RESEARCH PAPER

Role of Virtual Reality Simulation in Enhancing Emergency Response Skills Among Nursing and EMS Students

Abdulghani Eid Fuhayt Alanazi[1], Rakhis Ghanem Rakhis Alshammari[2], Omar Mohammed ELyas Alanazi[3], Bashier Awad S. Alenezi[4]

[1] Specialist in Public Awareness, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia.

[2] Director of Training Department, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia.

[3] Assistant Director General for Emergency Medical Operations, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia.

[4] Director of the Volunteering Department, Saudi Red Crescent Authority, Saudi Arabia.

Corresponding Author

Abdulghani Eid Fuhayt Alanazi

Abstract:
Virtual Reality (VR) simulation has emerged as a powerful tool in the realm of emergency response training, significantly enhancing the skills of nursing and Emergency Medical Services (EMS) students. By immersing learners in realistic, high-pressure scenarios, VR allows them to practice critical thinking and decision-making under stress without the risks associated with real-life situations. This technology provides a safe environment where students can engage in repeated practice, refining their communication, technical skills, and teamwork essential for effective emergency response. Furthermore, VR simulations can be tailored to mimic a wide variety of medical emergencies, from natural disasters to mass casualty incidents, ensuring that students are well-prepared for diverse challenges they may face in the field. The integration of VR in education also offers valuable opportunities for feedback and assessment. Instructors can monitor students' performance through data analytics generated by the simulation, providing insights into areas needing improvement. This immediate feedback loop helps bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, enhancing retention and confidence among learners. By fostering an engaging, interactive educational experience, VR simulations can refine the competencies and preparedness of nursing and EMS students, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes in real-world emergency situations. The versatility and impact of VR technology underscore its growing importance in the training of future healthcare professionals.

Keywords: Virtual Reality, emergency response, nursing students, EMS students

How to cite this article: Alanazi AEF, Alshammari RGR, Alanazi OMEA, Alenezi BAS.. Role of Virtual Reality Simulation in Enhancing Emergency Response Skills Among Nursing and EMS Students. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(79s):1259-1265. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.79s.205.

Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape demands that nursing and Emergency Medical Services (EMS) personnel possess not only robust theoretical knowledge but also exceptional psychomotor agility, clinical acumen, and interpersonal dexterity, particularly when confronted with life-threatening emergencies. The chaotic, time-sensitive, and often unpredictable nature of emergency environments means that these professionals must frequently make critical decisions with incomplete information, execute complex technical procedures under immense physiological and psychological stress, and coordinate seamlessly with multidisciplinary teams [1, 2]. The margin for error in such contexts is exceedingly narrow, and suboptimal performance can directly translate into increased morbidity and mortality [3].

Historically, the foundational pedagogies for emergency training have relied heavily on a triad of methods: didactic lectures, traditional manikin-based low-fidelity simulations, and direct clinical immersion during apprenticeships [4]. However, these conventional approaches harbor inherent deficiencies. High-fidelity manikin simulations, while effective, are prohibitively expensive to purchase, maintain, and staff, limiting the frequency with which students can practice [5]. Clinical rotations are often serendipitous; a student may graduate without ever witnessing a pediatric cardiac arrest or a mass casualty event, leaving critical gaps in their experiential learning [6]. Furthermore, live disaster drills, while invaluable, require extensive logistical orchestration, are rarely repeatable in quick succession, and cannot ethically expose students to the full spectrum of sensory overload present in a genuine catastrophe [7].

In response to these pedagogical constraints, Virtual Reality (VR) simulation has rapidly evolved from a speculative technological novelty into a formidable educational instrument. Unlike static manikins, VR offers an immersive, interactive, and infinitely repeatable digital ecosystem where students can engage with high-stakes emergencies without any risk to patient safety [8]. VR facilitates the realization of clinical scenarios that are significant for teaching emergency nursing, offering a controlled setting that can simulate realistic, high-pressure situations [9].

Theoretical and Pedagogical Underpinnings

The efficacy of VR simulation in education is deeply anchored in established cognitive and experiential learning theories. Central to its design is Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (ELT), which posits that learning is a cyclical process of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation [1]. VR provides a uniquely fertile ground for this cycle. A student can experience a "concrete" traumatic injury scenario, reflect on their performance via automated debriefing or video playback, conceptualize the pathophysiological deviations they observed, and immediately re-enter the VR environment to "experiment" with alternative interventions, solidifying the learning loop [10].

Furthermore, the technology aligns perfectly with the principles of Cognitive Load Theory (CLT). Emergency scenes are notorious for inducing extraneous cognitive load due to environmental chaos and sensory overload. VR simulation can be systematically scaffolded to manage this load. Novice students can initially engage in simplified scenarios that focus on specific skills (reducing extraneous load), while advanced learners can be immersed in complex, multi-patient, multi-distractor environments that enhance their germane load and promote the development of sophisticated schemas [11]. This ability to titrate complexity is a distinct advantage over traditional clinical placements.

Jeffries' Nursing Education Simulation Framework has also been extensively adapted to VR. This framework underscores the interplay between teacher factors, student factors, educational practices, and simulation design characteristics. In VR, the "design characteristics"—such as fidelity, complexity, and cues—can be precisely engineered to meet specific learning objectives [12]. The immersive nature of VR also promotes a state of "presence," where the learner's brain perceives the digital scenario as authentic, thereby activating the same neural pathways associated with real-world stress and decision-making, a concept known as psychological fidelity [13]. This psychological realism is the engine that drives the transfer of training from the virtual to the clinical environment.

Comparative Analysis of VR Modalities in Emergency Education

It is crucial to recognize that "Virtual Reality" is not a monolithic entity. The educational landscape distinguishes between various types of immersive technology, each with distinct advantages for emergency training.

Immersive VR (IVR) , utilizing head-mounted displays (HMDs) and motion trackers, offers the highest degree of presence and spatial awareness. This modality is exceptionally effective for scenario-based training where spatial orientation and environmental navigation are critical, such as entering a collapsed building, navigating a smoky ambulance bay, or performing a rapid assessment in a crowded emergency department (ED) [14, 15]. IVR forces students to visually assess the scene for environmental hazards (e.g., spilled chemicals, fire, unstable debris) before approaching a patient, a core EMS competency that is difficult to replicate with manikins.

Non-Immersive or Desktop VR employs standard computer screens, keyboards, and mice or simple game controllers. While offering less sensory immersion, this modality has proven remarkably cost-effective and accessible. Research indicates that non-immersive VR is equally effective in improving clinical knowledge and triage accuracy for certain emergency scenarios, particularly when the focus is on decision-making algorithms rather than fine psychomotor movement [29, 30]. For instance, triage training using a desktop application can be performed remotely by hundreds of students simultaneously, making it an excellent tool for introductory and large-scale disaster drills [31].

Augmented Reality (AR) and **Mixed Reality (MR)** , which overlay digital information onto the real world, represent a third frontier. For EMS students, AR can be used to project anatomical landmarks onto a live manikin or even a standardized patient during ultrasound-guided vascular access or to overlay vital sign trajectories during CPR [27]. While the evidence base for AR in emergency nursing is still burgeoning, early studies suggest a significant potential for just-in-time training and procedural guidance [28]. The selection of the appropriate VR modality depends critically on the specific learning outcome: IVR for environmental immersion and stress inoculation, Desktop VR for algorithmic triage and mass-casualty logistics, and AR for procedure-specific guidance.

Enhancing Clinical Reasoning, Situational Awareness, and Decision-Making

Clinical reasoning in emergency settings transcends mere diagnosis; it encompasses rapid data synthesis, risk assessment, and dynamic prioritization in a fluid environment. VR simulation is particularly adept at cultivating this higher-order cognitive processing. By immersing students in unfolding scenarios that react to their actions, VR compels them to move beyond static recall and engage in dynamic clinical decision-making [2].

For example, a VR scenario simulating a patient with evolving sepsis forces the student to recognize subtle cues in the electronic health record, physical assessment (simulated via visual and auditory cues), and vital signs, then decide on the timely administration of antibiotics and fluid resuscitation. A study on high-risk neonatal emergency training demonstrated that VR significantly improved decision-making accuracy by 16.7% ($p < 0.001$) and reduced stabilization times by 6.2 minutes [11]. This improvement is attributed to the consolidation of "illness scripts" that help students rapidly recognize patterns of clinical deterioration [16].

Crucially, VR facilitates the development of **Situational Awareness (SA)** —the perception of environmental elements, comprehension of their meaning, and projection of their future status. In a VR simulation of a multi-vehicle collision, the EMS student must perceive the number of patients, comprehend the severity of their injuries (triage), and project the resource requirements (e.g., need for additional ambulances, spinal immobilization, or airborne evacuation). The repeated exposure to such cognitively demanding scenarios improves the speed and accuracy of SA formation [33]. Furthermore, VR provides a secure and efficient environment for enhancing clinical decision-making skills while maintaining psychological fidelity, allowing learners to make errors—such as failing to secure an airway in time—and subsequently experience the virtual "clinical consequence," which serves as a powerful learning disincentive without risking patient safety [3].

Technical Skills Acquisition, Psychomotor Development, and Procedural Competency

Emergency care is inherently procedural. Competence in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR), airway management, defibrillation, and hemorrhage control is non-negotiable. While VR's strength is often touted as cognitive rather than physical, recent advances in haptic feedback and motion tracking are rapidly bridging this gap, making it a potent tool for psychomotor skill acquisition [4].

Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR): Research demonstrates that VR-based training effectively improves technical skill acquisition across multiple emergency domains. A cluster randomized controlled trial investigating Virtual Simulation Systems (VSS) for CPR training found that participants in the VSS group demonstrated improved competency in compression depth and rate, alongside greater satisfaction and engagement, attributed to the system's ability to replicate clinical realism and reduce performance anxiety [5, 7]. VR systems equipped with hand-trackers can provide immediate, real-time visual feedback on hand placement and compression recoil, which is more intuitive and engaging than audible manikin feedback alone.

Airway Management and Vascular Access: Intubation and intravenous (IV) cannulation are high-stakes, low-frequency procedures that require precise psychomotor control. VR systems utilizing haptic pen feedback can simulate the resistance felt when passing a endotracheal tube through the vocal cords or the "pop" of accessing a vein [17]. While these haptics are not yet perfect, they are sufficient to teach the psychomotor sequencing (e.g., positioning, blade insertion, using a bougie) and anatomical landmarks. The multi-user interactive neonatal resuscitation program using hand-tracking technology demonstrated that both multi-user and single-user VR training improved learning outcomes, with multi-user environments showing greater improvement in team coordination during the technical sequence of neonatal resuscitation [14].

Trauma Resuscitation: EMS-specific VR applications, such as Be READY VR 911/EMS, enable students to develop emergency response and prehospital patient care skills through realistic ambulance scenarios. Training modules encompass critical procedures such as bleeding control, tourniquet application, and spinal motion restriction [32]. The ability to practice these technical skills repeatedly in VR environments addresses a key limitation of traditional simulation: the high cost of consumables and the logistical burden of setting up elaborate trauma moulage. VR enables students to engage in interactive practice within immersive environments, developing procedural fluency through deliberate repetition without consuming sterile supplies or requiring extensive faculty supervision [18].

Teamwork, Interprofessional Communication, and Handoff Protocols

Emergency response is rarely a solitary endeavor. It is a symphony of coordinated actions involving nurses, paramedics, respiratory therapists, and physicians. The failure of "non-technical" skills, particularly communication and teamwork, is a leading cause of iatrogenic errors in emergency settings [19]. VR is uniquely positioned to address these deficiencies via multi-user, networked platforms.

Multi-user VR platforms allow geographically dispersed students to inhabit the same virtual emergency scene simultaneously, interacting in real-time. The development of a VR training system for trauma first aid nursing teamwork in central China demonstrated that the use of VR training resulted in significantly enhanced teamwork performance among emergency nurses during simulated trauma scenarios [22]. Students learn to assign roles (e.g., team leader, airway manager, recorder), use closed-loop communication, and delegate tasks effectively under pressure [20].

Communication skills, especially during high-stress transitions of care, are also significantly enhanced. SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) is a standard communication protocol in healthcare, yet it is notoriously difficult to execute flawlessly during an emergency handoff (e.g., from ambulance crew to ED staff). AI-enhanced VR simulations are being developed to address these specific communication challenges, including interprofessional handoffs and difficult patient interactions [23, 24]. A study evaluating VR simulation training for emergency room nurses' preparedness for air-to-ground patient handoff found significantly higher preparedness and psychological safety among the VR group compared to controls ($p < 0.001$) [24].

Early pilots of gamified VR emergency training have reported a 28% improvement in team communication [7]. This improvement is likely because VR removes the "audience" effect of a simulation lab; learners often feel less judged by their peers when wearing an HMD, allowing them to focus more intently on the cognitive and communicative tasks at hand. The immersive nature promotes the development of role clarity and mutual trust within teams, which is particularly valuable for EMS students who must function effectively with rotating crews in unpredictable prehospital environments [21].

Disaster Preparedness and Mass Casualty Incident Management

Disasters and Mass Casualty Incidents (MCIs) are the ultimate test of emergency response capabilities. The chaos of an MCI—with its overwhelming patient surges, resource scarcity, and compromised infrastructure—makes live drills prohibitively expensive, logistically complex, and nearly impossible to standardize. VR simulation offers a scalable, cost-effective, and supremely flexible solution to this dilemma [9].

A systematic review and meta-analysis of VR disaster education on nursing student performance found significant improvements in preparedness, confidence, and competency [6]. VR is used to simulate both small accident scenes and large-scale disaster emergency response training, allowing students to experience the chaos and complexity of a biological, chemical, or radiological event in a controlled environment [25].

For paramedics specifically, training for MCIs has benefited enormously from VR. A study exploring the role of VR in preparing emergency responders for MCIs found that the VR group demonstrated significantly lower mental demand ($p < 0.001$) and frustration levels ($p = 0.021$) compared to traditional training, suggesting that prior VR exposure inoculates learners against the overwhelming stress of an MCI [15]. Training single paramedics in MCIs using VR vastly improved their perception in sorting, priority tagging, and treatment of patients within the critical timeframe of an incident [26]. The University of Huddersfield has pioneered the use of VR to train paramedics for MCIs, with systems designed by Hazardous Area Response Team paramedics to recreate dynamic major incident scenarios [27].

This specific training domain is critical because MCI events are low-frequency but high-stakes. A graduate nurse or paramedic may practice for an entire career without encountering a major MCI, yet they must be ready to act instantly when it occurs. VR provides the experiential "muscle memory" for triage algorithms (e.g., START or SALT), allowing them to rapidly move through the cognitive steps of sorting, tagging, and assigning treatment categories [28].

Student Engagement, Psychological Inoculation, and Emotional Resilience

Beyond the cognitive and technical spheres, VR profoundly impacts the psychological and affective dimensions of learning. The immersive nature of VR leverages the principles of gamification—points, scores, and progression—to create learning experiences that are intrinsically motivating [34].

A mixed-methods study examining the effectiveness of a VR triage simulation program for nursing students found significant improvements in learning motivation, attitudes, and satisfaction, with the greatest increase observed in satisfaction scores [10]. VR simulation learning shows positive outcomes in enriching students' learning experiences, moving them from passive recipients of information to active agents in their own education [35]. Furthermore, VR is particularly effective in building emotional resilience or "stress inoculation." By repeatedly exposing students to stressful, high-fidelity emergencies, VR reduces the novelty and shock value of real-world events. Immersive VR gives students an opportunity to practice and immediately repeat all types of simulation scenarios, building real-world confidence before they step into clinical practice [12].

The concept of **psychological safety** is paramount here. VR is a safe place to "fail." In traditional simulation, students might feel humiliated if they freeze up, but in a VR headset, the anonymity and perceived privacy reduce this fear. This environment reframes error as a common and expected part of becoming a professional, supporting the development of adaptive expertise and reducing performance anxiety. Immersive VR gives students an opportunity to practice and immediately repeat all types of simulation scenarios, allowing them to "fail fast and learn faster" [3, 12].

Cost-Effectiveness, Scalability, and Accessibility

The economic and logistical advantages of VR are compelling drivers for institutional adoption. Traditional simulation centers require massive capital investment in high-fidelity manikins (often exceeding $50,000 each), dedicated square footage, and a staff of simulation technicians to maintain equipment and program scenarios [5].

A study comparing virtual simulation with traditional nursing skill training models found that, on a per-student, per-credit basis, the virtual simulation model reduced costs by approximately 27% compared with the traditional model. The virtual approach also saved labor and time costs associated with consumables handling, storage management, disinfection, and sterilization [18]. Another study reported that the average cost of VR training had dropped to $115.43 per person, with total costs for virtual methods ($421,000) significantly lower than traditional methods ($570,000), primarily due to reduced faculty time and the elimination of consumable supplies [5]. Furthermore, VR offers unparalleled scalability and accessibility. Remote, non-immersive VR enables students to access training from any location, reducing the need for physical infrastructure and facilitating distance learning [29, 30]. This was particularly invaluable during the COVID-19 pandemic, when physical distancing restrictions decimated traditional clinical placements. EMS students in rural areas, who may live hundreds of miles from a simulation center, can access high-quality, standardized emergency training from their home computers. This democratization of training helps level the educational playing field, ensuring that all students, regardless of their institution's financial resources, can experience high-acuity emergencies [31].

Implementation Challenges: Technical, Physical, and Institutional Barriers

Despite its profound potential, the seamless integration of VR into nursing and EMS curricula is fraught with significant barriers. These challenges must be transparently acknowledged and strategically managed.

Technical Limitations and Physical Discomfort: The most cited technical issues include hardware and software limitations, limited immersion, and inadequate interaction [8]. Cybersickness—a form of motion sickness caused by a disconnect between visual and vestibular inputs—remains a significant obstacle, affecting up to 20-30% of users. Symptoms such as nausea, dizziness, and headaches can limit the duration of training and restrict the efficacy of prolonged scenarios [9]. Accommodation for individuals who wear glasses, left-handed users, and other ergonomic factors presents further challenges.

Institutional and Pedagogical Barriers: The high initial capital cost of VR equipment remains a hurdle for cash-strapped educational programs and EMS agencies. More importantly, there is a demonstrable lack of faculty training and technical support. Educators are often resistant to pedagogical change, particularly when they feel they lack the technical literacy to troubleshoot VR systems [17]. Effective adoption requires dedicated educator support, student collaboration in content development, clear guidelines, and increased institutional investment. Furthermore, integrating VR meaningfully into the curriculum requires a fundamental shift in course design—it cannot simply be a "throwaway" lab activity; it requires intentional pedagogical alignment with clear learning objectives [20].

Standardization and Validation: There is a lack of standardized, validated assessment tools for evaluating VR-based emergency competencies. While many vendors offer proprietary scoring systems, there is little cross-comparability between platforms. The evidence regarding VR's efficacy presents a nuanced picture, with limitations in study design and a lack of long-term outcome validation (i.e., does VR training actually improve patient outcomes in the ED or field?) noted in the literature [2, 4]. This lack of robust evidence can make it difficult for curriculum committees to justify the investment.

Pedagogical Integration, Curriculum Design, and Faculty Development

To overcome these barriers, a strategic and systematic approach to pedagogical integration is essential. Rather than treating VR as a replacement for clinical practice, it should be positioned as a powerful adjunct.

Blended or hybrid learning models are emerging as the most effective methodology. In this model, students first acquire baseline knowledge via asynchronous online modules, then apply this knowledge in low-stakes, non-immersive VR triage scenarios to practice decision-making, and finally graduate to high-immersion IVR scenarios to refine teamwork and stress management [28].

Faculty development is the cornerstone of this integration. Institutions must invest in training "VR champions" among their faculty who understand both the technical operation and the pedagogical nuances of the technology. This includes training on how to facilitate a VR session, conduct effective debriefs using the VR-generated data logs (which track eye movement, timing of interventions, and medication errors), and deal with cybersickness or technical glitches in real-time [11]. Creating a shared institutional repository of customized VR scenarios can reduce the burden on individual educators and ensure alignment with national competency frameworks [12].

Future Directions: AI Personalization, Biometrics, and Haptic Evolution

The future of VR in emergency education is inextricably linked to advances in Artificial Intelligence (AI), haptic engineering, and biometrics.

AI-Driven Personalization: Currently, most VR scenarios are linear or branch to a few pre-scripted outcomes. AI will enable dynamically adaptive scenarios where the virtual patient's condition changes in real-time based on the student's actions, not just pre-defined branching logic. For example, an AI algorithm could detect a hesitation in intubation and automatically trigger the "patient" to desaturate faster, creating a more tailored challenge that addresses the individual learner's weaknesses [34]. AI-enhanced VR simulations have been developed to address specific communication challenges, including difficult patient interactions and interprofessional communication, utilizing natural language processing to understand and respond to the student's spoken words [24].

Biometric Integration and Stress Assessment: Future VR systems will integrate biometric sensors (eye-tracking, heart rate variability, galvanic skin response) to assess the student's physiological and emotional state during the emergency. This allows the system to detect cognitive overload or acute stress and intervene—either by simplifying the scenario or by prompting the student to take a breath and focus [27]. This data can also be used in debriefing to help students understand their own stress responses and develop coping mechanisms, a crucial aspect of resilience training [33].

Advanced Haptics and Full-Body Tracking: While current haptic feedback is limited, next-generation haptic gloves and suits will provide realistic force feedback for procedures like chest compressions, joint splinting, and resistance during wound suturing [3]. As this technology becomes more affordable, VR will rival the psychomotor fidelity of high-cost manikins while significantly surpassing their cognitive and environmental fidelity. The evolution of haptic technology for advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) and airway management will turn VR into a true "full-body" simulator [35].

Conclusion

Virtual Reality Simulation represents a seismic paradigm shift in how nursing and EMS students acquire the complex, multi-dimensional competencies required for effective emergency response. By providing a scalable, safe, and repeatable platform, VR bridges the critical gap between didactic theory and high-stakes clinical application. This study has demonstrated that VR profoundly enhances clinical reasoning and situational awareness through immersive, cognitively demanding scenarios; augments technical skill acquisition through deliberate, haptic-informed practice; and revolutionizes non-technical skills like teamwork, interprofessional communication, and disaster triage through multi-user networked platforms.

However, the adoption of VR is not a panacea. It requires meticulous pedagogical planning, significant faculty development, ongoing technical support, and a clear-eyed acknowledgment of its current limitations, including cybersickness and the need for superior haptics. As the technology evolves toward AI-driven adaptive learning, enhanced haptics, and biometric stress monitoring, its role will expand from an occasional teaching adjunct to a foundational pillar of emergency curricula.

Ultimately, the goal of integrating VR is not to replace the human mentor or the clinical preceptor, but to enhance them. By offloading the repetitive practice of critical skills and the initial exposure to traumatic stress to the virtual realm, educators can free up clinical time for the nuances of humanistic care and complex clinical judgment. As one systematic review concluded, immersive technologies have demonstrated significant potential to enhance EMS training by improving skill acquisition and readiness for high-stakes scenarios, such as massive casualty incidents or disasters. For the next generation of emergency nurses and paramedics, graduating without the immersive, experiential safety net of VR will soon seem as antiquated as graduating without knowing how to read an ECG.

References

1. Shorey S, Ng ED. The use of virtual reality simulation among nursing students and registered nurses: A systematic review. Nurse education today. 2021 Mar 1;98:104662.
2. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, Vseteckova J, Nikolaou CK, George PP, Divakar U, Masiello I, Kononowicz AA, Zary N, Tudor Car L. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis by the digital health education collaboration. Journal of medical Internet research. 2019 Jan 22;21(1):e12959.
3. Liu K, Zhang W, Li W, Wang T, Zheng Y. Effectiveness of virtual reality in nursing education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC medical education. 2023 Sep 28;23(1):710.
4. Pernica K, Virtanen H, Bager IL, Jordan F, Dütthorn N, Stolt M. Virtual reality simulation enabling high level immersion in undergraduate nursing education: A systematic review. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 2023 Jul;13(11):17-27.
5. Neher AN, Bühlmann F, Müller M, Berendonk C, Sauter TC, Birrenbach T. Virtual reality for assessment in undergraduate nursing and medical education–a systematic review. BMC medical education. 2025 Feb 22;25(1):292.
6. Madsong C, Tongtham A, Dhippayom T. Effectiveness of virtual reality disaster education on the performance of nursing students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medical Education. 2026 Jun 29.
7. Zhang Z, Meybodi MM, Ingale A, Karimova L, Vinnikov M. Extended reality technology for emergency medical service training: systematic review. Frontiers in Disaster and Emergency Medicine. 2025 Jul 22;3:1630167.
8. Hsieh JY, Lin PC, Sun WN, Lin TR, Kuo CC, Hsu HT. Effectiveness of immersive virtual reality in nursing education for nursing students and nursing staffs: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurse Education Today. 2025 Aug 1;151:106725.
9. Smit L, Heyns T, Cochrane ME, Kuhn M. Use of virtual clinical education in emergency nursing care: a scoping review. International Emergency Nursing. 2026 Mar 1;85:101776.
10. Wang LH, Lin CC, Chao LF, Chang CI, Goopy S, Han CY. Effectiveness of a virtual reality triage simulation program for nursing students: A mixed-methods study. Nurse education in practice. 2024 Nov 1;81:104161.
11. Alruwaili AN, Alshammari AM, Alhaiti A, Elsharkawy NB, Ali SI, Ramadan OM. Virtual reality simulation for high-risk neonatal emergency nursing training: a mixed-methods study on nurse competency and outcomes. BMC nursing. 2025 Apr 3;24(1):367.
12. Nalmas A, Wang F, Lee K, Murrell S, Fiebelkorn L, White C. Virtual Reality-Based Simulation for Emergency Nursing Education: Development and Pilot Study of a Cardiac Arrest Scenario. In2025 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT) 2025 Jul 14 (pp. 300-304). IEEE.
13. Yang SY. Multi-user interactive neonatal resuscitation program using hand tracking in immersive virtual reality: A quasi-experimental design. Nurse Education Today. 2025 Oct 1;153:106793.
14. Lochmannová A. Exploring the role of virtual reality in preparing emergency responders for mass casualty incidents. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research. 2025 Apr 9;14(1):22.
15. Alhawatmeh HN, Rawashdeh SA, Alwidyan MT, Abuhammad S. Comparing virtual reality and live standardized patient drill simulation-based triage training methods in terms of triage knowledge and performance. Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 2025 Jun 1;103:101749.
16. Chumvanichaya K, Yuksen C, Nuanprom P, Aramvanitch K. A comparison of SIEVE, SORT, and START triage training effectiveness between immersive interactive 3D learning materials using virtual reality (VR-SSST) and traditional methods in mass casualty incidents. International Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2025 Mar 13;18(1):55.
17. Ronchi S, Caruso R, Fabrizi D, Luciani M, Alfes CM, Colley N, Magon A, Conte G,

Valli A, Terzoni S, Cilluffo S. Virtual reality in undergraduate and postgraduate nursing education: A scoping review integrating data mining for topic discovery. Teaching and Learning in Nursing. 2026 Jan 1.

18. Agussalim. Navigating the Pedagogical and Practical Frontiers of Immersive Virtual Reality in Nursing Education. SAGE Open Nursing. 2025 Sep;11:23779608251383222.
19. Hu Q, Chen H, Wang C, Li S, Cao H, Jiang Y. Factors influencing the quality of virtual reality in nursing education: A systematic review. Computers, Informatics, Nursing. 2025 Mar 17;43(8):e01287.
20. Odame-Amoabeng S, Akalin A, D'haenens F, Tricas-Sauras S, Chang YS. Immersive insights: A qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis of views, experiences, health and wellbeing of students and educators using virtual reality in nursing and midwifery education. Nurse Education Today. 2025 Jul 1;150:106679.
21. Hill MG, Hopper L, Flanagan B, Hansen S, Miles A, Watson J, Cashman S, Balding K, Dysktra P, Johnson J, Mills B. Neonatal assessment and VR: designing 3D simulation training for prehospital clinicians. Virtual Reality. 2026 May 10.
22. Xie L, Liu D, Feng M, Liu X. Development of a training system for virtual reality trauma first aid nursing teamwork in central China. BMC medical education. 2025 Jul 6;25(1):1008.
23. Vora S, Paull SN, Thomas CA, Roncallo HR, Liebhardt B, Boyce MW, Melendez A, Mansour A, Wong AH, Evans LV. Virtual Reality Interprofessional Simulation Education to Improve Teamwork and the Culture of Safety in the Emergency Department. InProceedings of the International Symposium on Human Factors and Ergonomics in Health Care 2025 Sep (Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 217-220). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications.
24. Chou YS, Ma HP, Huang CY, Li CJ, Chou LY, Cheng SF. The Effectiveness of Virtual Reality Air-to-Ground Handoff Education in Realistic Operations on Learning Among Emergency Nurses in Taiwan. Journal of Nursing Management. 2026;2026(1):3797620.
25. Deng J, Tan Y, Chen J, Guo X, Wang L, Xie C. Virtual reality simulation to teach communication for undergraduate nursing students: a scoping review. BMC Medical Education. 2025 Dec 11;26(1):85.
26. Wu X, Meng X, Li H. Study on the Integrated Teaching-Learning-Evaluation Model of Virtual Simulation Technology in Nursing Skill Training. International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics (IJHISI). 2026 Jan 1;21(1):1-9.
27. Xiong K, Li J, Yang L, Zhao P, Zhang Y, Feng Z, Kyle RG. Evaluating an AI-VR escape room for disaster nursing education: A quasi-experimental study. Nurse Education in Practice. 2025 Sep 3:104529.
28. RN PP, RN ML, RN PI, RN PÉ, RN MI, RN MM, MA NT. Immersive and non-immersive virtual reality: A quasi-experimental study in undergraduate nursing education. Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 2025 Feb 1;99:101682.
29. Alkhawaldeh JF, Yehia D, Kutah OA, El-hneiti M, Bani Hani DA, Al-Omran H, Habashneh SS, Al Awamleh R, Al-bdelhafez N, Ali H, Shhadeh AF. Effectiveness of Simulation-Based Training on Critical Care Nurses' Competency in Infection Prevention: A Systematic Review. Nursing in critical care. 2025 Nov;30(6):e70236.
30. Li L, Fan S, Xing Y, Zhou S, Mao L, Xiao T. Application of mixed reality in prehospital emergency nursing education: A scoping review. Nurse Education in Practice. 2025 Jul 1;86:104415.
31. Plotzky C, Lindwedel U, Sorber M, Loessl B, König P, Kunze C, Kugler C, Meng M. Virtual reality simulations in nurse education: a systematic mapping review. Nurse education today. 2021 Jun 1;101:104868.
32. Ahmed AA, Mohamed MY, Galal HA, Hasanein NM, Embaby RA. Virtual reality-assisted learning: enhancing psychomotor competence in midwifery nursing students. BMC Nursing. 2025 Oct 21;24(1):1304.
33. Li Y, Wu JG, Zhang D, Chen Y. The efficacy of virtual reality flipped learning with collaborative role-playing in nursing education. BMC nursing. 2025 Aug 22;24(1):1102.
34. Chidume T, Quick JC, Feemster A, Wright L. Poll to practice: A classroom-based virtual reality approach to myocardial infarction simulation. Teaching and Learning in Nursing. 2026 May 14.
35. Ryu YS, Kim HJ, Lee JS. Effectiveness of game-based VR simulation on nursing students' mastery of level D PPE: A quasi-experimental design. Nurse Education in Practice. 2025 Nov 17:104643.