, and guarantee that speculation choices are all around lined up with individual financial backer profiles.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Narayanasamy, .., & Rathnamani, V. (2013, January 01). Performance evaluation of equity mutual funds (on selected equity large cap funds). Retrieved March 30, 2021, from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Performance-Evaluation- ofEquity-Mutual-Funds-(-On-Narayanasamy-Rathnamani/d3d16e92babf579d773071a658bf47d531760803
- Haslem, John A. and Baker, H. Kent and Smith, David McNeil, Performance and Characteristics of Actively Managed Retail Mutual Funds with Diverse Expense Ratios (2008). Financial Services Review, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 49-68, Spring 2008, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1155776
- Ahmed, Parvez and Beck, Kristine and Nanda, Sudhir, Performance of Micro-Cap Mutual Funds (2010). Journal of Investment Consulting, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 45-49, 2010, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1691240
- Switzer, L. N., & Huang, Y. (2007). How does human capital affect the performance of small and mid- cap mutual funds? Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/241707022_How_does_human_capital_affect_the_performance_of_small_and_midcap_mutual_funds
- Arshad, M. (1970). [PDF] determinants of expense ratio in open End mutual funds OF Pakistan: Semantic Scholar. Retrieved March 30, 2021, from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Determinants-of- Expense-Ratio-in-Open-End-Mutual-ofArshad/486038e2b5c4923daca06306679cd9c9030215f0
- Musto, David K., The Economics of Mutual Funds (2011). Annual Review of Financial Economics, Vol. 3, pp. 159-172, 2011, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1981830 or http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-financial-102710-144830
- Stauffer, C., & Vogel, R. (1971). Parameters of Mutual Fund Performance. Business Economics, 6(4), 58-63. Retrieved March 30, 2021, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/23480615