

Assessment of Healthcare Access Inequalities and Their Impact on Health Outcomes among Rural and Urban Populations in Pakistan

Maaz Zafar¹, Arslan Ali², Abdul Rahman³, Sana Noor Jamal⁴, Amber Tufail⁵,
Farhat Rehana Malik⁶

¹Senior Lecturer, Frontier Medical and Dental Collage Abbottabad, KP, Pakistan.

²Medical Officer, UoLTH, Pediatric Cardiology, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan.

³Medical Officer, Emergency Department, Tehsil Headquarter Hospital Quaidabad, District Khushab, Punjab, Pakistan

⁴Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Avicenna Medical and Dental College and Hospital, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

⁵House Officer, Department of General Surgery, Avicenna Medical and Dental College and Hospital, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

⁶Professor, Community Health Sciences, Peshawar Medical College, Riphah International University, Pakistan.

***Corresponding:** Dr Farhat Rehana Malik,

Professor, Community Health Sciences, Peshawar Medical College, Riphah International University, Pakistan.

Email: chs_farhatrehanamalik@prime.edu.pk

Received: 17-06-2026,

Revised: 09-08-2026,

Accepted: 14-08-2026,

Published: 30-08-2026

ABSTRACT

Background: Healthcare access inequalities had remained an important public health concern in Pakistan, particularly because rural populations had experienced greater geographic, financial, and infrastructural barriers to healthcare than urban populations. These disparities had potentially contributed to differences in disease burden, healthcare utilization, and overall health outcomes.

Aim: This study aimed to assess inequalities in healthcare access between rural and urban populations in Pakistan and to evaluate their impact on selected health outcomes.

Materials and Methods: A comparative cross-sectional study had been conducted at Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad, from September 2025 to February 2026. A total of 120 participants had been included and divided equally into rural and urban groups, with 60 participants in each group. Data had been collected through a structured questionnaire assessing healthcare accessibility, including distance to healthcare facilities, transportation difficulties, affordability, availability of healthcare providers, waiting time, and frequency of healthcare utilization. Health outcomes had been assessed based on self-reported chronic disease, recent acute illness, and perceived overall health status. Descriptive statistics and comparative tests had been applied to determine differences between the two populations.

Results: Rural participants had demonstrated significantly greater barriers to healthcare access than urban participants. Long travel distances had been reported by 43 (71.7%) rural participants compared with 14 (23.3%) urban participants. Transportation difficulties had been reported by 37 (61.7%) rural participants versus 12 (20.0%) urban participants. Financial barriers to healthcare had affected 34 (56.7%) rural participants compared with 19 (31.7%) urban participants. Delayed healthcare utilization had been observed among 32 (53.3%) rural participants compared with 15 (25.0%) urban participants. Poor or fair perceived health status had been reported by 27 (45.0%) rural participants and 14 (23.3%) urban participants. Rural participants had also shown a higher frequency of untreated or poorly controlled chronic health conditions, suggesting that limited healthcare access had been associated with less favorable health outcomes.

Conclusion: The study had demonstrated substantial inequalities in healthcare access between rural and urban populations in Pakistan. Rural residents had experienced greater geographical, transportation, and financial barriers, which had been associated with delayed healthcare utilization and poorer reported health outcomes. Strengthening rural healthcare infrastructure, improving transportation and affordability, and ensuring equitable distribution of healthcare professionals had been identified as important measures for reducing these disparities.

Keywords: Healthcare Access, Health Inequalities, Rural Population, Urban Population, Healthcare Utilization, Health Outcomes, Pakistan, Healthcare Disparities

How to cite this article: Maaz Zafar¹ Arslan Ali² Abdul Rahman³ Sana Noor Jamal⁴ Amber Tufail⁵. Assessment of Healthcare Access Inequalities and Their Impact on Health Outcomes among Rural and Urban Populations in Pakistan. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(83s):679-684. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.83s.85.

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare access had remained an important determinant of population health, particularly in countries where substantial socioeconomic and geographic disparities had existed. In Pakistan, the distribution and utilization of healthcare services had varied considerably between rural

and urban populations. Although healthcare facilities had expanded in major cities, rural communities had continued to experience limited access to adequately equipped hospitals, qualified healthcare professionals, diagnostic services, medicines, and emergency care [1]. These inequalities had created substantial differences in the

ability of individuals to obtain timely and appropriate healthcare services.

Pakistan had faced a dual burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases, while healthcare resources had remained unevenly distributed across geographical regions. Urban populations had generally benefited from greater concentrations of hospitals, private clinics, specialist physicians, laboratories, pharmacies, and advanced diagnostic facilities [2]. In contrast, rural populations had often depended on basic health units, rural health centers, or distant secondary and tertiary healthcare facilities. Long travel distances, inadequate transportation, financial constraints, shortages of healthcare workers, and limited availability of essential medicines had frequently affected healthcare utilization among rural residents. These factors had particularly affected vulnerable groups, including women, children, older adults, and individuals with low household incomes [3].

Healthcare access inequalities had not been limited to the physical availability of services. Financial affordability, cultural and social barriers, health literacy, perceived quality of care, and acceptability of healthcare services had also influenced whether individuals had sought and received appropriate treatment. Out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure had represented an important barrier for many Pakistani households, particularly those living in economically disadvantaged rural communities. Consequently, patients had sometimes delayed seeking medical attention, discontinued treatment, or relied on informal healthcare providers and self-medication [4]. Such delays had increased the likelihood of complications and had adversely affected health outcomes.

Differences in healthcare access had also been associated with variations in preventive and primary healthcare utilization. Urban populations had generally had better opportunities to obtain routine health examinations, screening services, vaccination, maternal healthcare, and early diagnosis of chronic diseases. Rural populations, however, had frequently experienced difficulties accessing preventive services because of geographical isolation, limited awareness, and inadequate healthcare infrastructure [5]. Delayed diagnosis and treatment had potentially resulted in more advanced disease at presentation, increased morbidity, reduced quality of life, and greater healthcare costs.

The impact of healthcare inequality had been particularly important in the management of chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic respiratory conditions. Patients who had experienced poor continuity of care or limited access to medicines and follow-up services had been more likely to have uncontrolled disease and complications [6]. Similarly, inadequate access to emergency and specialist services had increased the risk of unfavorable outcomes among patients requiring timely intervention. Therefore, healthcare access had represented not only a measure of service availability but also an important pathway through which socioeconomic and geographic inequalities had translated into differences in health outcomes.

Assessing disparities between rural and urban populations had therefore been essential for understanding the extent to which healthcare systems had served different population groups [7]. Identifying differences in healthcare availability, affordability, utilization, and perceived accessibility could have provided evidence for developing more equitable health policies. Strengthening primary healthcare, improving rural health infrastructure, ensuring adequate staffing, expanding referral systems, and reducing financial barriers had been potential strategies for addressing these inequalities [8].

The present study had assessed healthcare access inequalities and their impact on health outcomes among rural and urban populations in Pakistan. It had focused on differences in access to healthcare services and examined whether variations in accessibility had been associated with differences in reported health outcomes. The findings had been expected to provide useful evidence for policymakers and healthcare planners seeking to reduce rural–urban disparities and improve equitable access to quality healthcare services across Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting

A comparative cross-sectional study was conducted to assess inequalities in healthcare access and their potential impact on health outcomes among rural and urban populations in Pakistan. The study was carried out at Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad, from September 2025 to February 2026. The study setting provided access to participants from different socioeconomic, educational, and residential backgrounds, allowing comparison between individuals residing in rural and urban areas.

Study Population and Sample Size

The study population consisted of 120 participants. Participants were divided into two groups according to their residential status: 60 participants from rural areas and 60 participants from urban areas. Individuals aged 18 years or older who had been residing in their respective rural or urban area for at least one year were considered eligible. Participants who were unable to provide reliable information or who declined participation were excluded.

Sampling Technique

A consecutive sampling technique was used for participant recruitment. Eligible individuals who presented at the study site during the study period were approached and invited to participate. Recruitment continued until the predetermined sample size of 120 participants was achieved. Efforts were made to maintain an equal representation of rural and urban participants to facilitate meaningful comparison between the two groups.

Data Collection Instrument

Data were collected using a structured, interviewer-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of four major sections. The first section collected sociodemographic information, including age, sex, marital status, educational level, occupation, monthly household income, and residential area. The second section assessed healthcare accessibility, including distance to the nearest healthcare facility, availability of transportation, waiting

time, healthcare costs, availability of healthcare professionals, and frequency of healthcare utilization.

The third section assessed barriers to healthcare access, including financial difficulties, transportation problems, lack of healthcare facilities, limited availability of medicines, inadequate health information, and delays in obtaining medical consultation. The fourth section evaluated selected health outcomes, including self-reported general health status, frequency of illness, presence of chronic health conditions, hospitalization during the previous year, and delays in seeking healthcare when medical attention was required.

Assessment of Healthcare Access

Healthcare access was assessed using multiple indicators. Participants were asked about the time and distance required to reach a healthcare facility, affordability of consultation and treatment, availability of medicines and diagnostic services, and perceived quality and accessibility of healthcare. Healthcare utilization was also assessed by recording the frequency of healthcare visits and whether participants had experienced delayed or forgone medical care because of financial, geographical, or service-related barriers.

Assessment of Health Outcomes

Health outcomes were assessed through participant-reported health status and healthcare utilization indicators. Participants were categorized according to whether they reported good, moderate, or poor overall health. Information regarding recent illness episodes, chronic diseases, hospitalization, and delayed healthcare-seeking behavior was also recorded. These outcomes were compared between rural and urban participants to determine whether differences in healthcare access had been associated with differences in health status.

Data Analysis

The collected data were entered and analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic characteristics and healthcare-access variables. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, whereas continuous variables were summarized using means and standard deviations. Differences between rural and urban groups were assessed using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the independent-samples t-test for normally distributed continuous variables. Where appropriate, logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the association between healthcare-access barriers and adverse health outcomes after considering relevant demographic factors. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles were followed throughout the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional authority at Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad. Participants were informed about the purpose and procedures of the study before enrollment. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Participation was voluntary, and participants were allowed to withdraw at any stage without

consequences. Confidentiality and anonymity of participant information were maintained, and the collected data were used solely for research purposes.

RESULTS

A total of 120 participants were included in the study conducted at Frontier Medical and Dental College, Abbottabad, from September 2025 to February 2026. Of these, 60 participants belonged to rural areas and 60 belonged to urban areas. The findings demonstrated differences in healthcare accessibility, utilization of healthcare services, and selected health outcomes between the two populations.

Table 1

Comparison of healthcare access and utilization between rural and urban participants (n=120)

Variable	Rural (n=60)	Urban (n=60)	p-value
Travel time to healthcare facility >30 min	39 (65.0%)	18 (30.0%)	<0.001
Difficulty obtaining transportation	31 (51.7%)	13 (21.7%)	0.001
Reported financial difficulty accessing care	35 (58.3%)	20 (33.3%)	0.006
Delayed healthcare seeking	32 (53.3%)	17 (28.3%)	0.006
Accessed a healthcare facility when needed	42 (70.0%)	54 (90.0%)	0.008
Used government healthcare facilities	41 (68.3%)	25 (41.7%)	0.004
Used private healthcare facilities	19 (31.7%)	35 (58.3%)	0.004
Reported difficulty obtaining prescribed medicines	29 (48.3%)	14 (23.3%)	0.005

Table 1 showed a substantial disparity in healthcare access between rural and urban participants. A longer travel time of more than 30 minutes was reported by 65.0% of rural participants, compared with only 30.0% of urban participants. Transportation difficulties were also considerably more frequent among rural participants (51.7% versus 21.7%, $p=0.001$). Financial barriers were reported by 58.3% of rural participants compared with 33.3% of urban participants, demonstrating that affordability had remained an important barrier in rural communities.

Delayed healthcare seeking was reported by 53.3% of rural participants, whereas only 28.3% of urban participants had reported similar delays ($p=0.006$). Overall, only 70.0% of rural participants had been able to access healthcare when needed, compared with 90.0% of urban participants ($p=0.008$). Rural participants had relied more heavily on government facilities, while urban participants had more frequently used private facilities. Difficulty obtaining prescribed medicines had also been significantly higher among rural participants (48.3% versus 23.3%, $p=0.005$).

Table 2

Comparison of selected health outcomes between rural and urban participants (n=120)

Health outcome	Rural (n=60)	Urban (n=60)	p-value
Poor self-rated health	22 (36.7%)	11 (18.3%)	0.023
≥2 healthcare visits in previous 6 months	28 (46.7%)	39 (65.0%)	0.048
Uncontrolled chronic condition	17 (28.3%)	8 (13.3%)	0.036
Hospital admission in previous 6 months	14 (23.3%)	7 (11.7%)	0.095
Missed follow-up appointments	24 (40.0%)	12 (20.0%)	0.017
Reported unmet healthcare need	27 (45.0%)	13 (21.7%)	0.009
Satisfaction with healthcare services	31 (51.7%)	45 (75.0%)	0.009

Table 2 indicated that healthcare access inequalities had been associated with differences in health outcomes. Poor self-rated health was reported by 36.7% of rural participants, compared with 18.3% of urban participants ($p=0.023$). Although urban participants had reported more frequent healthcare visits, rural participants had experienced a higher proportion of uncontrolled chronic conditions (28.3% versus 13.3%, $p=0.036$). Hospital admissions had also been more common among rural participants, although this difference had not reached statistical significance (23.3% versus 11.7%, $p=0.095$).

Missed follow-up appointments were significantly more frequent among rural participants (40.0%) than among urban participants (20.0%, $p=0.017$). Similarly, 45.0% of rural participants had reported an unmet healthcare need, compared with 21.7% of urban participants ($p=0.009$). Satisfaction with healthcare services had been substantially lower in rural areas, with only 51.7% reporting satisfaction compared with 75.0% of urban participants ($p=0.009$).

Overall, the results demonstrated that rural participants had experienced greater geographical, transportation, financial, and medication-access barriers. These inequalities had been accompanied by delayed care, more unmet healthcare needs, poorer perceived health, inadequate chronic disease control, and lower satisfaction with healthcare services. The statistically significant differences observed across several indicators suggested that place of residence had been an important factor associated with healthcare accessibility and health outcomes in the studied population.

DISCUSSION

The present study assessed inequalities in healthcare access and their relationship with health outcomes among rural and urban populations in Pakistan. The findings indicated that healthcare access had remained substantially more limited among rural participants than among their urban counterparts [9]. Rural residents had experienced

greater difficulties in reaching healthcare facilities, obtaining timely medical consultations, accessing diagnostic services, and affording treatment. These disparities suggested that geographical location had played an important role in determining the availability and utilization of healthcare services.

One of the major findings was the difference in physical accessibility to healthcare facilities between rural and urban populations. Rural participants had more frequently reported longer travel distances and transportation difficulties when seeking medical care [10]. In contrast, urban participants had generally lived closer to hospitals, clinics, laboratories, and pharmacies. The greater concentration of healthcare infrastructure and healthcare professionals in urban areas had likely contributed to this difference. Limited transportation options in rural communities had also delayed healthcare-seeking, particularly for individuals requiring urgent or repeated medical attention.

Financial barriers had represented another important contributor to healthcare inequality. Rural participants had more frequently experienced difficulties paying for consultations, diagnostic investigations, and prescribed medications [11]. Although healthcare affordability had remained a concern across both populations, the impact had appeared greater in rural communities because of lower household income, fewer employment opportunities, and increased indirect costs associated with travelling to distant healthcare facilities. Consequently, some rural individuals had postponed treatment or had relied on self-medication and informal healthcare providers.

The study had also demonstrated differences in healthcare utilization. Urban participants had been more likely to seek professional medical care promptly, whereas rural participants had more often delayed consultation until symptoms had become severe. This pattern could have been influenced by limited awareness, transportation problems, financial constraints, and reduced availability of healthcare providers. Delayed utilization had potentially increased the severity of preventable and treatable illnesses and had contributed to poorer health outcomes among rural residents [12].

Health outcomes had consequently appeared to be associated with disparities in healthcare access. Rural participants had demonstrated a greater burden of reported untreated or inadequately treated health problems, while urban participants had generally experienced better access to preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic services. These findings supported the concept that healthcare inequality had extended beyond differences in service availability and had ultimately affected population health [13]. Early diagnosis and continuous management had been particularly important for chronic diseases, where interruptions in healthcare access could have resulted in disease progression and complications.

The findings had also highlighted the importance of strengthening primary healthcare services in rural Pakistan. Improving the availability of basic health units, rural health centers, qualified healthcare professionals,

essential medicines, and diagnostic facilities could have reduced geographical disparities [14]. Better transportation infrastructure and referral systems could also have improved access for patients requiring specialized care. Furthermore, telemedicine and mobile health services could have provided additional opportunities for rural populations to obtain medical advice without travelling long distances [15].

Overall, the study had demonstrated that rural–urban healthcare inequalities had remained an important public health concern in Pakistan. The observed differences in accessibility, affordability, healthcare utilization, and health outcomes suggested that equal distribution of healthcare resources had been necessary to achieve greater health equity. Policies focusing on rural healthcare infrastructure, financial protection, preventive services, health education, and workforce distribution could have reduced these disparities. Addressing the underlying socioeconomic and geographical barriers had therefore been essential for improving healthcare access and achieving more equitable health outcomes across Pakistan.

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that substantial inequalities in healthcare access had existed between rural and urban

populations in Pakistan. Rural participants had experienced greater barriers related to the availability of healthcare facilities, distance and transportation, financial constraints, shortage of qualified healthcare professionals, and limited access to diagnostic and preventive services. These disparities had contributed to delayed healthcare-seeking behavior, reduced utilization of essential services, and poorer reported health outcomes among rural populations. In contrast, urban populations had generally benefited from better healthcare infrastructure, greater availability of specialists, and improved access to diagnostic and treatment facilities. The findings highlighted those socioeconomic conditions and geographic location had played important roles in determining healthcare access and health outcomes. Strengthening rural healthcare infrastructure, improving transportation and referral systems, increasing the availability of trained healthcare workers, and expanding affordable healthcare services had been necessary to reduce these inequalities. Targeted and equitable health policies had therefore been essential for improving health outcomes across Pakistan.

REFERENCES

1. Liaqat K, Zulfiqar H, Jamal A. Health disparities in Pakistan: analyzing the impact of socioeconomic, geographic, and educational determinants on healthcare access and outcomes. *Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research*. 2025 Jan 31;1-6.
2. Hussain Z, Kashif HM, Sheikh TR, Memon SP. Examine how socio-economic inequalities and access to healthcare affect hospitalization rates and patient outcomes in rural and urban hospitals. *Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences*. 2025 Jan 22;8(1):169-81.
3. Afridi JR, Jan SA, Asif MF. Assessing inequality of opportunity in access to maternal healthcare services in Pakistan: A quantitative attempt. *BMC health services research*. 2025 Sep 1;25(1):1167.
4. Durrani F, Maidah, Shaikh F, Alkhalidi M. A comparative policy analysis of health inequities in access to healthcare across low-and high-income contexts: The cases of Pakistan and Canada. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2025 Nov 17;22(11):1735.
5. Ali A, Shabbir M, Ashfaq K. Economic Inequality as a Barrier in the Patient's Health Services Utilization in South Punjab Pakistan. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*. 2026 Mar 21;4(1):4287-97.
6. Kamal A, Shah GH, Hafeez A, Siddiqi M, Owens C. Mapping geographic disparities in healthcare access barriers among married women in Pakistan: Evidence from a nationally representative survey. *InHealthcare* 2025 Sep 26 (Vol. 13, No. 19, p. 2448). MDPI.
7. Haider S, Shabbir S, Tariq M, Nayeem A, Shafique H, Sahitia S. Socioeconomic Determinants of Access to Primary Healthcare in Rural Population of Sindh, Pakistan: Primary Healthcare Access in Rural Sindh: A Socioeconomic Analysis. *Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences*. 2025 Mar 31:228-33.
8. Belay DG, Tessema GA, Meherali S, Lassi ZS. Spatial distribution and determinants of health care access barriers among female Pakistan youths: spatial and multilevel regression analysis. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2025 Sep 2;25(1):1181.
9. Hamid A, Akram N. Socio-economic consequences of horizontal gender inequalities in education and health: Incidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*. 2025 Feb 1;14(1):296-308.
10. Ibupoto MH, Kakepoto H. Socio-Economic Inequalities and Child Mortality in Pakistan: Evidence From the Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017–18. *American Journal of Human Biology*. 2026 Aug;38(8):e70326.
11. Aftab IB, Chakma T, Ahmed A, Haque SM. Socioeconomic inequalities in access to maternal healthcare in South-Asian countries: A systematic review. *Plos one*. 2025 Jun 17;20(6):e0326130.
12. Chaudhry SA, Shakeel R. Addressing Rural-Urban Disparities in Access to Renal Transplantation in Pakistan: A Narrative Review. *INNOVAPATH*. 2025 Mar 25;1(1):7-.
13. Zhang Y, Akram S, Hassan MU, Shaheen M, Shahzad MF. Inequality of opportunity in early childhood development and health among children under five in Pakistan: a multidimensional analysis using MICS data. *Critical Public Health*. 2026 Dec 31;36(1):2600716.
14. Sriram S, Naz L. Inequality of opportunity in child nutrition in Pakistan. *Plos one*. 2025 Feb 21;20(2):e0318425.

15. Mahmood T, Khan A, Nik Ab Malik NM. Bridging the nutrition divide: understanding poverty-linked inequalities in under-five children health in Pakistan. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2025 Dec 5;13:1640318.