

OUTBREAK DETECTION AND RESPONSE CAPACITY OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

Valentina Pangging², Karngam Loyi¹, Dr. Shipra Damir³, Priyanka Negi⁴

²MPH student, Minerva Institute of Management and Technology Sudhowala Dehradun. Email id : valentinapnggng@gmail.com

¹MPH student, Minerva Institute of Management and Technology Sudhowala Dehradun. Email id : karngamloyi333@gmail.com

³Designation - Assistant Professor, Head of Department - Hospital Administration & Public Health, Sri Dev Suman Uttarakhand University, Badshahithol, Tehri, Garhwal, Uttarakhand. Organization- Minerva Institute of Management and Technology, Sudhowala, Dehradun. Orcid Id - 0009-0009-6275-5525. Email Id - shipradamir@minervainstitute.in

⁴Designation: Assistant Professor, Hospital Administration Department, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Dehradun.

Orcid Id: 0009-0009-1207-9717. Email Id: dbmcps.vanshika@dbuu.ac.in

⁴Assistant Professor, Hospital Administration
University / Institution Name and State: Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University (Uttarakhand), City / Pincode: Dehradun (248007)

ORCID ID : 0009000985240284. Email ID: negip4566@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Disease outbreaks can escalate rapidly without timely detection and management. A well-functioning public health system is essential for early outbreak identification and swift action. In Arunachal Pradesh, challenging geography, remote settlements, transport issues, and limited connectivity can hinder outbreak control. This study examined the district public health system's capacity to detect and respond to outbreaks. Using a qualitative approach, data were gathered from 76 health workers involved in surveillance, clinical care, laboratory work, programs, and response efforts. The assessment covered disease surveillance, early reporting, Rapid Response Team operations, lab support, communication, transport, resource availability, and inter-departmental coordination. Results showed the district had a surveillance and response framework in place, but its performance was hampered by staff shortages, heavy workloads, poor communication, transport difficulties, and weak lab support, particularly in remote areas. Frontline workers were key to spotting and reporting suspected outbreaks. Cross-department coordination existed but was inconsistent, typically strengthening only during major events. Overall, the district has a basic outbreak detection and response system, but improvements are needed in early reporting, communication, transport, lab services, RRT readiness, and coordination. Addressing these gaps could strengthen outbreak preparedness and response in Arunachal Pradesh.

Keywords: Disease surveillance, outbreak detection, outbreak response, Rapid Response Team, public health preparedness, Arunachal Pradesh.

How to cite this article: Valentina Pangging² Karngam Loyi¹ Dr. Shipra Damir³ Priyanka Negi⁴. OUTBREAK DETECTION AND RESPONSE CAPACITY OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(84s):778-784. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.84s.86.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Disease outbreaks remain a serious threat to public health systems. Their impact depends not only on the pathogen but also on how quickly the health system detects the event and responds. Early recognition, prompt notification, rapid verification, field investigation, lab confirmation, and control measures are critical to limiting spread and preventing illness and death. At the district level, surveillance systems help identify unusual increases in disease. However, surveillance is only effective when information from health facilities and communities is quickly turned into action. This requires trained staff, clear reporting procedures, reliable communication, lab support, transport, and coordinated decision-making. In India, the Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme (IDSP)

provides a key framework for surveillance and outbreak investigation. District Surveillance Units and Rapid Response Teams are central to this decentralized structure. The effectiveness of these systems varies based on local conditions. A district may have strong formal structures but still face delays if staff are unavailable, communication networks fail, transport is difficult, or labs are far away.

1.2 CONTEXT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Arunachal Pradesh offers a unique setting for studying surveillance and outbreak response. Its mountainous terrain, scattered communities, rough landscapes, and patchy connectivity can limit access to health services and the movement of personnel. These factors affect every stage of outbreak response. A suspected outbreak may be spotted at a

peripheral clinic, but getting that information to the district can be hard. Even after verification, reaching the affected community often requires careful logistical planning. Therefore, assessing outbreak response capacity in Arunachal Pradesh requires looking beyond formal structures and understanding the experiences of health workers who run the system.

1.3 RATIONALE FOR A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

Quantitative indicators can show whether reporting systems or response structures exist, but they may not explain why delays happen. A qualitative case study allows us to understand the experiences of personnel involved in outbreak detection and response. It also helps examine the organizational, geographic, and operational factors that shape system performance. This study treats outbreak preparedness as a process, not just a set of indicators.

The conceptual pathway guiding the study was:
Early recognition → Notification → Verification → Investigation → Lab support → Response → Intersectoral coordination

2. AIM

To explore the outbreak detection and response capacity of the district public health system in Arunachal Pradesh using a qualitative case-study approach.

3. OBJECTIVES

The study aimed to:

1. Explore how disease outbreaks and unusual health events are detected and reported at the district level.
2. Understand the experiences of health personnel involved in outbreak notification and verification.
3. Assess the operational readiness of Rapid Response Teams.
4. Examine the role of laboratory services in outbreak investigation.
5. Identify communication, transport, and logistical barriers affecting outbreak response.
6. Explore inter-sectoral coordination during outbreak preparedness and response.
7. Identify opportunities to strengthen district outbreak preparedness.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The study sought to answer these questions:

1. How do district health staff detect and report suspected outbreaks?
2. What helps or delays outbreak verification and investigation?
3. How do health workers assess the readiness of Rapid Response Teams?
4. What issues affect lab testing and sample transport?
5. How do location, transport and communication shape outbreak response?
6. How do health authorities coordinate with other government departments?
7. What system changes are needed to improve outbreak preparedness?

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

A qualitative case study was used. The case focused on the district-level outbreak detection and response system, covering surveillance, response teams, staff, resources and coordination. A qualitative approach was chosen to understand the real-world experiences and views of personnel involved in outbreak work.

Study Setting

The research took place in one district of Arunachal Pradesh. It covered the district public health office and selected peripheral health facilities involved in disease surveillance, reporting, investigation and outbreak control.

Participants

The study included 76 participants from different roles in the district health system:

- Clinical services
- Nursing
- Disease surveillance
- Program management
- Laboratory services
- Public health administration
- Outbreak investigation and response

Including people from various roles allowed the study to capture a range of views on how the outbreak response system works.

Sampling

Participants were chosen through purposive sampling. Selection was based on their knowledge or experience of surveillance and outbreak response activities, and their involvement in district-level processes.

Eligibility Criteria

To take part, participants had to:

- Work in the selected district's public health system
- Have experience in surveillance, clinical care, lab work, programs or outbreak response
- Know enough about district surveillance and response to describe it
- Give informed consent

Data Collection

Data was collected using a qualitative interview guide. Topics covered included:

- Spotting unusual disease events
- Surveillance and reporting
- Notification and verification
- RRT activation and readiness
- Field investigations
- Lab testing
- Sample transport
- Communication systems
- Transport and logistics
- Staff availability
- Emergency supplies
- Coordination between sectors
- Ideas for improving preparedness

OUTBREAK DETECTION AND RESPONSE CAPACITY OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

Interviews focused on what participants had experienced and thought, not on numerical measures of readiness.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was used. The process included:

- Getting familiar with the data
- Finding meaningful statements and repeated ideas
- Creating initial codes
- Grouping codes into categories
- Identifying broader themes
- Comparing views across professional groups
- Interpreting themes in relation to district outbreak readiness

The analysis paid special attention to what helped or slowed the outbreak response process.

TRUSTWORTHINESS

To strengthen credibility, the study included views from people in different parts of the health system. The analysis focused on patterns that came up repeatedly, not on single observations. Findings were organized around clear themes linked to the stages of outbreak detection and response.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Participation was voluntary. Participants were told the study's purpose and that they could take part or withdraw freely. Confidentiality and anonymity were protected during analysis and reporting. No personal identifying information appears in the results. Need to further rephrase or expand any section? The Advanced Rewriter tool can help you refine the entire passage.

RESULTS

Analysis of perspectives from 76 participants produced six major themes.

THEME 1: SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS EXIST BUT WORK UNEVENLY

Participants acknowledged that disease surveillance and reporting systems are in place. But how well they functioned varied across facilities and locations. Factors that shaped reporting included staff workload, trained personnel availability, communication connectivity and how accessible peripheral health facilities were. Surveillance depended heavily on frontline staff spotting unusual events and reporting them quickly.

Interpretation: The district has the basic structure for surveillance, but local conditions affect how well it works. This shows the gap between having a system and making it function.

THEME 2: FRONTLINE HEALTH WORKERS ARE ESSENTIAL FOR EARLY DETECTION

Participants stressed that frontline health workers are key to recognizing unusual illness patterns. Peripheral facilities are often the first place where a case increase becomes visible. Early detection depends on frontline workers being able to:

- Spot unusual clinical patterns

- Identify clusters of cases
- Compare current observations to expected patterns
- Report concerns quickly
- Start the reporting process
- Workload and limited refresher training could affect this ability.

Interpretation District surveillance effectiveness starts at the periphery. Strengthening frontline capacity would likely improve how quickly and accurately early warnings happen.

THEME 3: Delays Happen Between Detection and Response**

Participants described clear notification and investigation processes, but also noted delays between spotting an event and taking action. The step from notification to verification was especially important. Delays could come from:

- Trouble reaching the right person
- Uncertainty about whether something was a real outbreak
- Limited staff availability
- Transport problems
- Long distances
- Other program duties competing for attention

A signal might be reported quickly, but still take time before a team reaches the area.

Interpretation: Outbreak response should not be judged only by whether an alert was reported. The time between signal and action matters just as much.

THEME 4: GEOGRAPHY AND TRANSPORT PROBLEMS HURT FIELD RESPONSE

Transport was a major factor in outbreak investigations. Participants described difficulty reaching remote or hard-to-reach places, especially when quick deployment was needed. Transport limits affected:

- Getting investigation teams to sites
- Collecting samples
- Delivering supplies
- Referring patients
- Following up with affected communities

Arunachal Pradesh's geography directly shapes how fast and practical outbreak investigations can be.

Interpretation: District plans should account for geography rather than relying only on standard procedures. Pre-arranged transport options would be especially useful for remote areas.

THEME 5: COMMUNICATION AND LAB LINKS AFFECT RESPONSE QUALITY

Communication was another key part of outbreak response. Participants described problems with unreliable connectivity and difficulty sending information from remote facilities.

Communication issues affected multiple response stages: Detection → Notification → Verification → Team mobilization → Lab coordination → Feedback

Lab support was also important. Participants highlighted the need for good arrangements for sample collection, packaging, transport, testing and result reporting.

Interpretation: Lab readiness should be seen as a full operational process, not just having lab facilities. Communication systems need backup options for areas where mobile or internet connections are unreliable.

THEME 6: RAPID RESPONSE TEAMS EXIST BUT NEED ONGOING STRENGTHENING

Participants recognized the value of Rapid Response Teams (RRTs) for outbreak investigation. But having an RRT was not enough by itself. Operational readiness requires:

- Properly trained staff
- Clear roles
- Updated contact information
- Available transport
- Emergency supplies
- Personal protective equipment
- Communication tools
- Lab connections
- Regular practice drills

RRT readiness could vary depending on staff availability and other responsibilities.

Interpretation: There is a big difference between having an RRT and having one ready to deploy immediately. Regular drills and readiness checks can help find gaps before a real outbreak.

THEME 7: INTERSECTORAL COORDINATION OFTEN HAPPENS DURING OUTBREAKS, NOT IN PLANNING

Participants said coordinating with other departments is necessary for some outbreaks. Depending on the situation, this could involve veterinary services, food safety, water and sanitation, education, local government and disaster agencies. Coordination tended to improve once an outbreak was clearly recognized. But routine preparedness activities like joint planning, drills and regular cross-sector communication were less consistent.

INTERPRETATION:

Integrated Findings

The findings show that district outbreak response depends on how several interconnected components work together. The process can be mapped as: Frontline recognition → Notification and communication → District verification → RRT activation → Field investigation → Specimen collection and lab referral → Risk assessment → Control measures → Intersectoral coordination and follow-up

Weakness at any stage can delay the entire response. Timely recognition means little if communication is slow. Rapid deployment may not lead to quick confirmation if sample transport and lab referral are weak. Outbreak preparedness should be treated as a system, not a collection of separate program parts.

DISCUSSION

This qualitative case study explored outbreak detection and response in a district public health system in Arunachal Pradesh, based on perspectives from 76 participants. The district has an established surveillance and outbreak response structure. But how well it works depends on local conditions and operational constraints. Frontline workers matter most for early detection. Surveillance depends on peripheral staff spotting unusual patterns and reporting them. Strengthening surveillance means more than just improving reporting forms or electronic systems. It requires ongoing training, supportive supervision and clear escalation procedures. The gap between notification and response is real. Participants described events being recognized but verification or field investigation taking extra time. This shows the need to monitor the full response pathway, not just reporting completeness. Geography directly shapes response capacity. In a challenging terrain like Arunachal Pradesh, transport is not just a logistics issue. It affects how quickly investigations and lab diagnoses happen.

Communication is a cross-cutting problem. Fast two-way communication between peripheral facilities, district authorities, labs and other sectors is essential. Where network coverage is unreliable, backup communication options should be part of preparedness plans. RRTs are recognized but readiness varies. Participants saw Rapid Response Teams as important, but noted that having a team on paper does not mean it is ready. Regular training, clear roles, transport, equipment and simulation drills are needed. Intersectoral coordination improves during emergencies but needs routine strengthening. Many outbreaks involve food, water or animals and need action from multiple departments. Coordination gets better when a crisis hits, but routine planning and communication are weaker. Overall, the district has important structural capacity but needs to strengthen the links between those capacities.

A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF DISTRICT OUTBREAK RESPONSE

Based on the findings, district outbreak preparedness can be described through five connected domains:

1. Detection Capacity

- Frontline recognition
- Routine surveillance
- Event-based surveillance
- Community-level signals

2. Response Capacity

- Verification
- RRT activation
- Field investigation
- Control measures

3. Support Capacity

- Laboratory services

OUTBREAK DETECTION AND RESPONSE CAPACITY OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

- Specimen transport
- Emergency supplies
- Human resources

4. Connectivity Capacity

- Communication
- Transportation
- Information sharing

5. Coordination Capacity

- District administration
- Veterinary services
- Food safety
- Water and sanitation
- Education
- Disaster management

The overall effectiveness of the outbreak response system depends on how these five domains interact.

STRENGTHS OF THE STUDY

The study has several strengths.

- It uses a qualitative case study design to examine how the outbreak response system actually works, rather than relying only on numbers.
- Including 76 participants from different professional roles gives multiple perspectives on surveillance and response.
- The study treats outbreak preparedness as a complete process, from early detection through investigation and coordination.
- It pays close attention to context factors like geography, transport and communication that matter especially in Arunachal Pradesh.
- The findings offer a practical basis for developing preparedness interventions specific to this district.

LIMITATIONS

The study also has limitations.

- As a qualitative case study, the findings provide in-depth understanding of one setting and should not be automatically generalized to all districts in Arunachal Pradesh.
- Participants' views may be shaped by their individual experiences and professional roles.
- The study focuses on perceived and experienced system functioning, which may not capture every documented aspect of outbreak performance.
- Qualitative findings depend on the quality and depth of participant responses.
- The study should clearly separate qualitative participant findings from any numerical administrative or surveillance indicators used to supplement the case description.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Strengthen Frontline Surveillance

Provide regular refresher training to frontline health workers on detecting and reporting unusual disease events.

Establish Clear Escalation Pathways

Maintain a simple, clearly understood pathway at the district level:

Signal → Notification → Verification → Risk assessment → RRT activation → Investigation

Define responsibilities at each stage.

Improve RRT Readiness

Conduct regular training and simulation exercises for Rapid Response Teams. Keep team rosters, contact details, transportation plans, and emergency supplies up to date.

Strengthen Communication

Identify backup communication methods for areas with poor mobile or internet coverage.

Improve Transportation Preparedness

Include pre-arranged transportation in district outbreak response plans, especially for remote and hard to reach locations.

Strengthen Laboratory Referral

Create a clear specimen referral pathway connecting peripheral facilities to appropriate labs. Include systems for transport, result communication, and follow-up.

Institutionalize Intersectoral Coordination

Make district level coordination mechanisms active routinely, not only during outbreaks. Hold regular meetings and joint preparedness exercises with all relevant sectors.

Conduct Simulation Exercises

Run tabletop and field exercises periodically to test the complete outbreak response pathway.

Introduce After-Action Reviews

After major outbreaks or simulations, conduct structured reviews to identify:

- what worked well
- where delays occurred
- what resources were insufficient
- which communication gaps appeared
- what corrective actions are needed

CONCLUSION

This qualitative case study examined outbreak detection and response in a district public health system in Arunachal Pradesh, based on interviews with 76 health system participants. The district has a solid foundation for disease surveillance and outbreak response. However, effectiveness depends on how well surveillance, communication, human resources, transportation, lab support, RRT readiness, and intersectoral coordination work together. Frontline health workers are essential for identifying unusual events, while district level teams handle verification, investigation, and coordination. Gaps can still arise during the shift from detection to action. Geographic isolation, transportation barriers, and poor communication are major challenges. Laboratory referral and specimen transport also need ongoing attention. RRTs are a useful mechanism, but

OUTBREAK DETECTION AND RESPONSE CAPACITY OF THE DISTRICT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH: A QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

their success relies on continuous training, resources, transport, and operational readiness.

This study suggests that outbreak preparedness in Arunachal Pradesh must go beyond having formal structures in place. It needs to become functional, timely, and context specific. Strengthening frontline surveillance, rapid verification, RRT readiness, lab referral systems, emergency communication, transport, and routine cross-sector coordination can improve the district's ability to detect and respond to outbreaks. A resilient district outbreak response system should ensure that an unusual event noticed at the periphery can be communicated quickly, verified promptly, investigated efficiently, and followed by coordinated public health action.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE

These findings have practical implications for several groups.

- **For district health administrators:** monitor the entire outbreak response pathway, not just reporting.
- **For frontline health workers:** strengthen training in early recognition and notification.
- **For Rapid Response Teams:** include regular simulation, equipment checks, transport planning, and updated communication in preparedness.
- **For laboratory services:** clearly define specimen referral and result communication pathways.
- **For district administration:** make cross-sector preparedness routine through regular coordination and joint exercises.

For policy makers: account for local transport, communication, and accessibility constraints when designing outbreak preparedness strategies for geographically challenging states.

PROPOSED DISTRICT ACTION FRAMEWORK

Priority Area	Proposed Action	Expected Outcome
Surveillance	Strengthen frontline and event-based surveillance	Earlier detection
Notification	Establish clear escalation pathways	Faster communication
Verification	Define responsibilities and response procedures Define responsibilities and	Faster verification

	response procedures	
RRT	Conduct regular training and simulation	Improved readiness
Transportation	Establish emergency transport arrangements	Faster field deployment
Communication	Develop alternative communication channels	Improved connectivity
Laboratory	Strengthen specimen referral and transport	Faster confirmation
Coordination	Conduct routine intersectoral meetings	Better collaborative response
Preparedness	Conduct simulation exercises	Identification of system gaps
Learning	Conduct after-action reviews	Continuous improvement

FINAL STATEMENT

The main finding of this case study is that outbreak response capacity depends on more than having individual structures in place. Effective preparedness requires surveillance information to move quickly through the health system and lead to timely, coordinated action. For a geographically challenging state like Arunachal Pradesh, strengthening these connections is vital to building a responsive and resilient district public health system.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. **Early warning alert and response (EWAR) in emergencies: an operational guide.** Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023. World Health Organization
2. World Health Organization. **International Health Regulations (2005).** 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. World Health Organization+1
3. World Health Organization. **International Health Regulations (2005): monitoring and evaluation framework.** Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Iris
4. World Health Organization. **National outbreak response handbook by the Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network (GOARN).** Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. World Health Organization

5. World Health Organization. **Early Warning, Alert and Response System (EWARS)**. Geneva: World Health Organization. World Health Organization
6. World Health Organization. **Framework and toolkit for infection prevention and control in outbreak preparedness, readiness and response at the health care facility level**. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022.
7. World Health Organization. **A practical guide for developing and strengthening national outbreak preparedness and response plans**. Geneva: World Health Organization.
8. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. **Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme (IDSP): operational guidelines**. New Delhi: Government of India.
9. National Centre for Disease Control. **Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme (IDSP)**. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.
10. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. **National Centre for Disease Control: guidelines for outbreak investigation and response**. New Delhi: Government of India.
11. Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Department of Health and Family Welfare. **Annual report/health department reports, Arunachal Pradesh**. Itanagar: Government of Arunachal Pradesh.
12. World Health Organization. **Joint External Evaluation Tool: International Health Regulations (2005), 3rd ed**. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022.