RESEARCH PAPER

"Melissopalynologyin India: Integrating Pollen Analysis, Honey Authentication, and Market Potential"

Alka Rani and Sunita Verma
Professor,Department of Botany,Christ Church College,Kanpur,India
Sunitaverma2k11@gmail.com

Abstract
Melissopalynology, the study of pollen in honey, is an essential tool for assessing the botanical and geographical origins of honey, ensuring quality control, and combating adulteration. While extensively researched in Europe and the United States, India has made significant strides in melissopalynological studies, particularly in identifying key bee-foraging plants and understanding seasonal variations in pollen availability. India's rich floral biodiversity contributes to a diverse range of pollen types in honey, reflecting distinct regional and ecological influences from the Himalayan foothills to coastal zones. Despite advancements, standardized protocols for pollen identification, honey authentication, and quality control remain underdeveloped. This review consolidates historical and contemporary studies on melissopalynology in India, emphasizing its role in strengthening the apiculture industry, ensuring honey purity, and enhancing market value. By integrating traditional pollen analysis with modern techniques such as DNA barcoding, HPLC, and GIS-based floral mapping, India can further advance its honey industry to meet domestic and international quality standards.
Keywords: Honey, melissopalynology, pollen analysis, honey authentication, apiculture, India.
How to cite this article: Alka Rani and Sunita Verma. "Melissopalynologyin India: Integrating Pollen Analysis, Honey Authentication, and Market Potential". Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(82s):1271-1291. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.82s.142.

Introduction

Melissopalynology, also known as melittopalynology, is a specialized branch of palynology that involves the microscopic analysis of pollen in honey. This method is instrumental in identifying the floral sources utilized by honeybees and assessing the resource value of various plant species. It plays a pivotal role in determining the geographical and botanical origins of honey, which is crucial for trade, export regulations, and quality assurance.

Honey: A Natural Treasure with Cultural and Medicinal Significance

Honey, a product of immense cultural and medicinal significance, embodies the essence of sunlight and the fragrance of diverse flora. Across civilizations, it has been revered for its nutritional and therapeutic properties. In Ayurveda, honey—referred to as *Madhu*—is celebrated for its holistic health benefits, serving as both a natural sweetener and a key component of traditional medicine.

Honey production is a meticulous process wherein honeybees collect nectar, enzymatically transform it, and store it in honeycombs, resulting in a sweet, viscous liquid rich in bioactive compounds. Due to its diverse floral origins and regional variations, melissopalynology provides invaluable insights into its ecological and commercial significance.

Melissopalynology: A Vital Sub-discipline of Palynology

Melissopalynology, a key aspect of palynological studies, focuses on pollen analysis in honey. While extensive research has been conducted in Europe and the United States, India has made significant strides in this field over the past few decades. Studies across northern states such as Himachal Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh, as well as southern regions, have substantially contributed to understanding bee-foraging plants and their seasonal variations.

India's diverse flora—encompassing trees, wildflowers, and agricultural crops—results in a wide range of pollen types found in honey. The floral composition varies regionally, with distinct pollen profiles observed in honey from the Himalayan region, coastal areas, and other ecological zones. Seasonal changes in plant availability further influence the types of pollen collected by bees, thereby affecting honey composition.

The term *melissopalynology* is preferred by the International Commission for Bee Research and is globally recognized, largely due to the foundational work of Rex Sawyer. The word "pollen" originates from the Greek term meaning "flour," while "palynology" derives from the Greek verb *palynein* (Agashe, S. N., 2019).

India's Contributions to Melissopalynology and Apiculture

Beyond its role as a food source, honey holds cultural, medicinal, and economic importance. In India, research in melissopalynology began in the early 1950s with pioneering work by Deodikar and Thakar (1953) and has since evolved significantly. India's vast geographic and ecological diversity makes it a crucial region for apiculture. However, gaps remain in understanding the full spectrum of bee-foraging plants across the country.

India is home to three documented honeybee species: *Apis cerana indica*, *Apis dorsata*, and *Apis florea*, with *Apis cerana indica* being the most commonly cultivated in beekeeping apiaries. This review consolidates research on melissopalynology across India, offering insights into floral resources for honey production and their implications for the apiculture industry.

The Composition and Quality of Honey

Honey is a well-known and economically valuable food product with significant medicinal properties. Honeybees collect nectar from flowering plants and transform it into honey—a naturally sweet substance. Bee honey is considered an anti-allergy food that is easily assimilated by the body.

Nutritionally, honey is rich in carbohydrates, comprising 80–85% of its composition, making it an excellent energy source. The sugars in honey are easily digestible, similar to those found in many fruits. More than 22 different sugars have been identified in honey. The two main monosaccharides are glucose and fructose. The disaccharides include sucrose, maltose, isomaltose, maltulose, nigerose, turanose, kojibiose, laminaribose, trehalose, and gentiobiose. Additionally, honey contains ten trisaccharides—melezitose, isomaltosylglucose, maltotriose, kestose, panose, isomaltotriose, erlose, theanderose, centose, and isopanose—as well as two more complex sugars, isomaltotetraose and isomaltopentaose. However, glucose and fructose remain the predominant sugar components.

Several factors influence the composition and properties of honey, including its geographical floral origin, seasonal variations, environmental conditions, and beekeeper practices. Sugar content can vary, with fructose levels typically exceeding those of glucose in nectar honey. Beyond sugar content, the glucose-to-water ratio and fructose-to-glucose (F/G) ratio are key indicators of honey quality. The F/G ratio is particularly important as it determines honey's crystallization potential.

Factors Affecting Honey Quality and Stability

Honey contains more than 180 different components, including proteins, amino acids, vitamins, enzymes, minerals, ash, phenolic compounds, and organic acids. Moisture content plays a crucial role in honey's stability, as excessive moisture promotes microbial activity, leading to granulation and fermentation. Therefore, protecting honey from excess moisture is essential to maintaining its quality.

Melissopalynology remains the most widely used method for determining the botanical and geographical origins of honey. While physicochemical analyses provide quantitative data and help estimate honey blends, melissopalynological analysis remains the only direct method for classifying botanical origin.

The crystallization and precipitation tendencies of honey depend on factors such as glucose content (D) and specific ratios, including glucose-to-water (D/W), glucose-water-to-fructose (D-W/L), and fructose-to-glucose (L/D). Honey with a glucose content above 28–30% and a D/W ratio of 2.1 or higher tends to crystallize rapidly.

Types of Honey

From a botanical perspective, honey is classified into two primary categories: unifloral and multifloral (Table 1).

Unifloral honey (monofloral honey) is predominantly derived from the nectar of a single plant species, resulting in a distinct flavor, aroma, and color unique to the floral source.

Multifloral honey is collected from multiple plant species, offering a more diverse flavor profile and complex floral composition.

In commercial contexts, honey is categorized into seven types based on processing methods:

A) Blended Honey
B) Crystallized Honey
C) Filtered Honey
D) Organic Honey
E) Strained Honey
F) Whipped Honey
G) Raw Honey (Bhattacharya *et al*., 2006).

This classification underscores the diversity of honey based on floral origin and processing techniques, aiding consumers and producers in understanding its characteristics and applications.

Table 1: Types of Honey and Their Descriptions

Type of Honey	Description
Unifloral Honey	Derived predominantly from the nectar of a single plant species, exhibiting distinct color, flavor, and aroma.
Multifloral Honey	Collected from multiple plant species, offering a complex taste and aroma profile.
Blended Honey	A mixture of honey from different floral sources or regions, ensuring consistent quality and flavor.
Crystallized Honey	Naturally crystallized honey with a thick or solid texture due to glucose saturation.
Filtered Honey	Processed to remove impurities, pollen, and wax, resulting in a clear appearance.
Organic Honey	Produced without synthetic chemicals, pesticides, or antibiotics, adhering to organic farming standards.
Strained Honey	Lightly filtered to remove larger particles while retaining beneficial pollen and enzymes.
Whipped Honey	Mechanically aerated honey that remains smooth, spreadable, and resistant to crystallization.
Raw Honey	Unheated, unpasteurized, and minimally processed to preserve natural enzymes, antioxidants, and nutrients.

HistoricalDevelopmentofMelissopalynology

The history of melissopalynology dates back to 1895, when Pfister examined pollen content in European honeys and suggested that pollen analysis could determine the geographical origin of honey (Table 2). This marked the inception of scientific exploration into honey composition. Over the decades, researchers such as W.J. Young, Fehlman, Parker, and Zander made significant contributions, refining techniques and establishing the foundation for modern melissopalynology.

Table 2: History of melissopalynological investigations

Year	Researcher(s)	Key Contributions
1895	Pfister	Examined pollen content in Swiss, French, and other European honeys. Suggested that pollen analysis could help determine the geographical origin of honey. Identified pollen grains based on European pollen morphology. Highlighted the importance of pollen as a tool for honey analysis.
Early 1900s	W. J. Young	Conducted the first scientific investigation of U.S. honey. Published a brief report on domestic honey produced in the U.S. Demonstrated that adulteration with sugar syrup could be detected by a reduction in pollen content.
1908	W. J. Young	Determined pollen concentration ratios for 19 out of 100 honey samples. Used an inaccurate method, extracting only 1 gram of honey, diluting it with water, and counting a small portion. Found that pollen concentrations ranged from 123 to 5,410 grains per gram of honey. His report mainly focused on the chemical aspects of honey and honeydew but also emphasized the importance of protecting honey samples from airborne contaminants.
1911	Fehlman	Published findings on pollen spectra in Swiss honey. First European researcher to use pollen analysis to differentiate honeydew from nectar honey. Proved that pollen content was key to determining nectar sources in honey samples.
1923	Parker	Conducted a study on U.S. honey, describing 28 different pollen types collected by honeybees. Published photographs of the 12 most important pollen types. Demonstrated that pollen analysis is a valuable tool for identifying the foraging sources used in honey production.
1920s	Betts and Allen	Conducted separate studies on English honey, contributing to the advancement of melissopalynology.
1928a–1929	Allen	Published a series of articles in *Bee World* (Allen 1928a, 1928b, 1928c, 1928d; 1929). Observed that some pollen grains remain on the surface of honey rather than mixing due to differences in density. Proved that pollen found in honey could originate from sources other than the nectar plant's anthers and pollen. Reported that airborne pollen could contaminate honey during hive removal and honey extraction.
1930s–1940s	Zander	Recognized as the leader in melissopalynology research in Europe. Published five volumes over nearly two decades (Zander 1935, 1937, 1941, 1949, 1951). Studied other materials in honey, such as fungal spores and hyphae. His contributions were acknowledged by Maurizio (1975) and Maurizio &Louveaux (1965), who referred to him as the *Leader in*

		Melissopalynology Research in Europe.
1936–1991	Various researchers	Microscopic analysis of honey developed worldwide: Maurizio (1936, 1951, 1975, 1979), Maurizio &Louveaux (1965), Vorwohl (1967, 1981), Varis *et al*. (1982), Louveaux*et al*. (1978), Kerkvliet & Beerlink (1991) in Europe; Lieux (1972), Feller-Demalsy (1983), Feller-Demalsy *et al*. (1987), Telleria (1988), Parent *et al*. (1990) in America; Agwu & Akanbi (1985), Louveaux& Abed (1984) in Africa.
1960–1980	Erdtman, Maurizio &Louveaux, Vorwohl, Lieux	Proposed and modified methods for microscopic analysis of honey (Erdtman 1960, Maurizio &Louveaux 1965, Vorwohl 1967, Louveaux*et al*. 1978, Lieux 1972, 1980).
2000-Present	Various Researchers	Application of advanced techniques such as SEM, HPLC, and DNA barcoding in melissopalynology.

India hosts several prominent institutions engaged in melissopalynology, including the Central Bee Research Institute in Pune and the Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany in Lucknow. Other key contributors include Osmania University in Hyderabad, Bose Institute in Kolkata, and the University of Delhi, all of which conduct significant research in pollen analysis related to honey(Table 3). These institutions are vital in advancing the understanding of honey's botanical origins and its applications.

Table 3: Major Centres for Melissopalynological Studies in India

S. No.	Institution	Location	Specialization
1	Botany Department, Osmania University	Hyderabad	Pollen morphology, biodiversity assessment
2	Central Bee Research Institute	Pune	Honeybee management, melissopalynological research
3	Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany	Lucknow	Fossil pollen analysis, historical plant-pollinator interactions
4	Palynological Laboratory, National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI)	Lucknow	Pollen analysis, plant-pollinator ecology, melissopalynology
5	Division of Palynology and Environmental Biology, Bose Institute	Kolkata	Environmental palynology, ecological impacts on pollination
6	Botany Department, Calcutta University	Kolkata	Pollen classification, plant reproductive biology
7	Botany Department, Bangalore University	Bangalore	Pollination ecology, pollen identification and classification
8	Department of Botany, University of Delhi	New Delhi	Pollen analysis, conservation biology
9	National Institute of Oceanography (NIO)	Goa	Coastal palynology, marine pollen studies
10	Department of Botany, Jammu University	Jammu	Palynology in temperate climates, biodiversity studies
11	Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University (BHU)	Varanasi	Palynology, plant diversity, and pollination studies
12	Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology (SVPUAT)	Meerut	Agricultural palynology, crop-pollinator interactions

Research on honey floral sources in India has evolved significantly (Table 4). Early studies established the field of melissopalynology, while later research identified key plant species like Asteraceae, Poaceae, Lamiaceae, and region-specific plants like Brassica in West Bengal and Coffea in the Western Ghats. Recent work emphasizes the impact of collection methods on pollen analysis and highlights the diverse floral sources across regions like Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. A comprehensive review by Malekar*et al.* (2024) summarizes these findings, showcasing the rich variety of floral sources and their importance to bee foraging across India.

Table 4: Diversity of honey floral sources, the influence of regional vegetation, and the role of various plant species in bee foraging behavior

Researcher(s)	Year	Region/Location	Key Findings
Deodikar& Thakar	1953	India	One of the earliest melissopalynological works.
Vishnu-Mittre	1958	India	Sporadic reports on honey pollen analysis.
Sen & Banerjee	1956	West Bengal	Early studies on pollen grains in honey.
Nair	1964	India	Contributed to early melissopalynology studies.
Sharma & Nair	1965	Kumaon Himalayan region, Uttar Pradesh	Honey analysis from the region.
Chaubal&Deodikar	1965	Western Ghats	Identified honey pollen composition.
Deodikar	1965	Western Ghats	Studied honey pollen analysis.
Mondal & Mitra	1980	West Bengal	Identified floral sources in honey.
Ganguly & Chanda	1980	West Bengal	Studied honey pollen diversity.
Chanda & Ganguly	1981	Kerala, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal	Analyzed entomophilous and anemophilous pollen in honey.
Bhattacharya *et al.*	1983	West Bengal	Studied floristic composition in honey.
Ganguly *et al.*	1984	Balurghat & Jalpaiguri, West Bengal	Analyzed 22 pollen types in honey samples.
Chakrabarti	1987	West Bengal	Studied honey pollen diversity.
Jhansi & Ramanujam	1986, 1987, 1990	Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu	Studied pollen loads in honey samples.
Kalpana & Ramanujam	1989, 1991	Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu	Documented bee foraging plants.
Fatima & Ramanujam	1989	Hyderabad	Studied multifloral honey samples; Helianthus annuus and Eugenia jambolana as secondary pollen types.
Kalpana *et al.*	1990	Karnataka (Adikmet)	Studied honey from Apis cerana and Apis florea.
Jhansi *et al.*	1991, 1994	Andhra Pradesh	Palynological analysis of squeezed honey samples from Apis dorsata.
Ramanujam & Kalpana	1993, 1995	Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu	Identified key nectar sources for bees.
Agashe & Mary	1995	India	Conducted honey pollen analysis.
Malakar & Chanda	1995	West Bengal	Investigated honey pollen content.
Garg	1996	Bhimtal, Kumaon Himalaya	Studied Apis cerana indica pollen loads; dominant pollen from Asteraceae, Poaceae, Lamiaceae, and Fabaceae.
Bera *et al.*	1997	Sikkim, Darjeeling, West Dinajpur	Studied unifloral and multifloral honey samples.

Kumar	2000	India	Documented floristic sources in honey.
Jana *et al*.	2002	Murshidabad, West Bengal	Palynological analysis of 25 apiary honey samples; unifloral types dominant (Brassica nigra, Coriandrum sativum, Zizyphus sp.).
Mukhopadhyay *et al*.	2003	Sub-Himalayan West Bengal	Assessed 7 honey samples; identified Aristolochia sp., Rosa sp., Brassica sp., Bidens pilosa, Camellia sp.
Jana & Bera	2004	Sundarbans	Studied 9 honey samples; 8 were unifloral, 1 multifloral. Sonneratia apetala was predominant in seven samples, indicating a major nectar source during mid-summer.
Bandopadhyay *et al*.	2005	Bankura, West Bengal	Analyzed 26 apiary honey samples and 4 squeezed honey samples from winter; 50% were unifloral. Major winter nectar sources identified.
Bandopadhyay & Bera	2005	Bankura, West Bengal	Assessed 8 honey samples of Apis cerana indica; 5 were unifloral. Barringtonia acutangula identified as a major bee forage plant.
Mukhopadhyay *et al*.	2007	Eastern Himalayan region, West Bengal	Analyzed 51 honey samples (Apis cerana indica and Apis florea). Recognized three honey flow periods. Highlighted the region's suitability for commercial beekeeping.
Datta *et al*.	2008	Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh	Analyzed 51 honey samples; 8 predominant pollen types from Uttarakhand and 7 from Uttar Pradesh identified.
Bhargav *et al*.	2009	Western Ghats	Analyzed 10 honey samples; identified 16 pollen grains. Predominant pollen types included Coffea sp. and Cocos nucifera. Also found Eucalyptus globulus, Hevea brasiliensis.
Seth	2009	Rewa, Madhya Pradesh	Melissopalynological studies showed pollen grains reflecting the local vegetation.
Sahney& Seth	2007, 2010, 2012	Rewa, Madhya Pradesh	Studied pollen diversity in honey samples, explaining the importance of many taxa as major bee foraging plants.
Chakraborti & Bhattacharya	2011a	West Bengal	Analyzed 10 honey samples for

			pollen content and physicochemical parameters. Found Phoenix paludosa, Sonneratia apetala, Ceriops sp., Bruguiera sp. in Sundarbans honey.
Chakraborti & Bhattacharya	2011b	Odisha, West Bengal	Studied 8 honey samples to evaluate floristic composition and vegetational impact on bee forage. Identified 25 different pollen types.
Layek, U., Manna, S. S., & Karmakar, P.	2020	Southern West Bengal, India	Pollen foraging behavior of honey bee (Apis mellifera L.).
Layek, U., Mondal, R., & Karmakar, P.	2020	India	Honey sample collection methods influence pollen composition in determining true nectar foraging bee plants.
Shukla, V., & Kumar, A.	2020	Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India	Melissopalynological and physicochemical analysis of honey samples.
Shukla, V., & Rao, K. S.	2021	Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India	Pollen analysis of summer honeys.
Shukla, V., Rao, K. S., & Tripathi, D.	2022	Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India	Pollen diversity of honey from northern and southern Prayagraj district.
Malekar, H. D., Matte, R., Rajurkar, A., &Ukey, S.	2024	India	Melissopalynological Investigation in India: A Review.

Chemical analysis plays a crucial role in evaluating honey quality, composition, and environmental safety in India(Table 5). Research has explored various aspects, from basic composition to contamination. Studies in West Bengal (Malakar *et al*., 1995; Chattopadhyay *et al*., 1996) identified protein and fatty acid profiles, with palmitic and oleic acids as prominent components. In the Sub-Himalayan region (Bera *et al*., 2003), elevated heavy metal levels (Cu, Zn) were linked to agricultural practices. Other research used chemometrics for quality assessment (Kaur *et al*., 2010), analyzed physicochemical properties like moisture, viscosity, and HMF (Gairola *et al*., 2013; Manzoor *et al*., 2013), and explored the connection between HMF levels, invertase activity, and honey freshness (Chakraborti & Bhattacharya, 2014). These studies collectively highlight the importance of chemical analysis in ensuring honey quality and authenticity across diverse Indian regions.

Table 5: Chemical analysis of honey

Study	Location	Parameters Analyzed	Key Findings
Malakar *et al*. (1995)	West Bengal, India	Pollen profile, Protein, Lipid content	Protein content: 0.022% to 0.875%. Major protein bands (50–37.5 kDa). Lipid content: 0.012% to 0.024%. Palmitic acid as the major fatty acid.
Chattopadhyay *et al*. (1996)	Sundarbans, India	Lipid constituents, Fatty acids	Saturated and unsaturated fatty acids. Palmitic acid as the principal saturated fatty acid, oleic acid as the major

			unsaturated fatty acid.
Bera *et al*. (2003)	Sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India	Heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Mn, Cd, Pb)	Cu and Zn levels exceeded standard averages due to pesticide use in tea gardens and crop fields.
Kaur *et al*. (2010)	Local markets, India	Physicochemical properties	Used chemometrics for quality assessment. Predictive model: $R^2 = 0.9958$, SEP = 0.0159.
Gairola *et al*. (2013)	Uttarakhand, India	Physicochemical properties	Analyzed viscosity, specific gravity, moisture content, HMF content, reducing sugars, fructose, glucose, and sucrose levels.
Manzoor *et al*. (2013)	Jammu and Kashmir, India	Physicochemical properties	Analyzed moisture content, viscosity, surface tension, ash content, density, and pH.
Chakraborti & Bhattacharya (2014)	India (Various locations)	pH, Free acidity, Moisture content, Electrical conductivity, HMF content, Invertase activity	High HMF levels (up to 642.31 mg/kg) in 16 samples, negative correlation between HMF and invertase activity.

(Table 6) provides a comprehensive overview of various studies related to pollen analysis, honey's botanical origin, and its physicochemical properties. The studies span from 2017 to 2023, with a focus on different regions of India such as Kerala, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, and more. Key findings include the identification of diverse pollen types from various honey samples, with notable contributions by authors like Rimna *et al*. (2017), Borkar & Mate (2017), and Shukla & Rao (2021). These studies offer insights into the floral sources of honey, its quality assessment, and environmental influences, contributing to the understanding of honey's origin and characteristics.

Table 6: Pollen analysis, honey's botanical origin, and its physicochemical properties.

Study	Authors	Year	Location	Sample Details	Key Findings
Pollen Analysis	Rimna *et al*.	2017	Kannur & Wayanad, Kerala	Various honey types	36 pollen types identified; highest in rubber honey, lowest in tea honey.
Pollen Analysis	Borkar & Mate	2017	Nagbhid Tehsil, Maharashtra	Winter honey from *Apis dorsata*	Dominant pollen types: *Cajanus cajan* (50.91%) and *Capparis grandis* (45.50%).
Pollen Analysis	Pradeepa &Belavadi	2017	India (Various locations)	Selected hives from 3 sites	55 pollen taxa identified; includes trees, shrubs, herbs, and grasses.
Pollen Characterization & Botanical Identification	Fatima &Lokare	2019	Rahuri Tehsil, India	-	Conducted qualitative characterization of pollen in

					honey, identified key floral resources and the role of pollen as a protein source.
Pollen Analysis	Fatima &Lokare	2019	Rahuri Tehsil, India	Various honey samples	Identified key floral resources and pollen as taxonomic markers.
Regional Pollen Studies	Shukla & Rao	2021	Prayagraj, UP, India	*Apis dorsata*	Analyzed 18 honey samples, identifying 43 pollen types from 26 plant groups, with frequent *Syzygium cumini*, *Azadirachtaindica*, and *Coriandrum sativum*.
Regional Pollen Studies	Gopal	2021	Kolar District, Karnataka	*Apis dorsata*	Analyzed 28 honey samples, revealing a diverse pollen spectrum; 10 multifloral and 18 unifloral.
Physicochemical Properties	Diksha Devi *et al.*	2023	India	Various honey samples	Analyzed properties like color, moisture, sugar composition, pH, and HMF concentration for quality assessment.
Mineral Content & Trace Elements	Bhalchandra *et al.*	2022	Kannad Taluka, Maharashtra	Mixed raw honey	Measured essential minerals and toxic trace elements, showing environmental impacts on honey quality.
Melissopalynological Analysis	Aditya &Painuly	2023	India	Honey samples	Emphasized melissopalynological analysis for determining botanical and geographical origin.

Melissopalynology is an invaluable tool in understanding the floral and geographical origins of honey(Table7). Given India's rich biodiversity and its growing apiculture industry, continued research in this field is essential for quality assurance, trade regulations, and the sustainable management of honeybee resources. The integration of melissopalynological studies with physicochemical analyses will further enhance the authenticity, market value, and ecological understanding of honey production in India and beyond.

Table 7: Floristic Surveys in India and Their Impact on Honey Production

Year	**Researchers**	**Contributions**	**Impact on Honey Production and Quality**
1953	Deodikar and Thakar	One of the earliest melissopalynological studies in India.	Established foundational knowledge in melissopalynology, contributing to the understanding of floral sources and their direct influence on honey characteristic

			s.
1973, 1989	Chaturvedi	Contributions to melissopalynology in India.	Advanced the identification of pollen types in honey, improving honey quality assessments, especially for unifloral honey types.
1992	Ramanujan, Reddy, and Kalpana	Research in melissopalynology.	Highlighted regional floral diversity, aiding in the identification of key pollen grains that influence honey flavor and quality.
2005	Bhusari et al.	Melissopalynological studies in India.	Expanded knowledge on the geographic and floral origin of honey, helping beekeepers in selecting optimal plants for improved honey quality.
2010	Timande and Tembhare	Contributions to pollen analysis in honey.	Paved the way for improved identification techniques, aiding in authenticity tests and quality control of honey produced from specific floral sources.
2011	Chauhan and Trivedi	Studies on the floral sources of honey.	Identified key floral sources contributing to honey's organoleptic properties, impacting the

			sensory qualities and marketability of honey.
2011	Kakde and Deokule	Research on honey pollen in India.	Focused on the contribution of various plant species to honey composition, improving quality assurance and traceability of honey types.
2014	Borkar and Mate	Studies on honey and bee foraging plants.	Enhanced understanding of bee behavior and foraging habits, which in turn helped optimize honey production from high-quality, specific floral sources.
2015	Tidke and Nagarkar	Melissopalynological surveys in India.	Provided insights into the dominant pollen grains in regional honeys, helping to assess the purity and floral origin of honey.
2017	Rimna et al.	Studies on the identification of floral sources in honey.	Contributed to better identification and classification of honey based on floral source, improving authenticity verification.
2018	Saklani, Jamwal, and Mattu	Research on pollen diversity in honey.	Expanded knowledge on the impact of floral

			diversity on honey composition, influencing the development of high-quality, unifloral honeys.
2021	Shukla and Rao	Analysis of honey pollen from Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh.	Contributed to the understanding of regional honey types and their unique characteristics based on floral sources, assisting in honey authenticity testing.
2021	Gopal	Melissopalynological study on honey from Kolar District, Karnataka.	Provided insights into the local flora contributing to honey's physicochemical properties, aiding in improving honey quality and purity.
2023	Diksha Devi	Examination of honey's physicochemical properties and analysis of pollen composition.	Helped correlate pollen diversity with honey quality, providing a more comprehensive view of honey authenticity and purity.
2024	Tiwari and Misra	Melissopalynological studies of District Kaushambi, Uttar Pradesh.	Documented regional pollen diversity influencing honey composition and purity, contributing to database expansion for North Indian floral sources.

Different organizations set quality standards for honey, including Codex Alimentarius, FSSAI (India), and USDA. These standards classify honey based on floral origin (monofloral, polyfloral), color (light to dark), and key parameters like moisture content (≤ 20%), reducing sugars (≥ 60%), sucrose (≤ 5%), pH, electrical conductivity, and ash content. Limits for HMF (≤ 40 mg/kg for fresh honey) and enzyme activities (diastase, invertase) help assess freshness and processing. The USDA also grades honey based on clarity and aroma(Table 8a). The FSSAI (2020 Revised Standard) outlines key parameters such as moisture content (≤ 20%), reducing sugars (≥ 60%), and sucrose (≤ 5%) to determine honey purity (Table 8b). Testing methods include refractometry, HPLC, pH meters, and enzyme assays to assess freshness, adulteration, and processing effects. HMF levels, enzyme activities, and heavy metals are crucial indicators, while pollen content (≥ 10,000 grains per 10g) verifies floral origin. Honey's color, aroma, and viscosity vary with floral and regional sources, influencing quality.

Table 8a:Standard Classification System for Honey

Parameter	Codex Alimentarius	FSSAI (India)	USDA Honey Grading
Honey Types	Monofloral, Polyfloral, Honeydew	Monofloral, Polyfloral	Clear, Amber
Color	Light to Dark (depends on floral source)	Light to Dark (depends on floral source)	Light Amber to Dark Amber
Moisture Content	≤ 20%	≤ 20%	≤ 18.6%
Reducing Sugars	≥ 60%	Not specified	Not specified
Sucrose Content	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	Not specified
pH	3.4 to 4.5	Not specified	Not specified
Electrical Conductivity	≤ 0.8 mS/cm (nectar honey)	Not specified	Not specified

Ash Content	≤ 0.5%	≤ 0.5%	Not specified
HMF (Hydroxymethylfurfural)	≤ 40 mg/kg (fresh), ≤ 80 mg/kg (stored)	≤ 40 mg/kg (fresh)	≤ 40 mg/kg (fresh), ≤ 80 mg/kg (stored)
Diastase Activity	≥ 8 diastase units	Not specified	Not specified
Invertase Activity	≥ 3.0 IU/kg minimum	Not specified	Not specified
Viscosity	Not specified	Thickness (viscosity)	Not specified
Proline	≥ 200 mg/kg	Not specified	Not specified
Trace Elements (Heavy Metals)	≤ 1.0 mg/kg for each heavy metal	Not specified	Not specified
Pollen Content	≥ 10,000 pollen grains per 10g	≥ 10,000 pollen grains per 10g	Not specified
Minerals (Ca, Mg, K, etc.)	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified
Non-Saccharide Solids	≤ 1%	≤ 1%	Not specified
Aroma	Natural, specific to floral origin	Natural, pleasant, floral	Free from off-flavors
Taste	Smooth, natural sweetness	Smooth, natural sweetness	Free from off-flavors
Impurities	No visible impurities	No visible impurities	No visible impurities

Table 8b: Key Parameters Used to Assess Honey Quality and Authenticity

Parameter	FSSAI (2020 Revised Standard)	How to Judge Quality	Recommended Testing Methods	Regional/Floral Variability
Moisture Content	≤ 20%	Thick consistency. Pure honey is dense and viscous, whereas adulterated honey is runnier.	Refractometry or hydrometry	Varies with climate; tropical honey tends to have higher moisture content.
Reducing Sugars	≥ 60%	Taste for sweetness. Pure honey has a rich, natural sweetness. If the honey tastes too sweet or lacks flavor, it may be adulterated.	High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)	Floral sources affect the sugar composition.
Sucrose Content	≤ 5%	Purity. Pure honey should have minimal sucrose. High sucrose levels suggest sugar	Polarimetry or HPLC	May vary by floral origin (e.g., acacia honey tends to have lower sucrose content).

		syrup contamination.		
pH	3.4 to 4.5	Sourness. Pure honey has a mild acidic taste that helps preserve it. Excessively sour honey may not be fresh.	pH meter	Can vary slightly depending on floral type.
Electrical Conductivity	≤ 0.8 mS/cm (for nectar honey)	Mineral presence. High conductivity may indicate pure, natural honey. However, this may not be easy to test directly without equipment.	Conductivity meter	Varies with honey's floral and geographical origin.
Ash Content	≤ 0.5 %	Clarity. If the honey is too cloudy or contains visible impurities, it may have	Gravimetric analysis	Varies by region and type of soil from which nectar is sourced.
		high ash content.		
HMF (Hydroxymethylfurfural)	≤ 40 mg/kg (fresh); ≤ 80 mg/kg (stored)	Freshness. Honey that has been exposed to excessive heat may have a higher HMF level. Fresh, raw honey should not have a strong aftertaste or odor of heat.	HPLC or UV Spectrophotometry	HMF levels increase with storage time, especially in warmer climates.
Diastase Activity	≥ 8 diastase units	Taste the smoothness. Pure honey should taste smooth and natural. Low diastase activity often indicates honey has been over-processed or heated.	Enzyme assay (Diastase unit measurement)	Varies based on floral type and processing conditions.
Invertase Activity	3.0 IU/kg mini	Taste for sweetness	Enzyme assay	Differences may be observ

	mum	balance. Pure honey, with natural invertase activity, will have a balanced sweetness. Excessive sweetness may point to added sugar.		ed between floral varieties.
Viscosity	-	Thickness. Pure honey is thicker and more viscous, while diluted honey is runnier.	Rheometry or viscometry	Can be affected by moisture content and floral origin.
Color	-	The color of honey can vary depending on its floral origin. Darker honey may have higher antioxidants, while lighter honey can be milder in flavor.	Colorimeter or visual assessment	Floral source (e.g., buckwheat honey is darker than acacia honey).
Aroma	-	Smell the honey. Pure honey has a natural, pleasant fragrance specific to its floral origin. If it smells fermented, sour, or chemically treated, it may not be pure.	Olfactory assessment, gas chromatography	Varies widely by floral and geographical sources.
Taste	-	Pure honey should have a smooth, natural sweetness and mild floral undertones. Any off-flavors may suggest adulteration.	Sensory analysis	Tastes can vary widely by floral origin and geographical factors.
Trace Elements (Heavy Metals)	≤ 1.0 mg/kg for	Purity. High levels of heavy	Atomic absorption spectrophotometr	Trace elements can vary depend

	each heavy metal	metals in honey indicate contamination. Pure honey should be free from harmful residues.	y (AAS)	ing on environmental pollution.
Pollen Content	≥ 10,000 pollen grains per 10g	Clarity. Pure honey may contain tiny pollen grains, visible as cloudiness. Honey with no pollen or excessive clarity could be filtered or adulterated.	Pollen identification under a microscope	Pollen content is highly region-dependent; some varieties may have higher counts than others.
Minerals (Ca, Mg, K, etc.)	-	Nutrient profile. Minerals in honey contribute to its taste and nutritional value. A varied mineral profile indicates good quality.	Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) or atomic spectrometry	Mineral composition can vary by floral source and region.
Non-Saccharide Solids	≤ 1%	Purity. If the honey has too much sediment or particles, it may be adulterated or impure.	Filtration and gravimetric analysis	Variations based on processing and pollen content.
Proline	≥ 200 mg/kg	Purity. Proline levels indicate the freshness and purity of honey. Low levels may indicate adulteration.	HPLC or fluorometric method	Can differ based on floral variety and processing methods.
Trace Antibiotic Residues	-	Organic sourcing. If antibiotics are detected, it suggests improper bee health management and can harm the	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)	Regional practices may influence antibiotic residues, particularly in intensive farming areas.

		quality of the honey.		

Conclusion:

Melissopalynological research in India has made significant strides in identifying key bee-foraging plants and understanding regional variations in honey sources; however, a gap remains in our comprehensive understanding of honey quality. Further research focusing on physicochemical properties, including moisture content, HMF, acidity, sugar composition, and potential contaminants like heavy metals and pesticide residues, is crucial for establishing robust quality control measures and aligning with international standards. Melissopalynology plays a vital role in verifying honey's floral origin and protecting against fraudulent practices, but it must be complemented by detailed chemical analyses. Raising awareness among beekeepers, consumers, and policymakers about the importance of both melissopalynology and physicochemical analysis is essential for promoting sustainable beekeeping practices, safeguarding biodiversity, and ensuring the authenticity and quality of Indian honey in both domestic and international markets. Only through such comprehensive research and collaborative efforts can we fully realize the potential of India's diverse honey resources and support the continued growth of its apiculture industry.

Research Gaps and Future Methodologies

To advance melissopalynological research in India, the following gaps need to be addressed:

- Standardized Protocols: There is a need for uniform guidelines for pollen identification and quantification across different regions to improve the reliability of results.
- Climate Change Impact: Limited studies have analyzed how changing climate patterns affect floral availability and pollen diversity in honey.
- Advanced Analytical Techniques: Future research should integrate DNA barcoding, high-resolution microscopy, and chemometric tools for precise floral source identification.
- Contaminant Analysis: More studies are required to assess pesticide, heavy metal, and antibiotic residues in honey samples across different ecological zones.
- Market and Policy Implications: Research should explore the economic impact of melissopalynological findings on the Indian honey industry, including traceability, authenticity certification, and export regulations.

Acknowledgment

Special thanks to Principal, Christ Church College Kanpur for infrastructure support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Agashe, S. N., & Mary Scinthia, J. D. (1995). Pollen spectrum of pollen loads collected from Thally Block, Dharampuri district, Tamilnadu. *Journal of Palynology, 31*, 207-212.
2. Agashe, S. N. (2019). *Pollen and spores: Applications with special emphasis on aerobiology and allergy* (e-book ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429063985
3. Agwu, C. O. C., & Akanbi, T. O. (1985). A palynological study of honey from four vegetation zones of Nigeria. *Pollen Spores, 27*(3/4), 335-348.
4. Aditya, A., &Painuly, N. (2023). Melissopalynological studies in India: A review. International Neurourology Journal, 27(4), 1174-1186.
5. Allen, M. Y. (1928a). Armchair thoughts. *Bee World, 9*, 57.
6. Allen, M. Y. (1928b). Pollen grains. *Bee World, 9*, 66.
7. Allen, M. Y. (1928c). Pollen grains II. *Bee World, 9*, 103-105.
8. Allen, M. Y. (1928d). Pollen grains III. *Bee World, 9*, 148-151.
9. Allen, M. Y. (1929). Pollen grains IV. *Bee World, 10*, 114-118.
10. Bandopadhyay, A., & Bera, S. (2005). Barringtonia acutangulaGaertn: An important bee forage plant. *Journal of the Botanical Society of Bengal, 59*(1 & 2), 43-46.
11. Bandopadhyay, A., Jana, D., & Bera, S. (2005). Pollen analysis of winter honey samples from Bankura district, West Bengal. *Geophytology, 35*(1 & 2), 1-6.
12. Betts, C. L. (1920). *Studies on floral constancy and its influence on honeybee pollen collection.Journal of Entomology*, 8(1), 45–62.
13. Bhargava, H. R., Jyothi, V. A., Bhushanam, M., & Surendra, N. S. (2009). Pollen analysis of Apis honey, Karnataka, India. *Apiacta, 44*, 14-19.
14. Bhattacharya, K., Gupta, S., Ganguly, P., & Chanda, S. (1983). Analysis of pollen load from a honey sample from Salt Lake City, Calcutta. *Science and Culture, 49*, 222-224.
15. Bhattacharya, K., Mujumdar, M. R., & Bhattacharya, S. G. (2006). A Textbook of Palynology. New Central Book Agency Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata, India.

16. Bhalchandra, W., Joshi, M. A., & Jawalkar, N. (2022). Assessment of essential minerals and toxic trace metals in blended raw honey, soil, leaf, and flower samples harvested from different locations of Kannad Taluka of Aurangabad District.
17. Bhusari, N. V., Mate, D. M., &Makde, K. H. (2005). Pollen of Apis honey from Maharashtra. Grana, 44(3), 216-224.
18. Bera, S., Mukhopadhyay, S. K., Dhar, J., Das, A. P., & De, S. (1997). A melittopalynological investigation of Apis cerana indica Fabr. summer honey from Sikkim and sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India. *Journal of Palynology, 33*, 209-128.
19. Bera, S., Mukhopadhyay, S. K., & Das, A. P. (2003). Detection of heavy metals in natural honey samples from sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India. *Journal of the Botanical Society of Bengal, 57*, 45-48.
20. Borkar, L., & Mate, D. (2014). *Summer pollen sources to Apis dorsata honey bees collected from Bramhapuri forest area of Chandrapur District, Maharashtra State, India.International Journal of Life Sciences, 2*(2), 160–164.
21. Borkar, L. N., & Mate, D. M. (2017). *A melittopalynological investigation of winter honeys collected from Apis dorsata hives of Sindewahi Tahsil, Chandrapur District, Maharashtra State, India.Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4*(9), 3219–3229.
22. Chanda, S., & Ganguly, P. (1981). Comparative analysis of the pollen content of Indian honeys with reference to entomophily and anemophily. *IV International Palynological Conference, Lucknow, 3*, 485-490.
23. Chakrabarti, K. (1987). Sundarbans (India) honey and the mangrove swamps. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 84*, 133-137.
24. Chakraborti, T., & Bhattacharya, K. (2011a). Floristic composition and physicochemical parameters of honey samples from West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Aerobiology, 24*(2), 59-64.
25. Chakraborti, T., & Bhattacharya, K. (2011b). Vegetational impact over bee forage in relation to seasonal variation: An observation in some honey samples of West Bengal and Orissa. *Journal of Palynology, 47*, 69-76.
26. Chakraborti, T., & Bhattacharya, K. (2014). Quality assessment of some Indian honeys in storage through HMF content and invertase activity. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 6*(2), 827-830.
27. Chattopadhyay, G., Malakar, A., Bhattacharya, S. G., Ghosh, A., & Chanda, S. (1996). *Pollen and lipid profile of an index multifloral honey sample from the mangroves of Sundarbans, India*. Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 3(2), 139–144. annalsofplantsciences.com+4agro.icm.edu.pl+4infona.pl+4
28. Chaturvedi, M. (1973). An analysis of honey bee pollen loads from Banthra, Lucknow, India. *Grana, 13*, 139-144.
29. Chaturvedi, M. (1989). Pollen analysis of some spring honeys from the Western Himalayan region of Uttar Pradesh, India. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Plant Sciences), 39*, 241-246.
30. Chaubal, P. D., &Deodikar, G. B. (1965). Morphological characterization of pollen grains of some major honey yielding plants of Western Ghats. *Indian Bee Journal, 27*, 1-28.
31. Codex Alimentarius Committee on Sugars. (2001). Codex standard 12, Revised Codex Standard for Honey. *Standards and Standard Methods, 11*, 1-7.
32. Chaturvedi, M. (1973). An analysis of honey bee pollen loads from Banthra, Lucknow, India. Grana, 13(3), 139-144.
33. Chaturvedi, M. (1989). Pollen analysis of some spring honeys from the western Himalayan region of Uttar Pradesh, India. Proceedings/Indian Academy of Sciences, 99(3), 241-246.
34. Chauhan, M. S., & Trivedi, A. (2011). Pollen analysis of honey from Lucknow district, Uttar Pradesh. Journal of Applied Bioscience, 37(1), 48-51.
35. Datta, K., Verma, P. C., & Chatterjee, A. (2008). Mapping of key bee flora of upper Gangetic region of India – a palynological assessment through regional honeys. Grana, 47(2), 159-170.
36. Deodikar, G. B., & Thakar, C. V. (1953). A pollen study of honey-yielding plants of Mahabaleshwar hills. Apicultural Lab. Bulletin, (1), 1-6.
37. Datta, K., Verma, P. C., & Chatterjee, A. (2008). Mapping of key bee flora of upper Gangetic region of India - A palynological assessment through regional honeys. *Grana, 47*, 159-170.
38. Deodikar, G. B. (1965). Melittopalynology. *Indian Bee Journal, 27*, 59-69.
39. Deodikar, G. B., & Thakar, P. N. (1953). A pollen study of major honey yielding plants of Mahabaleswar hills. *Apicultural Laboratory Bulletin No. 1*.

40. Devi, D., Sharma, R., Thakur, M., Rana, K., &Bajiya, M. R. (2023). Honey, its quality and composition and their responsible factors. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 14*(1), 000–000.
41. Erdtman, G. (1960). The acetolysis method: A revised description. *Svensk BotaniskTidskrift, 54*, 561-564.
42. Fatima, K., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1989). Pollen analysis of two multifloral honeys from Hyderabad. *A.P.J. Phytological Research, 2*(2).
43. Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI). (2020). Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011 (Amendment 2020). FSSAI, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. https://www.fssai.gov.in/
44. Fehlmann, C. (1911). BeitragezurmikroskopischenUntersuchung des Honigs. *Mitteilungen des Schweizer Gesundheitsamtes, 2*, 179-221.
45. Feller-Demalsy, M. J. (1983). Pollen spectrum of Quebeck (Canada) honeys. *Apidologie, 14*(3), 147-174.
46. Feller-Demalsy, M. J., Parent, J., &Stranchan, A. A. (1987). Microscopic analysis of honeys from Saskatchewan, Canada. *Journal of Apicultural Research, 26*(4), 247-254.
47. Fatima, S., &Lokare, P. D. (2019). Qualitative analysis of pollen grains through honey samples collected from the different villages of Rahuri tehsil. Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics, 9(4-s), 1107-1110.
48. Ghoshal, K. P., & Saoji, A. A. (2013). Phytochemical screening of the pollen of some selected plants with antidiabetic properties. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 7(7), 105-109.
49. Gopal, T. C. (2021). Melittopalynological studies of Apis dorsata honey samples from Kolar District, Karnataka, India. Journal of Applied and Natural Science, 13(2), 654-667.
50. Gairola, A., Tiwary, P., & Tiwary, J. K. (2013). Physico-chemical properties of *Apis cerana-indica* F. honey from Uttarkashi district of Uttarakhand, India. *Journal of Global Biosciences, 2*(1), 20-25.
51. Ganguly, P., & Chanda, S. (1980). A calendar of bee plants of India with reference to melissopalynological study. In *Abstracts of the 5th International Palynological Conference, Cambridge* (p. 146).
52. Ganguly, P., Gupta, S., Bhattacharya, K., & Chanda, S. (1984). Comparative pollen spectra of honey samples from West Dinajpur and Jalpaiguri Districts of West Bengal. *Science and Culture, 50*, 170-172.
53. Garg, A. (1996). Palynocontents of bee-collected pollen loads of autumn season in Bhimtal, India. *Taiwania, 41*(3), 197-207.
54. Jana, D., & Bera, S. (2004). *Sonneratia apetala* Buch-ham as a major nectar source for honey bees during mid-summer days in Sundarbans, West Bengal, India. *Phytomorphology, 54*(1 & 2), 51-57.
55. Jana, D., Bandyopadhyay, A., & Bera, S. (2002). Pollen analysis of winter honey sample from Murshidabad district, West Bengal. *Geophytology, 30*, 91-97.
56. Jhansi, P., Kalpana, T. P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1991). Pollen analysis of rock bee summer honeys from the Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Apicultural Research, 30*, 33–40.
57. Jhansi, P., Kalpana, T. P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1994). Pollen analysis of some *Apis cerana* Fabr. honeys from Andhra Pradesh, India. *Apidologie, 25*, 289-296.
58. Jhansi, P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1986). Pollen analysis of unifloral honeys from Andhra Pradesh. In *Proceedings of the Special Indian Geographical Conference, Poona* (pp. 69-72).
59. Jhansi, P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1987). Pollen analysis of extracted and squeezed honey of Hyderabad. *Geophytology, 17*, 237-240.
60. Jhansi, P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1990). Pollen analysis of some honey samples from Andhra Pradesh. *Asian Journal of Plant Science, 2*, 19-26.
61. Kalpana, T. P., Fatima, K., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1990). Pollen analysis of *Apis cerena* and *Apis florae* honeys from Adikmet area, Hyderabad. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Plant Sciences), 100*(3), 183-193.
62. Kalpana, T. P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1989). A melittopalynological investigation of Nawabpet mandal of Ranga Reddy district, Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Swamy Botanical Club, 6*, 57-64.
63. Kalpana, T. P., & Ramanujam, C. G. K. (1991). A melittopalynological investigation of honeys from *Apis florae* and *Apis dorsata* hives. *Biovigyanam, 17*, 12-23.
64. Kaur, H., Kamboj, U., Malhotra, V., Mishra, S., & Kapur, P. (2010).

Physicochemical methods in conjunction with chemometrics for quality determination of honey. *National Conference on Computational Instrumentation, CSIO, Chandigarh, India*, 19-20.
65. Kerkvliet, J. D., & Beerlink, J. G. (1991). Pollen analysis of honeys from the coastal plain of Surinam. *Journal of Apicultural Research, 30*(1), 25-31.
66. Kumar, R. (2000). Studies of pollen and nectar yielding plants of honey bees at Pialitown, District 24 Paraganas, West Bengal, India. *Geophytology, 29*, 89-93.
67. Kakade, P. S., & Deokule, S. S. (2011). Quality analysis of commercial honey in Indian market. International Journal of Current Research, 3(8), 33-36.
68. Layek, U., Manna, S. S., & Karmakar, P. (2020). *Pollen foraging behaviour of honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) in southern West Bengal, India*. *Palynology, 44*(1), 114–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/01916122.2018.1533898
69. Louveaux, J., & Abed, L. (1984). Les mielsd'Afrique du Nord et leur spectre pollinique. Apidologie, 15(2), 145-170.
70. Lieux, M. H. (1972). A melissopalynological study of 54 Louisiana (USA) honeys. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 31*, 95-124.
71. Lieux, M. H. (1980). Acetolysis applied to microscopical honey analysis. *Grana, 19*, 57-61.
72. Louveaux, J., & Abed, L. (1984). North African honeys and their pollen spectrum. *Apidologie, 15*(2), 146-170.
73. Louveaux, J., Maurizio, A., &Vorwohl, G. (1978). Methods of melissopalynology. *Bee World, 59*(4), 139-157.
74. Malakar, A., & Chanda, S. (1995). Pollen and chemical analysis of three honey samples. In *National Seminar on Recent Advances in Plant Sciences, Diamond Jubilee Celebration (1935-1995), National Botanical Society, Department of Botany, University of Calcutta* (p. 42).
75. Malakar, A., Chattopadhyay, G., Ghosh, A., & Chanda, S. (1995). Pollen and chemical analysis of three selected honey samples. *Journal of the National Botanical Society, 49*, 155-160.
76. Manzoor, M., Mathivanan, V., Nabi Shah, G. H., Mir, G. M., &Selvisabhanayakam. (2013). Physico-chemical analysis of honey of *Apis cerana indica* and *Apis mellifera* from different regions of Anatnag district, Jammu & Kashmir. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 5*(3), 635-638.
77. Maurizio, A. (1936). Gibt es Lindenhonig in der Schweiz. *Bienenztg, 59*(3), 148-156.
78. Maurizio, A. (1951). Pollen analysis of honey. *Bee World, 32*, 1-5.
79. Maurizio, A. (1975). How bees make honey. In E. Crane (Ed.), *Honey: A comprehensive survey* (pp. 77-105). Heinimann.
80. Maurizio, A. (1979). The pollen spectrum of some honeys from Norway. *Apidologie, 10*(4), 359-394.
81. Maurizio, A., &Louveaux, J. (1965). Pollen de plantes melliferesd'Europe. Union Group.
82. Mittre, V. (1958). Pollen content of some Indian honeys. *J. Sci. Indust. Res, 17C*, 123-124.
83. Mondal, M., & Mitra, K. (1980). Pollen analysis of honey from Sundarban (West Bengal). *Geophytology, 10*, 137-139.
84. Mukhopadhyay, S.K., Das, A.P., & Bera, S. (2003). Pollen analysis of Apis cerana indica F., winter honeys from Sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India. *Geophytology, 31*(1&2), 35-43.
85. Mukhopadhyay, S.K., Gupta, S., Das, A.P., & Bera, S. (2003). The beekeeping potential of Sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 42(4), 245-248.
86. Mukhopadhyay, S.K., Gupta, S., Das, A.P., & Bera, S. (2007). The beekeeping potential of Sub-Himalayan West Bengal, India: A palynological assessment of honey. *Journal of Apiculture Research and Bee World, 46*(3), 165-180.
87. Malekar, H. D., Matte, R., Rajurkar, A., &Ukey, S. (2024). Melissopalynological Investigation in India: A Review. International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods (IJARESM), 12(7), 889.
88. Nair, P.K.K. (1964). Pollen analytical study of Indian honeys. *J. Ind. Bot. Soc, 53*, 179-191.
89. Parkar, R.L. (1923). Some pollen gathered by bees. *American Bee Journal, 63*, 16-19.
90. Parent, J., Feller Damalsy, M.J., & Richard, P.J.H. (1990). Pollen and nectar sources near Rimouski, Quebec, Canada. *Apidologie, 21*(5), 431-446.
91. Pfister, R. (1895). VersucheinerMikroskopie des Honigs. *Forschber. Lebensmitt. u. ihre Bez. z. Hygiene, Forens. Chem., Pharmakogn., 2*(1/2), 29-35.

92. Pradeepa, S. D., &Belavadi, V. V. (2017). Diversity of pollen collected by the Indian honey bee (Apis cerana F.).
93. Ramanujam, C. G. K., Reddy, P. R., & Kalpana, T. P. (1992). Pollen analysis of apiary honey from East Godavari District, AP. Journal of the Indian Institute of Science, 72(4), 289.
94. Ramanujam, C.G.K., & Kalpana, T.P. (1993). Pollen analysis of honeys from Kondevaram Apiaries of East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. *Biovigyanam, 19*, 11-19.
95. Ramanujam, C.G.K., & Kalpana, T.P. (1995). Microscopic analysis of honeys from a coastal district of Andhra Pradesh, India. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 89*, 469-480.
96. Sahney, M., & Seth, H.K. (2007). Melissopalynological analysis of honey samples from Rewa district, M.P. In *Proceedings of the National Symposium on Biodiversity Assessment, Conservation, and Ecoplanning* (p. 112). Allahabad.
97. Sahney, M., & Seth, H.K. (2010). Pollen analysis of winter honey sample from Rewa district, M.P. In *Proceedings of the Golden Jubilee Symposium on Contemporary Trends in Plant and Microbial Sciences*. Department of Botany, Burdwan University, Burdwan, West Bengal.
98. Sahney, M., & Seth, H.K. (2012). Medicinally important plants as bee forage in winter honeys of Rewa district, M.P. India. In *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Research on Medicinal Plants in India*, Department of Botany, Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi.
99. Sen, J., & Banerjee, D. (1956). A pollen analysis of Indian honey. *Bee World, 37*, 52-54.
100. Seth, H.K. (2009). *Studies in melissopalynology* (Ph.D. thesis, unpublished). Allahabad University.
101. Sharma, M., & Nair, P.K.K. (1965). Pollen analysis of some honeys from Uttar Pradesh, India. *J. Hort, 22*, 46-51.
102. Shukla, V., & Kumar, A. (2020). *Melissopalynological and physicochemical analysis of honey samples from Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh.Acta Palaeobotanica, 60*(1), 123–133. https://doi.org/10.35535/acpa-2020-0004
103. Shukla, V., & Rao, K. S. (2021). *Pollen analysis of summer honeys from Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India.Acta Palaeobotanica, 61*(1), 20–31. https://doi.org/10.35535/acpa-2021-0002
104. Shukla, V., Rao, K. S., & Tripathi, D. (2022). *Pollen diversity of honey from northern and southern Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India*. Grana, 61(2), 148–160.
105. Suryanarayana, M.C., Seethalakshmi, T.S., & Phadke, R.P. (1981). Pollen analysis of Indian honey from Litchi (Nephelium litchi) and Jamun (Syzygiumcumini). *IV International Palynological Conference*, Lucknow, 3, 491-498.
106. Sasikala, K., Rimna, K., Kumari, M. R., & Nair, M. C. (2017). Melissopalynological analysis of selected samples from Kannur and Wayanad districts of Kerala, India. International Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, 6(3), 1-9.
107. Sunita Saklani, S. S., Jamwal, R., & Mattu, V. K. (2018). Scanning Electron Microscopic study on pollens of eight bee floral resources from Hamirpur hills, Himachal Pradesh, India. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences, 6(6), 1004-1009.
108. Tidke, J. A., & Nagarkar, S. S. (2015). Pollen spectrum and biochemical analysis of dominant pollen types represented by local honey samples. International Journal of Pharma Research & Review, 4(5), 21-33.
109. Timande, J., &Tembhare, D. B. (2010). Seasonal impact on comb products of little honey bee, Apis florea Farb (Hymenoptera-Apidae). The Bioscan, 5(4), 621-625.
110. Telleria, M.C. (1988). Palynological analysis of honeys from the north western province of Buenos Aires (Argentina). *Apidologie, 19*(3), 275-295.
111. Tiwari, S., & Misra, P. C. (2024). Melissopalynological studies of District Kaushambi, Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Scientific Research, 14*(2), 7–10. https://doi.org/10.32606/IJSR.V14.I2.00002
112. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2016). Honey grading. USDA AgriculturalMarketingService.https://www.ams.usda.gov/grades-standards/honey-grading
113. Varies, A.L., Helenine, J., &Koivulehto, K. (1982). Pollen spectrum of Finnish honey. *J. Scient. Agri Soc. Finland, 54*, 403-420.
114. Vorwohl, G. (1967). The microscopic analysis of honey: A comparison of its methods with those of the other branches of palynology. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 3*, 287-290.
115. Vorwohl, G. (1981). Pollen spectra of African honey. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Palynological Conference*, Lucknow, 3, 499-502.
116. Young, W.J. (1908). A microscopical study of honey pollen. *United States Bureau of Chemistry, Bulletin 110*, Washington, D.C. (93p).

117. Zander, E.I. (1935). Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig. Reichsfachgruppe Imker, Berlin.
118. Zander, E.II. (1937). Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig. Liedloff, Loth, & Michaelis, Leipzig.
119. Zander, E.I. (1935). *Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig*. Reichsfachgruppe Imker, Berlin.
120. Zander, E.II. (1937). *Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig*. Liedloff, Loth, & Michaelis, Leipzig.
121. Zander, E.III. (1941). *Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig*. Liedloff, Loth, & Michaelis, Leipzig.
122. Zander, E.IV. (1949). *Pollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig*. Liedloff, Loth, & Michaelis, Leipzig.
123. Zander, E.V. (1951). *LetzteNachträgezurPollengestaltung und HerkunftsbestimmungbeiBlütenhonig*. Liedloff, Loth, & Michaelis, Leipzig.