

YAKRIT AND AGNI: CLASSICAL AND MODERN INSIGHTS INTO METABOLISM AND BALANCE

Dr. Shreelakshmi Harikrishnan¹, Dr. Manasi Deshpande^{2*}, Dr. Tejaswini Babar³

¹MD Scholar, Department of Dravyaguna Vigyan, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) College of Ayurved, Pune, Maharashtra, India- 411043.

shreelakshmiharikrishnan@gmail.com

^{2*}Professor, Department of Dravyaguna Vigyan, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) College of Ayurved, Pune, Maharashtra, India- 411043.

manasi.deshpande@bharativedyapeeth.edu

³Associate Professor, Department of Dravyaguna Vigyan, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) College of Ayurved, Pune, Maharashtra, India- 411043. tejaswini.babar@bharativedyapeeth.edu

Corresponding Author*

Dr. Manasi Deshpande

Professor, Department of Dravyaguna Vigyan, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) College of Ayurved, Pune, Maharashtra, India- 411043.

manasi.deshpande@bharativedyapeeth.edu

ABSTRACT

Agni is a fundamental Ayurvedic principle describing digestion, transformation and metabolic activity. Yakrit (liver) is closely associated with Pitta and Rakta and therefore has a central place in classical descriptions of physiological transformation. Modern physiology similarly identifies the liver as a major organ for nutrient metabolism, bile formation, detoxification and immune regulation. Objective: This conceptual review examines the classical relationship between Agni and Yakrit and compares it with selected modern evidence on liver metabolism and the gut–liver axis. Methods: Classical Ayurvedic sources, standard physiology references and peer-reviewed biomedical reviews were examined. The comparison was limited to functional parallels that can be supported by the cited literature. A scalar-field analogy is introduced only as a conceptual model to explain distributed metabolic activity; it is not proposed as a measurable physical property of Agni. Results: Classical concepts involving Jatharagni, Pitta, Raktavaha Srotas, Raktadhara/Pittadhara Kala and Grahani can be placed alongside modern observations on hepatic nutrient processing, bile-acid signalling, immune homeostasis and gut–liver communication. The comparison suggests a systems-level view in which digestive and hepatic functions are interdependent. Yakrit can be understood as an important functional site in the wider network of Agni-related transformation. The classical and biomedical frameworks are not identical, but careful functional comparison may support clearer research questions in integrative physiology without treating traditional concepts as direct equivalents of modern biological entities.

Keywords: Agni; Yakrit; Grahani; Pitta; Rakta; liver metabolism; gut–liver axis; Ayurveda; scalar analogy.

How to cite this article: Shreelakshmi Harikrishnan. YAKRIT AND AGNI: CLASSICAL AND MODERN INSIGHTS INTO METABOLISM AND BALANCE. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(81s):1242-1248. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.81s.157.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda describes health as a state in which digestion, transformation, tissue nourishment and elimination remain coordinated. Agni is central to this view. It is not restricted to the digestion of food in the gastrointestinal tract; classical descriptions extend the idea of transformation to elemental processing and tissue-level metabolism through Jatharagni, Bhutagni and Dhatvagni. In practical terms, Agni provides a framework for understanding whether ingested material is properly digested, assimilated and transformed into forms that can support the tissues and physiological functions of the body [1,3].

Yakrit is discussed in relation to Rakta and Pitta and is repeatedly linked with processes of transformation. Classical descriptions of Raktavaha Srotas identify Yakrit and Pliha as important structures associated with Rakta, while descriptions of Kala connect these organs with the maintenance of Rakta and Pitta-related functions [2,3]. These observations make Yakrit

relevant when the functional scope of Agni is considered beyond primary digestion.

Modern physiology also places the liver at the centre of metabolic regulation. Hepatic cells participate in carbohydrate, lipid and amino-acid metabolism; the liver stores and releases nutrients according to physiological need and contributes to systemic metabolic homeostasis [4,5]. It also synthesizes bile acids, plasma proteins and many other molecules, processes endogenous and exogenous compounds, and supports immune surveillance and tolerance [6–8]. These functions do not make the modern liver identical to the Ayurvedic Yakrit, but they provide a reasonable basis for a functional comparison.

Another important bridge is the close anatomical and physiological relationship between the intestine and liver. Nutrients and many gut-derived molecules reach the liver through the portal circulation, while bile acids and other liver-derived signals return to the intestine. Contemporary literature describes this two-way

relationship as the gut–liver axis [9–12]. In Ayurveda, Grahani is closely related to Jatharagni and primary digestion, whereas Yakrit is connected with Pitta and Rakta-related transformation. Comparing these two frameworks may therefore help clarify how Ayurveda conceptualizes coordinated digestion and systemic metabolism.

The present paper is a conceptual short review. Its purpose is not to claim that Ayurvedic entities are direct biomedical structures, nor to assign unsupported molecular mechanisms to classical terms. Instead, the review identifies carefully limited functional parallels, points out where the comparison is useful, and clearly marks the scalar analogy as a theoretical explanatory device introduced later in the paper.

2. AIM AND OBJECTIVES

Aim: To examine the classical relationship between Agni and Yakrit and to interpret selected functional parallels with modern liver physiology and gut–liver communication.

Objectives:

- To summarize classical references relevant to Agni, Yakrit, Pitta, Rakta, Raktavaha Srotas, Kala and Grahani.
- To describe only those modern hepatic functions that are directly relevant to metabolism, bile physiology, immune homeostasis and the gut–liver axis.
- To compare the two frameworks at a functional level while avoiding one-to-one equivalence.
- To introduce the scalar analogy after the classical and physiological basis has been established and to define the term “node” only within that analogy.
- To identify focused research directions that could test physiological associations without claiming prior proof of the Ayurvedic model.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A narrative and conceptual review approach was used. Classical material was drawn from the Brihatrayi, with emphasis on Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita and Ashtanga Hridaya. Passages relating to Agni, Grahani, Pitta, Rakta, Raktavaha Srotas, Yakrit, Pliha and Kala were considered for their functional meaning rather than being translated into modern biomedical terminology [1–3].

Modern sources were selected from peer-reviewed reviews and standard physiology literature covering liver metabolism, bile-acid physiology, hepatic immune regulation and gut–liver communication. Preference was given to recent reviews from established biomedical journals and to open-access papers when appropriate. Sources were included only when they contributed directly to the scope of the paper. Disease-specific details, molecular pathways and therapeutic claims that did not help explain the core Yakrit–Agni relationship were excluded.

The comparison was organized in three stages. First, the classical concepts were summarized independently. Second, relevant liver and gut–liver physiology was

described independently. Third, functional parallels were discussed with explicit limits. The scalar analogy was used only after these two bodies of information had been established. In this review, it represents a mathematical-style way of thinking about a quantity that can vary across locations in a connected system; it does not imply that Agni is a physical scalar field that has been measured in the body [13].

Because this is a conceptual review based on published sources, no human participants, animals or experimental interventions were involved. No quantitative meta-analysis was attempted.

4. CLASSICAL BASIS OF THE YAKRIT AGNI RELATIONSHIP

4.1 Agni as a principle of transformation

Agni is described as essential for digestion, transformation, vitality and maintenance of the body. Jatharagni acts at the level of primary digestion; Bhutagni explains further processing of the elemental components of food; and Dhatvagni describes tissue-level transformation. These categories indicate that the Ayurvedic idea of metabolism is distributed across successive levels rather than being confined to a single organ [1,3].

This layered description is important for the present review. Yakrit should therefore not be presented as the sole seat of Agni. A more accurate interpretation is that Yakrit participates in the wider physiology of transformation because of its classical associations with Pitta and Rakta. This distinction prevents overstatement and also fits the broader Ayurvedic view in which Grahani, digestive activity and tissue metabolism remain connected.

4.2 Yakrit, Rakta and Raktavaha Srotas

Sushruta describes Yakrit and Pliha as important sites related to Rakta and identifies them within the classical account of Raktavaha Srotas [2]. The concept of Rakta extends beyond the modern definition of circulating blood, but its functions include nourishment, vitality and support of tissue activity. Consequently, the relationship of Yakrit with Rakta suggests a role in post-digestive transformation and distribution rather than only in local digestive activity.

The classical account of Raktadhara Kala also associates Yakrit and Pliha with the support of Rakta [2]. These descriptions can be discussed alongside modern hepatic contributions to plasma protein synthesis, nutrient storage, bilirubin handling and iron metabolism, but the comparison must remain functional. The Ayurvedic statement should not be rewritten as a claim that the adult liver is the primary site of red blood cell formation.

4.3 Pitta and the significance of Kala

Pitta is strongly associated with transformation, heat and digestive activity in Ayurvedic physiology. Classical explanations often discuss Agni and Pitta in closely related functional terms. Yakrit is also described in relation to Pitta, and this has led to its interpretation as

an important organ for Pitta-mediated transformation [1,3].

The idea of Pittadhara Kala is useful as a conceptual description of a structure that supports and regulates Pitta-related activity. Rather than identifying this Kala with one modern histological layer, it is safer to interpret it as a classical functional construct. In a modern comparison, bile formation and bile-acid signalling are relevant because they arise from the liver and directly influence digestion and metabolic regulation [6–8].

4.4 Grahani and Jatharagni

Grahani has a close relationship with Jatharagni and the proper digestion and retention of food until it is adequately processed [1,3]. This makes Grahani the most appropriate classical starting point for primary digestive transformation. Once digestion and absorption are considered, however, the physiological consequences extend to the liver through the portal circulation. The classical Grahani–Yakrit relationship can therefore be examined as a functional sequence: digestion and assimilation first, followed by further transformation and tissue support.

This sequence is conceptually compatible with the modern observation that the intestine and liver are anatomically connected and continuously exchange nutrients, bile acids, microbial products and signalling molecules. The similarity is one of system organization rather than identity of terms.

5. MODERN PHYSIOLOGICAL CONTEXT

5.1 Liver as a metabolic hub

The liver is one of the major metabolic organs of the body. It receives absorbed nutrients from the gastrointestinal tract and helps maintain circulating glucose, lipids and amino acids within physiological ranges. Depending on nutritional state, hepatocytes store glycogen, release glucose, synthesize and oxidize fatty acids, produce ketone bodies, metabolize amino acids and synthesize many plasma proteins [4,5]. This continuous adjustment allows the liver to connect the immediate products of digestion with whole-body metabolic needs.

This metabolic flexibility is the strongest modern physiological basis for comparing Yakrit with a major site of transformation. The comparison does not mean that Agni is equivalent to hepatic metabolism. Rather, hepatic metabolism offers a modern example of organized biochemical transformation that occurs after digestion and directly influences systemic balance.

5.2 Bile formation and bile-acid signalling

Bile is continuously formed by hepatocytes and modified along the biliary tract. Bile acids facilitate the intestinal handling and absorption of dietary lipids, but they also act as signalling molecules through receptors such as FXR and TGR5. These signals influence bile-acid synthesis, glucose and lipid metabolism, inflammatory responses and communication between the intestine and liver [6–8].

This dual digestive and signalling role makes bile physiology particularly relevant to a Pitta-oriented comparison. However, it would be inappropriate to state that Pitta is bile or that a specific bile acid represents Pitta. The useful observation is narrower: both frameworks recognize a liver-associated process that contributes to digestion while also influencing broader metabolic regulation.

5.3 Hepatic immune regulation

The liver receives blood from the intestine and is continuously exposed to food-derived and microbial molecules. Its immune environment must therefore distinguish harmless signals from threats while avoiding unnecessary inflammation. Kupffer cells, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and other resident immune populations contribute to surveillance, tolerance and controlled inflammatory responses [14, 15].

This immune role should not be forced into a direct Ayurvedic equivalence. It is nevertheless relevant to the broader idea of systemic balance because it shows that the liver integrates metabolic and immune information rather than acting only as a chemical processing organ.

6. THE GUT–LIVER AXIS AS A MODERN SYSTEMS PARALLEL

The gut–liver axis is the bidirectional relationship between the intestine, intestinal microbiota, portal circulation, liver and biliary system. The intestinal barrier determines which nutrients, microbial molecules and metabolites enter portal blood. The liver then processes many of these substances, while bile acids and other hepatic products return to the intestine and influence digestion, microbial ecology and signalling [9 - 12].

Recent reviews emphasize that this relationship is maintained by several linked barriers and signalling circuits rather than by a single pathway [9,10]. Diet, intestinal permeability, microbial metabolites, bile acids and immune responses can all influence the state of the system. This systems-level description is useful when considering the Ayurvedic relation of Grahani with downstream tissue transformation.

From the Ayurvedic side, Grahani is associated with Jatharagni and primary digestion. Yakrit is associated with Pitta and Rakta-related transformation. A cautious functional comparison therefore places Grahani earlier in the sequence of digestion and Yakrit later in metabolic processing, while recognizing that the two influence each other. Modern gut–liver physiology supports the general idea that intestinal and hepatic functions are interdependent, but it does not prove the classical categories or their terminology.

Table 1. Functional comparison of selected classical and modern concepts

Classical concept	Classical functional emphasis	Modern comparison	Interpretive limit
Jatharagni	Primary	Digestion,	Functional

Classical concept	Classical functional emphasis	Modern comparison	Interpretive limit
/ Grahani	digestion and appropriate processing of food	absorption and intestinal barrier function	sequence only; not anatomical equivalence
Yakrit and Pitta	Transformation associated with Pitta and post-digestive processing	Hepatic nutrient metabolism and bile-related regulation	Pitta is not equated with bile or enzymes
Yakrit–Pliha and Rakta	Classical relation to Rakta and Raktavaha Srotas	Protein synthesis, bilirubin handling, nutrient/iron storage and blood-related homeostasis	Rakta is broader than modern blood
Pittadhara / Raktadharaka Kala	Support of Pitta- and Rakta-related functions	No single accepted modern structural equivalent	Used only as a functional comparison
Grahani–Yakrit relationship	Linked digestive and post-digestive transformation	Bidirectional gut–liver axis through portal blood and bile	Systems parallel, not proof of identity

7. SCALAR ANALOGY: A CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR DISTRIBUTED AGNI

Only after the classical and modern physiological frameworks have been described does the scalar analogy become useful. In mathematics and physics, a scalar field assigns a magnitude to positions in a defined space. In computational models, scalar values may also be associated with points or nodes in a mesh or graph to describe how a quantity varies across a connected

structure [13]. The present review borrows only this general representational idea.

In this analogy, Agni is represented as the intensity or effectiveness of transformation distributed across different physiological sites. The analogy helps explain why Ayurveda can describe one overarching principle of transformation while also discussing Jatharagni, Bhutagni and Dhatvagni at different levels. It is a conceptual map, not a biophysical hypothesis. No claim is made that Agni produces a detectable scalar field or that a physical field corresponding to Agni has been experimentally demonstrated [16].

After defining the analogy, the word ‘node’ can be used in a limited modelling sense. A node is simply a selected location in the conceptual network where a particular function is emphasized. Grahani may be treated as a local node for primary digestive transformation, while Yakrit may be treated as a central metabolic node because it receives absorbed nutrients, processes them and communicates back to the intestine through bile and metabolic signals. These labels are explanatory devices and should not be interpreted as classical Sanskrit terminology or as established network-science measurements in human physiology.

The value of the analogy is therefore organizational. It allows the reviewer to describe distributed transformation, interaction between sites and the possibility that dysfunction at one site can influence another. Its limitation is equally important: an analogy can clarify relationships, but it cannot by itself establish mechanism, causation or equivalence between Ayurvedic and biomedical concepts.

In this analogy, Agni is represented as the intensity or effectiveness of transformation distributed across different physiological sites. The analogy helps explain why Ayurveda can describe one overarching principle of transformation while also discussing Jatharagni, Bhutagni and Dhatvagni at different levels. It is a conceptual map, not a biophysical hypothesis. No claim is made that Agni produces a detectable scalar field or that a physical field corresponding to Agni has been experimentally demonstrated.

8. AYURVEDIC SUPPORTIVE APPROACHES IN THE CONTEXT OF THE MODEL

Ayurvedic management of impaired digestion commonly considers Dipana and Pachana measures, dietary regulation and correction of factors that weaken Agni [1]. Classical management also uses Shodhana procedures such as Virechana in selected Pitta-dominant conditions and Rasayana measures for longer-term support. These interventions belong to the Ayurvedic therapeutic system and should be interpreted according to clinical assessment, indication and safety rather than presented as direct substitutes for modern treatment of liver disease.

For a conceptual gut–liver model, the important point is that Ayurvedic management often addresses both the digestive level and the broader metabolic state. Measures directed toward Grahani and Jatharagni can be

viewed as acting at the entry point of digestion, while approaches traditionally used for Pitta- or Yakrit-related disturbance are directed toward later transformation. This layered therapeutic reasoning is consistent with the broader Ayurvedic view that correction of metabolism requires more than treatment of a single anatomical organ.

Herbs frequently discussed in Ayurvedic practice for digestive or hepatobiliary contexts include Sunthi (*Zingiber officinale*), Pippali (*Piper longum*), Guduchi (*Tinospora cordifolia*), Kalamegha (*Andrographis paniculata*) and Bhumyamalaki (*Phyllanthus* species). Their inclusion in this review is limited to illustrating the traditional categories of Dipana, Pachana or Yakrit-supportive use; it is not intended as a claim of efficacy for any specific liver disease. Disease-specific pharmacological evidence would require a separate systematic review.

9. DISCUSSION

The main value of placing Yakrit and Agni together is that it encourages a systems view of metabolism without reducing either Ayurveda or modern physiology to the language of the other. In the classical framework, Agni provides continuity from digestion to tissue transformation. Yakrit becomes relevant because of its relationship with Pitta and Rakta, whereas Grahani remains the key site associated with Jatharagni. This order is important: it avoids the inaccurate impression that the liver alone is the seat of Agni.

Modern physiology strengthens the systems interpretation because the liver is positioned immediately downstream of intestinal absorption and is continuously involved in nutrient handling. Hepatic regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism changes with feeding and fasting and contributes to whole-body metabolic stability [4,5]. Bile acids add another layer: they are necessary for lipid handling but also act as signalling molecules that connect liver and intestine [6–8]. Thus, modern evidence supports the general idea that digestion and systemic metabolism are linked through coordinated organ communication.

The gut–liver axis provides the strongest contemporary parallel to the Grahani–Yakrit relationship. Pabst and colleagues describe functional barriers and circuits that connect intestinal epithelium, immune systems, microbial and dietary metabolites and the liver [9]. Hsu and Schnabl further show that changes in microbial communities and microbial metabolites can alter intestinal barrier function and hepatic physiology [10]. Recent clinical reviews similarly describe gut permeability, bacterial translocation and bile-related signalling as important components of chronic liver disease mechanisms [11,12]. More recent evidence extends this framework to metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), drug-induced liver injury and a broader range of hepatic disorders, where dysbiosis, barrier dysfunction, microbial metabolites, immune signalling and bile-acid pathways are being investigated as clinically relevant

components of gut–liver communication [17–19]. These findings do not validate Ayurvedic categories directly, but they demonstrate that intestinal and hepatic functions are physiologically inseparable.

The immune role of the liver adds further depth to this picture. The organ receives a constant flow of gut-derived material yet usually avoids excessive inflammatory activation. Resident macrophages and other hepatic immune populations contribute to immune tolerance, clearance and tissue homeostasis [14, 15]. This shows that hepatic ‘balance’ in biomedical terms is not merely a matter of enzyme activity or detoxification; it also involves regulated interactions among metabolic, vascular and immune processes. For an integrative discussion, that complexity is more useful than a simplistic statement that Yakrit ‘purifies blood.’

The scalar analogy should be treated as a communication model rather than as evidence. A scalar quantity has magnitude without requiring a direction, and computational work demonstrates how scalar values can be assigned across nodes of a connected structure [13]. Applied cautiously, this helps express the Ayurvedic idea that Agni has different functional expressions across physiological levels while remaining one organizing principle. However, the analogy becomes misleading if it is extended into claims about electromagnetic, quantum or other physical fields without direct measurement. For this reason, the present review does not use speculative DNA-wave or quantum-biological arguments as proof of Agni.

Once the analogy has been defined, the terms local node and central node become understandable and limited. Grahani can be described as a local node because primary digestion is concentrated there in the classical account. Yakrit can be described as a central metabolic node because modern physiology shows that it receives portal nutrients and signals and coordinates multiple metabolic outputs. The terms are used to organize the conceptual diagram, not to redefine Ayurveda in network-science terminology.

A further strength of this approach is that it preserves the distinction between correspondence and equivalence. Functional correspondence asks whether two frameworks describe a similar role, such as transformation after digestion. Equivalence would claim that the two terms refer to the same entity. The available evidence supports selected functional correspondences but does not support strict equivalence.

The review also suggests that future Ayurveda research should move away from broad statements such as ‘Agni equals metabolism’ and instead test narrower relationships. For example, researchers could examine whether clinically defined patterns of Agni status are associated with reproducible differences in metabolic markers, bile-acid profiles, meal responses or gut–liver-related biomarkers. Such studies would not prove or disprove the classical concept in its entirety, but they could establish whether particular operational definitions have measurable physiological correlates.

Second, observational studies may examine associations between defined Agni categories and selected metabolic measures. Suitable outcomes could include fasting and postprandial glucose, lipid parameters, liver enzymes, selected bile-acid profiles and markers of intestinal barrier function. The purpose should be correlation and model testing, not retrospective proof of classical statements.

Third, gut–liver studies may be designed around clearly defined Ayurvedic phenotypes. Microbiome analysis can be considered, but it should not be used alone because microbiome composition is influenced by diet, drugs, age, geography and many other factors. Integrated designs combining dietary records, metabolic measurements and clinical Ayurvedic assessment would be more informative.

Fourth, intervention studies should use well-defined preparations, doses, duration, safety monitoring and clinically relevant outcomes. Traditional categories such as Dipana, Pachana or Virechana can guide the research question, but biomedical outcomes should be selected independently and analysed using standard clinical methods.

Finally, the scalar analogy itself should remain a conceptual communication tool unless a specific measurable variable is defined. Future authors should avoid presenting the analogy as a physical theory of Agni without empirical data.

CONCLUSION

Agni is a broad Ayurvedic principle of digestion and transformation that operates at several functional levels. Yakrit has a meaningful place within this framework because classical descriptions connect it with Pitta, Rakta and post-digestive transformation, while Grahani remains closely related to Jatharagni and primary digestion. This ordering provides a more balanced account than describing Yakrit as the single base of Agni.

Modern liver physiology offers useful functional parallels. The liver is a major site of nutrient metabolism, bile formation, signalling and immune regulation, and its continuous interaction with the intestine forms the gut–liver axis. These observations support a systems-level comparison in which digestive and hepatic functions influence each other, although they do not establish direct equivalence with Ayurvedic concepts.

The scalar analogy may help visualize Agni as a distributed intensity of transformation across connected physiological sites. Once that analogy is defined, Grahani and Yakrit can be described as nodes within the model for explanatory purposes. The analogy remains theoretical and should not be presented as a measurable physical field. Future research will be most useful when it applies standardized Ayurvedic assessment and focused biomedical measurements to test specific, clearly stated relationships.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita: With English Translation and Critical Notes. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series; 2017. Relevant sections: Sutrasthana and Chikitsasthana on Agni, Grahani and digestion.
2. Patil VC, Rajeshwari NM, editors. Sushruta Samhita: With English Translation of Text and Dalhana's Commentary with Critical Notes. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Publications; 2018. Sharirasthana 4 and Sutrasthana 14.
3. Sharma PV, editor. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhata: With English Translation and Commentaries. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series; 2012. Sutrasthana 12 and related passages.
4. Trefts E, Gannon M, Wasserman DH. The liver. *Cell Metab.* 2017;26(5):654-669. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.021.
5. Nagarajan SR, Cross E, Sanna F, Hodson L. Dysregulation of hepatic metabolism with obesity: factors influencing glucose and lipid metabolism. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2022;81(1):1-11. doi:10.1017/S0029665121003761.
6. Perino A, Demagny H, Velazquez-Villegas L, Schoonjans K. Molecular physiology of bile acid signaling in health, disease, and aging. *Physiol Rev.* 2021;101(2):683-731. doi:10.1152/physrev.00049.2019.
7. Gertzen CGW, Gohlke H, Häussinger D, et al. The many facets of bile acids in the physiology and pathophysiology of the human liver. *Biol Chem.* 2021;402(9):1047-1062. doi:10.1515/hsz-2021-0156.
8. Fuchs CD, Trauner M. Role of bile acids and their receptors in gastrointestinal and hepatic pathophysiology. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2022;19(7):432-450. doi:10.1038/s41575-021-00566-7.
9. Pabst O, Hornef MW, Schaap FG, Cerovic V, Clavel T, Bruns T. Gut-liver axis: barriers and functional circuits. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2023;20(7):447-461. doi:10.1038/s41575-023-00771-6.
10. Hsu CL, Schnabl B. The gut-liver axis and gut microbiota in health and liver disease. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2023;21(11):719-733. doi:10.1038/s41579-023-00904-3.
11. Rodrigues SG, van der Merwe S, Krag A, Wiest R. Gut-liver axis: pathophysiological concepts and medical perspective in chronic liver diseases. *Semin Immunol.* 2024;71:101859. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2023.101859.
12. Ichikawa M, Okada H, Nakamoto N, Taniki N, Chu PS, Kanai T. The gut-liver axis in hepatobiliary diseases. *Inflamm Regen.* 2024;44(1):2. doi:10.1186/s41232-023-00315-0.
13. Ferguson K, Chen YH, Chen Y, Gillman A, Hardin J, Kara LB. Topology-agnostic graph U-Nets for scalar field prediction on unstructured meshes. *J*

Mech Des. 2025;147(4):041701.
doi:10.1115/1.4066960.

14. Ahmed O, Robinson MW, O'Farrelly C. Inflammatory processes in the liver: divergent roles in homeostasis and pathology. *Cell Mol Immunol.* 2021;18(6):1375-1386. doi:10.1038/s41423-021-00639-2.
15. Li W, Chang N, Li L. Heterogeneity and function of Kupffer cells in liver injury. *Front Immunol.* 2022;13:940867. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.940867.
16. Harikrishnan, Dr & Deshpande, Dr. Manasi & Babar, Tejaswini. (2026). Agni as Scalar Field. *International Journal of Drug Delivery Technology.* 16. 10.25258/ijddt.16.18s.91.)
17. Vallianou NG, Kounatidis D, Psallida S, et al. NAFLD/MASLD and the gut-liver axis: from pathogenesis to treatment options. *Metabolites.* 2024;14(7):366. doi:10.3390/metabo14070366.
18. Tao W, Fan Q, Wei J. Gut-liver axis as a therapeutic target for drug-induced liver injury. *Curr Issues Mol Biol.* 2024;46(2):1219-1236. doi:10.3390/cimb46020078.
19. Jeyaraman N, Jeyaraman M, Mariappan T, et al. Insights of gut-liver axis in hepatic diseases: mechanisms, clinical implications, and therapeutic potentials. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther.* 2024;15(6):98146. doi:10.4292/wjgpt.v15.i6.98146.