

Community Pharmacy-Based Immunization Programs: Improving Access to Vaccines to children

Prof (Dr). Chandra Sekhar Mohapatra¹, Gajraj Singh², Dr. Gayathri Davu³ and Dr. Siddharth Singh^{4*}

¹Professor and Head of the Department of Pathology, DRIEMS Institute of Health Sciences & Hospital, DRIEMS University, Tangi, Cuttack, Odisha, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi, India

³Department of General Medicine, Neuravantex Medical Innovation & Wellness Centre, India

⁴AC/MO (Dental Surgeon) CRPF BDS, MDS (Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics)

²ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0870-921X and ³ORCID ID: 0009-0009-0089-4474

¹drcsmohapatra@gmail.com, ²gajrajsingh@ignou.ac.in, ³davdavg@gmail.com and ⁴sidsingh90@gmail.com

***Corresponding Email Id:** sidsingh90@gmail.com

Received: 24th April, 2026; **Revised:** 20th May 2026; **Accepted:** 30th June, 2026; **Available Online:** 10th July, 2026

ABSTRACT

Every patient who has a vaccination appointment at the pharmacy fills out an immunization screening form. A patient's contraindication for a vaccine is determined by including demographic and health queries in the screening form. Aim of the study is check whether children's reactions as an enquiries at such documents implemented to discover prospective vaccine implications. Data that was the anonymous data was subsequently obtained through 11 centered around communities pharmacists inside Delhi, India. The baseline demographics, opinions on immunization screening queries, while the vaccine(s) administered throughout the visit were all included in this data. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) the minimal demographic information medical past information provided by the health checkup forms were used to implement recommendations for predicting vaccine requirements. Statistics employed to define the proportion of consumers in the drugstore community who might have prospective recommendations concerning extra vaccines, as well as patient demographics along with condition-based recommendations. Records were gathered for pharmacist vaccine assessment documents. In 10% and 34.6 percent of patients who obtain vaccinations at the pharmacy, submitting the evaluation document and the individual's date of birth may result in a recommendation for either either pneumococcal or varicella vaccine. Additionally, screened document inquiries examines the past document of evaluation of children can identify 13.9% of those who may require pneumococcal vaccines. According to the findings, pharmacists may anticipate predicted vaccination possibilities via their boosters assessment questionnaire to recognize precautions and conditions.

Keywords: Missed opportunities, Pharmacy, Vaccines, Indication, Screening,

How to cite this article: Mohapatra CS, Singh G, Davu G, Singh S, Community Pharmacy-Based Immunization Programs: Improving Access to Vaccines to children Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026; 16(80s): 475-478. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.80s.57

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Challenges in boosting vaccination prevalence among adults, the source of neglected chances for vaccination is the failure of many healthcare personnel to undertake regular evaluations of their patients' vaccination status [1]. Vaccinations have been administered by pharmacists in the United States in nineties. Further, as reactive vaccinators, In the past, pharmacy technicians have waited for consumers for a vaccine, rather than actively evaluating their vaccination requirements. Moreover, pharmacy holders are allowed to recommend a limited number of vaccinations (such as pneumococcal and zoster) which regularly paid over in-pharmacy delivery with companies that pay through prescribed drug programs [2]. It is recommended for all medical providers have involved in evaluating getting immunized and administering it, as

advised by the National Vaccine Advisory Committee and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) their patients with a "strong recommendation" for the necessary vaccinations. This is the most dependable indicator for the vaccination [1,3]. Published interventions include the following: instruction during parents as well children; technological notifications within online medical files for suppliers; physician satisfaction states; people communication via home visits, calling, and postcards; and personal review of every individuals vaccination records. These interventions are designed to detect eliminate forgotten vaccination opportunities [4]. All patients are obligated to present a vaccine screening form before acquiring a vaccine at a pharmacy for the purpose to detect contraindications to vaccination [5]. In the past, healthcare clinicians have utilized screening forms to

**Author for Correspondence: sidsingh90@gmail.com*

determine when a patient is unsuitable for the proposed vaccine by requesting information regarding their health and demographics [6]. However, it is conceivable that an objection to one vaccine could be used as a motive for another. For example, a live vaccine would be contraindicated for a patient who has confirmed that their

immune system is compromised; nevertheless, pneumococcal vaccines might be recommended [7]. Additionally, even if the desired vaccination is not contraindicated, the form can indicate that the patient would need extra shots.

Table 1. Questions to ask during the procedure for screening for vaccine limitations and cautions.

No.	Question
1	Do you feel unwell today?
2	Are you allergic to latex, a vaccine aspect, pharmaceuticals, or meals?
3	Did yourself ever had serious side effects subsequent to a vaccination?
4	Would you been diagnosed with a long-term health issue such as cardiovascular disease, lung disease, breathing problems, kidney issues, insulin resistance (e.g., diabetes), hemorrhage or other forms of blood conditions?
5	Are They you struggle with carcinoma, lymphoma, HIV/AIDS, or as another immune system disorder?
6	Have you received radiation treatment, consumed meds which impact your body's defenses, or been prescribed drugs for arthritis such as rheumatoid, Crohn's conditions, or eczema inside the last three months? Such medications include testosterone, additional steroids, or chemotherapy.
7	Are yourself experienced an epilepsy or another issue with your nerves or cerebral?
8	Did yourself obtained an artificial transfusion of either blood or its components, immunized (gamma) globulin as or a medication to treat viruses within the last year?
9	As an women: Have your currently expectant or do you have a possibility that you may become impregnated around the next month?
10	Would you obtained any vaccines from the last four days or weeks?

To detect prospective possibilities for vaccination and offer preventative vaccine suggestions, vaccine screening documents can be implemented. These forms depend on a single set of inquiries that must be responded by each individual obtaining a vaccination at a drugstore [8]. This study aim to (1) establish a standardized set of recommendations utilizing evaluating documents that are recently use in a diverse array of communal pharmacies environments. This will be considering the queries in the questionnaire which are probably to result in a vaccine recommendation. Furthermore, we aim to (2) classify prospective vaccination possibilities among the pharmacy-vaccinated community by utilizing the assessment questionnaire inquiries.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Research Samples and Source of data

In research, data from 11 community pharmacies was evaluated over the duration of one year. These pharmacies have been used as model pharmacies for the larger Pharmacists Association/CDC and as a convenient representative group of pharmacies. A diverse array of community pharmacist areas, incorporate four pharmacy chains and 7 independently owned pharmacy shops. The answers of patients to the demographic questions on the form and inquiries about screening (Table 1) were used to gather and evaluate de-identified data. Every pharmacy's completed 2024–2025 vaccination screening paperwork were collected. Baseline demographics, dichotomous answers to screening questions, and the vaccination or vaccines given during the appointment made up the data collection. The vaccines administered during the visit are also documented in the screening forms, which serve as vaccine administration records. A "yes" answer to Table 1's questions #4, #5, or #6 indicates a comorbidity.

Statistics was employed data analysed; Fisher's test was employed to compare the two states with altered datas applying the correction of Bonferroni. Reasearch has been endorsed University's Institutional Review Board.

Examining Screening Forms to Determine Vaccine Indications- The demographic and screening query responses were utilized to forecast the necessary vaccine using Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) immunized lined up published as 2024 [9]. The final analysis encompassed immunization symptoms which were immediately correlated as queries represented in the screened documents.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The total number of completed vaccine screening sheets (or patient encounters) was 1000, which corresponds to 980 administered vaccines. More than one vaccine was administered to 10.6% of patients during their pharmacy visit. Over a one-year period, one pharmacy administered between 101 and 1000 vaccinations. In pharmacies, influenza vaccines constituted the majority of vaccinations administered (74.5%). The pharmacy's vaccine recipients possessed a mean age of 53 yrs, with an average age of <1 years to 108 years. The majority of the recipients (59.4%) were female.

Consumers whose procure vaccines regarding the pharmacy had minimum of an additional vaccine, in addition to the influenza vaccine, that may be recommended for them, as indicated by their responses or characteristics compared to the vaccine limitations screened document. The need over zoster virus pneumococcal, meningococcal, the serogroup B meningococcal (MenB), tetanus, the diphtheria & pertussis with acellular form (Tdap), and human papillomavirus (HPV9) vaccination can be determined

using the age (or date of birth) reported in the general information portion of the vaccine restrictions screened forms. The research indicates that the main consideration for identifying the vaccine signs that might have been gathered from the answers provided to the vaccine objections screening form was the patient's gender, which was derived from the information provided in the demographic segment of the pharmacies screening form.

The replies on the screening form may be used to determine the age-based vaccination indications for children receiving the vaccines of HPV9, MCV4, MenB, and Tdap. According to CDC estimates from 2024, 39.1% of children aged 13–17 had gotten two or more doses of MenACWY, while 43.4percent children were administered whole prescribed series of HPV vaccine [10]. The CDC also recommends that clinicians regularly recommend and administer the Tdap, Men ACWY, and HPV vaccines to children between the ages of 11 and 12 to incline in the availability for such immunizations. According to state legislation, pharmacists are allowed to provide all adult and child immunizations without a prescription from a doctor [11]. Four out of the ten inquiries on the screening forms are likely to conclude in a vaccination recommendation, according to our analysis of the screened documents. By responding "yes" from three of these questions (#4, #5, or #6) (Table 1), the prospective necessity for either of the two of the pneumococcal immunizations is indicated. Despite the fact that the purpose of these questions is to prevent the administration of a live vaccine for those alongside these risk variables, the content of these three questions essentially requests the patient to ascertain the fact that they possess any conditions that enhance their chance of contracting pneumococcal infections and, as a result, would be proposed for pneumococcal vaccination. By answering "yes" to questions #4, #5, or #6, we were able to ascertain that 13.9% of the research participants would qualify for the pneumococcal vaccination if they had comorbidities.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) clearly indicate that their screening inquiries are designed to identify precautions and contraindications to vaccines, but not indications [6]. Vaccination screening form was the only tool utilized by the pharmacies in our sample to determine the recommended vaccines, as opposed to the CDC's questionnaire. As a result, a significant chance to find kids who could need the suggested vaccinations is lost. Because of a number of possible inconsistencies in the screening forms, the number of patients in our study who have concomitant conditions that suggest the need for immunization is probably underestimated. First, patients pharmacies might was posed queries rather than a positive and negative; second, comorbidities were not asked about; and third, pharmacist shop have policies recommended no patients answer comorbidity queries since after receiving vaccine with inactivation of viruses Utilizing screened documents of pharmacy to vaccination an additional technique of identifying patients who require

vaccinations [12]. This method has the potential to facilitate the expansion of vaccine-preventable disease coverage and the attainment of 2020 objectives [13]. Health groups, CDC has devised supplementary screened instruments [14]. These tools have the potential to enhance the probability lost chances of vaccines; however, they enhances the burden, patients Pharmacist can immediately detect estimate vaccines given to the patients and children by engaging in an open conversation about their records and being cognizant possible potent sources of immunization. Patients were chosen that voluntarily agreed participate, which is one of the study's limitations. However, independent pharmacies and chain pharmacies were both taken into account. Additionally, the chain pharmacies participating in this initiative are emblematic of the pharmacies that make use of these screening forms, which further emphasizes the importance of this opportunity.

CONCLUSION

The data suggest proactively assess potent capabilities of pharmacists injecting vaccines utilizing present screened sheets. Although pharmacy-based immunization programs routinely capture age and concomitant conditions, they are not all utilized in manner, and utilizing these as identify vaccination signs. Furthermore, the pharmacy screening form lacks a number of occupational and lifestyle queries that are present in the CDC questionnaire. Consequently, it is imperative that all pharmacies implement the CDC screened questionnaire, that the patient completes all questions in their entirety to facilitate the communication of any vaccination recommendations to the patient. Pharmacist shop would employ pharmacy dispensing and immunization information technique as prepare the patients mentally getting immunized. Results in detection of opportunities of lost vaccines, reduce necessity after numerous consultation, facilitate check ups raise the prices of vaccines.

REFERENCES

1. Romero-Mancilla, M.S., Mora-Vargas, J. and Ruiz, A., 2023. Pharmacy-based immunization: a systematic review. *Frontiers in public health*, 11, p.1152556.
2. Daniel, C.L., Lawson, F., Vickers, M., Green, C., Wright, A., Coyne-Beasley, T., Lee, H.Y. and Turberville, S., 2021. Enrolling a rural community pharmacy as a Vaccines for Children provider to increase HPV vaccination: a feasibility study. *BMC Public Health*, 21, pp.1-10.
3. Ayenew, W., Anagaw, Y.K., Limenh, L.W., Simegn, W., Bizuneh, G.K., Bitew, T., Minwagaw, T., Fitigu, A.E., Dessie, M.G. and Asmamaw, G., 2024. Readiness of and barriers for community pharmacy professionals in providing and implementing vaccination services. *BMC Health Services Research*, 24(1), p.867.
4. Tadele, S., Demissie, B.N., Tamiru, M.T. and Tadesse, T.A., 2023. Knowledge and attitudes of

- community pharmacists on vaccination, barriers and willingness to implement community pharmacy-based vaccination services in Ethiopia. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 19(3), p.2291243.
5. Omecene, N.E., Eiland, L.S. and Sierra, C.M., 2023. Call to expand access to vaccines for children through pharmacist education, collaboration, and advocacy. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 63(4), pp.1035-1038.
6. Snapp, A., Gatewood, S.S., Kaefer, T.N., Nadpara, P. and Goode, J.V.R., 2024. A retrospective review of the impact of immunization eCare plans in community-based pharmacy setting. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 64(2), pp.577-581.
7. Chadi, A., Thirion, D.J. and David, P.M., 2023. Vaccine promotion strategies in community pharmacy addressing vulnerable populations: a scoping review. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), p.1855.
8. Le, L.M., Veettil, S.K., Donaldson, D., Kategeaw, W., Hutubessy, R., Lambach, P. and Chaiyakunapruk, N., 2022. The impact of pharmacist involvement on immunization uptake and other outcomes: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 62(5), pp.1499-1513.
9. Balkhi, B., Aljadhey, H., Mahmoud, M.A., Alrasheed, M., Pont, L.G., Mekonnen, A.B. and Alhawassi, T.M., 2018. Readiness and willingness to provide immunization services: a survey of community pharmacists in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Safety in Health*, 4, pp.1-7.
10. Shah, P.D., Trogon, J.G., Golden, S.D., Golin, C.E., Marciniak, M.W. and Brewer, N.T., 2018. Impact of pharmacists on access to vaccine providers: a geospatial analysis. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 96(3), pp.568-592.
11. Bacci, J.L., Hansen, R., Ree, C., Reynolds, M.J., Stergachis, A. and Odegard, P.S., 2019. The effects of vaccination forecasts and value-based payment on adult immunizations by community pharmacists. *Vaccine*, 37(1), pp.152-159.
12. Crosby, L.E., Real, F.J., Cunningham, J. and Mitchell, M., 2023. Overcoming vaccine hesitancy using community-based efforts. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 70(2), pp.359-370.
13. Koskan, A.M., Dominick, L.N. and Helitzer, D.L., 2021. Rural caregivers' willingness for community pharmacists to administer the HPV vaccine to their age-eligible children. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 36(1), pp.189-198.
14. Watanabe, A.H., Veettil, S.K., Le, L.M., Bald, E., Tak, C. and Chaiyakunapruk, N., 2023. Clinical and economic implications of increasing access to herpes zoster vaccination rate in community pharmacies. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 63(5), pp.1530-1538.
15. Swanson, K.R., 2023. *An Implementation Plan for a Community Pharmacy Immunization Program* (Master's thesis, The College of St. Scholastica).