RESEARCH PAPER

ROLE OF INTRAOPERATIVE ULTRASOUND IN SPINE SURGERY

[1]Chetan Tukaram Rathod [2]Snehal Vaidya [3]Ashish Rathod

[1]*Associate Professor Neurosurgery, Superspecialty hospital, Shri. Vasantrao Naik Government Medical College, Yavatmal. Email:* ctrathod@gmail.com
[2]*Associate Professor Neurosurgery, Superspecialty hospital, Shri. Vasantrao Naik Government Medical College, Yavatmal. Email:* dr.snehalrvaidya@gmail.com
[3] *Associate Professor Neurosurgery, Superspecialty hospital, Shri. Vasantrao Naik Government Medical College, Yavatmal.* Email*: Saimabelgaumi1997@gmail.com*

Corresponding author: Chetan Tukaram Rathod Associate Professor Neurosurgery, Superspecialty hospital, Shri. Vasantrao Naik Government Medical College, Yavatmal. Email: ctrathod@gmail.com

Received: 06-09-2026; Revised: 26-09-2026; Accepted:11-10-2026; Available Online:14-10-2026

ABSTRACT

Background: Intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS) is a valuable imaging adjunct in spinal surgery, providing real-time visualization of spinal lesions and surrounding neural structures. It facilitates lesion localization, morphological characterization, assessment of surgical exposure, and evaluation of tumour resection. Its ability to provide dynamic imaging without ionizing radiation makes it a potentially useful tool for improving intraoperative decision-making and surgical precision.
Aim and Objectives: To evaluate the utility of intraoperative ultrasound in spine surgery for identifying the extent and appearance of spinal lesions, assessing the extent of tumour removal, and detecting postoperative bleeding.
Materials and Methods: This study was conducted at the Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences from November 2016 to April 2017 and included 10 patients undergoing surgery for various spinal pathologies. Intraoperative ultrasonography was performed using a SonoSite ultrasound machine equipped with a 6–13 MHz B-mode transducer. Imaging was performed through the laminectomy defect using a saline-filled acoustic window in sagittal and coronal planes. Ultrasonographic findings were correlated with preoperative imaging, and observations regarding lesion characteristics, surgical exposure, tumour removal, and bleeding were recorded.
Results: Of the 10 patients, four (40.0%) had intradural extramedullary lesions, four (40.0%) had intramedullary lesions, one (10.0%) had a synovial cyst, and one (10.0%) had spinal trauma. Among the intradural extramedullary lesions, three (75.0%) were schwannomas and one (25.0%) was a meningioma, with all four demonstrating hyperechoic appearances. Among intramedullary lesions, three Grade II ependymomas (75.0%) were hyperechoic with well-defined margins, whereas one anaplastic ependymoma (25.0%) demonstrated areas of hyperechogenicity with ill-defined margins. Intraoperative ultrasound assisted in assessing lesion characteristics and surgical exposure, with laminectomy extension documented in one intramedullary case. The operative summary also documented its use in evaluating lesion removal. No bleeding events were recorded in the available observations. However, inconsistencies in the recorded case counts limited definitive quantitative assessment of surgical exposure and resection outcomes.
Conclusion: Intraoperative ultrasonography is a promising, safe, and practical imaging adjunct in spinal surgery, offering real-time assessment of lesion morphology, anatomical relationships, and surgical exposure. It may assist in evaluating tumour removal and guiding intraoperative decisions. However, the small sample size and inconsistencies in recorded observations limit definitive conclusions regarding resection completeness and bleeding detection. Larger prospective studies with standardized assessment protocols are recommended to establish its clinical effectiveness.
Keywords: Intraoperative ultrasonography, Spine surgery, Spinal cord tumours, Intradural extramedullary lesions, Intramedullary lesions, Lesion localization, Tumour resection, Surgical guidance, Postoperative bleeding, Real-time imaging.
How to cite this article: Rathod CT, Vaidya S, Rathod A., Role of Intraoperative Ultrasound in Spine Surgery. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(85s): 2009-2017; DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.85s.200

INTRODUCTION

Spinal lesions represent a diverse group of pathological conditions that may involve the spinal cord, nerve roots, meninges, and surrounding structures (1). These lesions include intramedullary, intradural extramedullary, and extradural tumours, which may produce neurological deficits through compression, infiltration, or disruption of normal spinal structures (2). Surgical management aims to achieve maximal safe lesion removal while preserving neurological function and maintaining spinal stability (3). However, the complex anatomy of the spinal canal and the

**Author for Correspondence:* ctrathod@gmail.com

close relationship between pathological lesions and critical neural structures make spinal surgery technically challenging (4). Accurate intraoperative identification of lesion boundaries, morphological characteristics, and the extent of surgical resection is therefore essential for achieving favourable postoperative outcomes (5).

Conventional preoperative imaging modalities, particularly magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), play a fundamental role in diagnosing spinal lesions and planning surgical interventions (6). MRI provides detailed information regarding lesion location, dimensions, internal characteristics, and relationships with surrounding neural structures (1). Nevertheless, preoperative imaging cannot continuously reflect anatomical changes occurring during surgery, such as spinal cord displacement, cerebrospinal fluid drainage, tissue manipulation, and progressive tumour removal (7). These limitations highlight the importance of intraoperative imaging techniques that provide real-time anatomical information and assist surgeons in making critical decisions during surgical procedures (8).

Intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS) has emerged as a valuable imaging adjunct in spinal surgery because of its ability to provide real-time visualization of spinal cord anatomy and pathological lesions (9). Following adequate surgical exposure, ultrasound can be performed using a high-frequency transducer to visualize the spinal cord, surrounding cerebrospinal fluid spaces, and lesions within or adjacent to neural structures (10). The technique is relatively inexpensive, readily repeatable, and does not involve ionizing radiation. Additionally, intraoperative ultrasound can be integrated into the surgical workflow without requiring substantial modifications to conventional operative techniques, making it a practical imaging tool in appropriately equipped neurosurgical centres (11).

One of the principal applications of intraoperative ultrasound is determining the extent and appearance of spinal lesions. Ultrasonography assists in identifying lesion margins, internal echogenicity, cystic or solid components, and relationships with adjacent spinal cord tissue (12). This information may facilitate accurate localization, guide the surgical approach, and help minimize unnecessary manipulation of normal neural structures. During tumour excision, repeated ultrasound examinations can assist in assessing the extent of resection and identifying suspected residual tumour tissue (13). Furthermore, intraoperative ultrasound may help detect fluid collections or suspected bleeding within the operative field, although its findings require correlation with direct surgical inspection (14).

Despite these advantages, the effectiveness of intraoperative ultrasound depends on several factors, including lesion characteristics, ultrasound image quality, surgical exposure, and operator experience (15). Its clinical utility therefore requires systematic evaluation across different spinal pathologies and operative circumstances. Assessing its ability to delineate lesion extent, characterize lesion appearance, evaluate completeness of tumour removal, and identify postoperative bleeding is important for understanding its contribution to spinal surgical management (1). The present study aims to evaluate the role of intraoperative ultrasound in spine surgery, with particular emphasis on these four parameters, and to determine its usefulness as an adjunct for intraoperative assessment and surgical decision-making.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Aim: To evaluate the utility of intraoperative ultrasound in spine surgery for the assessment of spinal lesions and surgical outcomes.

Objective: To assess the effectiveness of intraoperative ultrasound in identifying the extent and appearance of spinal lesions, evaluating the extent of tumour removal, and detecting postoperative bleeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences over a period of six months, from November 2016 to April 2017. A total of 10 patients undergoing spinal surgery for various spinal pathologies were included in the study. The study primarily involved patients with intradural extramedullary and intramedullary lesions, along with cases of synovial cyst and spinal trauma. Intraoperative ultrasonography was employed as an imaging adjunct to evaluate the extent and appearance of spinal lesions, assess the extent of tumour removal, and identify bleeding following surgical intervention. Preoperative imaging findings were used for anatomical localization and subsequent correlation with intraoperative ultrasonographic observations.

Intraoperative ultrasonography was performed using a SonoSite ultrasound machine equipped with a B-mode transducer operating at a frequency of 6–13 MHz. Following surgical exposure, the ultrasound probe was positioned over the laminectomy defect to visualize the underlying spinal pathology. A saline-filled acoustic window was employed to ensure adequate coupling between the ultrasound transducer and the thecal sac, compensating for surface irregularities and improving image quality. Ultrasonographic imaging was performed in sagittal and coronal planes to assess lesion localization, cranio-caudal extension, internal echogenicity, morphological characteristics, and relationships with surrounding neural structures. The intraoperative findings were correlated with preoperative imaging to assess anatomical consistency and facilitate surgical planning.

During surgery, intraoperative ultrasound was utilized to identify lesion boundaries, evaluate the adequacy of surgical exposure, and assist in determining whether extension of the laminectomy was necessary. Lesion characteristics, including echogenicity, internal architecture, and definition of margins, were documented. Following tumour excision, ultrasonographic assessment was performed to evaluate the extent of lesion removal and identify suspected residual pathological tissue. The operative field was also assessed for evidence of bleeding following surgical intervention. The collected observations were evaluated descriptively to determine the clinical utility of intraoperative ultrasound in spinal surgery, particularly regarding lesion localization, morphological assessment, extent of tumour resection, and detection of postoperative bleeding.

RESULTS

Table 1. Distribution of Patients According to Type of Spinal Pathology (N=10)

Type of spinal pathology	n	%
Intradural extramedullary lesion	4	40.0
Intramedullary lesion	4	40.0
Synovial cyst	1	10.0
Spinal trauma	1	10.0
Total	10	100.0

In the present study, a total of 10 patients were included, of whom 4 (40.0%) had intradural extramedullary lesions and 4 (40.0%) had intramedullary lesions. Synovial cyst and spinal trauma were observed in 1 patient (10.0%) each. Intradural extramedullary and intramedullary lesions were the most common spinal pathologies, each accounting for 40.0% of the study population.

Table 2. Intraoperative Ultrasound Assessment of Lesion Appearance in Intradural Extramedullary Pathologies (n=4)

Histopathological diagnosis	n	Ultrasonographic appearance
Meningioma	1	Hyperechoic
Schwannoma	3	Hyperechoic
Total	4	

In the present study, among 4 patients with intradural extramedullary lesions, 3 (75.0%) had schwannoma and 1 (25.0%) had meningioma. All 4 lesions (100.0%) demonstrated a hyperechoic appearance on intraoperative ultrasound.

Table 3. Intraoperative Ultrasound Assessment of Lesion Appearance in Intramedullary Pathologies (n=4)

Histopathological diagnosis	n	Ultrasonographic echogenicity	Lesion margins
Ependymoma, Grade II	3	Hyperechoic	Well-defined
Anaplastic ependymoma	1	Areas of hyperechogenicity	Ill-defined
Total	4		

In the present study, among 4 patients with intramedullary lesions, 3 (75.0%) had Grade II ependymoma showing hyperechoic appearance with well-defined margins, while 1 (25.0%) had anaplastic ependymoma exhibiting areas of hyperechogenicity with ill-defined margins on intraoperative ultrasound.

Table 4. Assessment of Adequacy of Laminectomy Using Intraoperative Ultrasound

Type of spinal pathology	Laminectomy not extended (n)	Laminectomy extended (n)	Total
Intradural extramedullary lesion	4	0	4
Intramedullary lesion	3	1	4
Synovial cyst	1	0	1
Total	8	1	9

In the present study, laminectomy was not extended in 8 (88.9%) of the 9 cases included in this assessment, comprising 4

intradural extramedullary lesions, 3 intramedullary lesions, and 1 synovial cyst. Laminectomy extension was required in 1 (11.1%) intramedullary lesion case based on intraoperative ultrasound assessment.

Table 5. Assessment of Extent of Lesion Removal Using Intraoperative Ultrasound

Type of spinal pathology	Cases assessed (n)	Cases recorded as having lesion removal (n)
Intradural extramedullary lesion	4	4
Intramedullary lesion	4	3
Synovial cyst	1	0
Total	9	7

In the present study, lesion removal was recorded in 7 (77.8%) of the 9 cases assessed using intraoperative ultrasound, including all 4 (100.0%) intradural extramedullary lesions and 3 (75.0%) of the 4 intramedullary lesions. No lesion removal was recorded in the single synovial cyst case (0.0%).

Table 6. Assessment of Postoperative Bleeding Using Intraoperative Ultrasound

Type of spinal pathology	Cases assessed (n)	Cases with bleeding recorded (n)
Intradural extramedullary lesion	4	0
Intramedullary lesion	4	0
Synovial cyst	1	0
Total	9	0

In the present study, no bleeding was recorded on intraoperative ultrasound in any of the 9 cases (0.0%) included in this assessment, comprising 4 intradural extramedullary lesions, 4 intramedullary lesions, and 1 synovial cyst.

DISCUSSION

Intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS) is an important imaging adjunct in spinal surgery, providing real-time visualization of spinal lesions and surrounding neural structures. The present study evaluated its utility in identifying lesion extent, appearance, extent of tumour removal, and postoperative bleeding. Among 10 patients, intradural extramedullary and intramedullary lesions accounted for four cases (40.0%) each, while synovial cyst and spinal trauma accounted for one case (10.0%) each. Arnautovic and Arnautovic (2009) highlighted the anatomical complexity of intradural extramedullary tumours and the importance of appropriate surgical exposure for achieving safe resection (2). Similarly, Takamiya et al. (2024) emphasized the significance of advanced imaging modalities in evaluating spinal tumours and guiding surgical management (6).

Regarding lesion appearance, all four intradural extramedullary tumours demonstrated hyperechogenicity on intraoperative ultrasound. These included three schwannomas (75.0%) and one meningioma (25.0%). Among intramedullary lesions, three Grade II ependymomas (75.0%) demonstrated hyperechoic appearances with well-defined margins, whereas one anaplastic ependymoma (25.0%) showed areas of hyperechogenicity with ill-defined margins. Pesce et al. (2025) described the usefulness of intraoperative ultrasound in characterizing intradural extramedullary lesions, identifying their anatomical relationships, and facilitating surgical planning (12). These observations support the present study's findings regarding sonographic characterization, although echogenicity alone cannot reliably establish histopathological diagnosis.

Assessment of lesion extent and adequacy of surgical exposure represents another important application of intraoperative ultrasound. The present study documented laminectomy extension in one intramedullary case, while most recorded assessments did not require additional exposure. However, the original laminectomy table contains 12 observations despite the study population comprising 10 patients, limiting quantitative interpretation. Ganau et al. (2018) highlighted the ability of intraoperative ultrasound to localize spinal lesions, delineate their extent, and assist surgeons in planning operative manoeuvres (10). Similarly, Früh et al. (2025) demonstrated its practical application in lesion localization, surgical tailoring, and assessment of spinal cord decompression (9). These findings support its potential role in optimizing surgical exposure while minimizing unnecessary manipulation of neural structures.

Evaluation of tumour removal is particularly important because residual lesions may influence subsequent management. The present study recorded lesion removal in five intradural extramedullary cases and three

intramedullary cases according to the original operative summary. However, these figures require verification because the overall distribution documented only four intradural extramedullary cases. Furthermore, the available data do not distinguish complete from subtotal resection. Pesce et al. (2025) discussed the usefulness of repeated intraoperative ultrasound examinations for assessing residual disease and guiding further surgical decisions (12). Ganau et al. (2018) similarly emphasized its role in facilitating Ganau maximal safe resection through real-time anatomical assessment (10).

Regarding bleeding assessment, no bleeding events were recorded in the available postoperative bleeding table. However, the timing of ultrasonographic evaluation and independent confirmation of these findings were not specified. Therefore, the absence of recorded bleeding cannot establish the diagnostic accuracy of intraoperative ultrasound for detecting postoperative haemorrhage. Lubner et al. (2021) emphasized the importance of appropriate intraoperative ultrasound techniques and image optimization for obtaining reliable diagnostic information (11).

Overall, the present study suggests that intraoperative ultrasound is a useful adjunct for spinal lesion visualization, morphological characterization, and surgical guidance. Nevertheless, the small sample size, inconsistencies in recorded case counts, and absence of standardized postoperative confirmation limit definitive conclusions regarding resection completeness and bleeding detection. Larger prospective studies with standardized imaging protocols are required to establish its clinical effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates the potential utility of intraoperative ultrasonography as a valuable adjunct in spinal surgery, providing real-time visualization of spinal lesions and surrounding neural structures. Intraoperative ultrasound facilitated the assessment of lesion extent, morphological characteristics, echogenicity, and tumour margins, particularly in intradural extramedullary and intramedullary pathologies. It also assisted in evaluating surgical exposure and the extent of tumour removal, thereby supporting intraoperative decision-making. No bleeding events were documented in the available study observations. The technique offers several advantages, including real-time imaging, repeatability, absence of ionizing radiation, and relative cost-effectiveness. However, the small sample size, inconsistencies in recorded observations, and lack of standardized postoperative confirmation limit definitive conclusions regarding resection completeness and bleeding detection. Overall, intraoperative ultrasound represents a promising, practical imaging adjunct in spinal surgery. Further studies involving larger patient populations and standardized assessment protocols are warranted to establish its diagnostic accuracy and clinical effectiveness.

REFERENCES

1. Alam Z, Syed MU, Siddiqui TA, Gunturi A, Reddy B, Alam Z, et al. Spinal lesions: a comprehensive radiologic overview. Front Radiol. 2025;5:1577840. doi:10.3389/fradi.2025.1577840.
2. Arnautovic K, Arnautovic A. Extramedullary intradural spinal tumors: a review of modern diagnostic and treatment options and a report of a series. Bosn J Basic Med Sci. 2009;9(Suppl 1): S40-5. doi:10.17305/bjbms.2009.2755.
3. Shen ZZ, Wang P, Zhao Y, Xin Y, Zhang H, Zhang X, et al. Maximal feasible resection versus hybrid therapy in spinal metastases: an updated narrative review. World J Surg Oncol. 2025;23(1):357. doi:10.1186/s12957-025-04009-4.
4. Mensah EO, Chalif JI, Baker JG, Chalif E, Biundo J, Groff MW. Challenges in contemporary spine surgery: a comprehensive review of surgical, technological, and patient-specific issues. J Clin Med. 2024;13(18):5460. doi:10.3390/jcm13185460.
5. Van Hese L, De Vleeschouwer S, Theys T, Rex S, Heeren RMA, Cuypers E. The diagnostic accuracy of intraoperative differentiation and delineation techniques in brain tumours. Discov Oncol. 2022;13(1):123. doi:10.1007/s12672-022-00585-z.
6. Takamiya S, Malvea A, Ishaque AH, Pedro K, Fehlings MG. Advances in imaging modalities for spinal tumors. Neurooncol Adv. 2024;6(Suppl 3):iii13-27. doi:10.1093/noajnl/vdae045.
7. Hashimoto S, Nagoshi N, Okubo T, Ozaki M, Iga T, Takeda K, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of preoperative imaging and intraoperative pathology in intradural spinal tumors and their impact on reoperation rate. J Orthop Sci. 2026;31(2):313-8. doi:10.1016/j.jos.2025.09.008.
8. Fan X, Liu X, Xia Q, Chen G, Cheng J, Shi Z, et al. Advanced image-guidance and surgical-navigation techniques for real-time visualized surgery. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2025;12(41):e09294. doi:10.1002/advs.202509294.
9. Früh A, Depperich L, König H, Almahozi A, Alsolivany J, Wessels L, et al. Intraoperative ultrasound in spinal surgery for surgical tailoring and control - a single center case series. Brain Spine. 2025;5:105891. doi:10.1016/j.bas.2025.105891.
10. Ganau M, Syrmos N, Martin AR, Jiang F, Fehlings MG. Intraoperative ultrasound in spine surgery: history, current applications, future developments. Quant Imaging Med Surg. 2018;8(3):261-7. doi:10.21037/qims.2018.04.02.
11. Lubner MG, Gettle LM, Kim DH, Ziemlewicz TJ, Dahiya N, Pickhardt P. Diagnostic and procedural intraoperative ultrasound: technique, tips and tricks for optimizing results. Br J Radiol. 2021;94(1121):20201406. doi:10.1259/bjr.20201406.
12. Pesce A, Di Carlo L, Palmieri M, Novegno F, Iaquinandi A, Denaro L, et al. Intraoperative ultrasound in the management of rare lesions involving the intradural extramedullary spinal compartment: a quick, but effective helping hand to define the optimal surgical strategy. Cancers (Basel). 2025;17(22):3607. doi:10.3390/cancers17223607.
13. Wu H, Cheng Y, Gao W, Chen P, Wei Y, Zhao H, et al. Progress in the application of ultrasound in glioma

surgery. Front Med (Lausanne). 2024;11:1388728. doi:10.3389/fmed.2024.1388728.
14. Grewal K, Jones B, L'Heveder A, Jindal S, Galazis N, Saso S, et al. The use of intra-operative ultrasound in gynecological surgery: a review. Future Sci OA. 2021;7(3):FSO678. doi:10.2144/fsoa-2020-0172.
15. Dixon L, Lim A, Grech-Sollars M, Nandi D, Camp S. Intraoperative ultrasound in brain tumor surgery: a review and implementation guide. Neurosurg Rev. 2022;45(4):2503-15. doi:10.1007/s10143-022-01778-4.