

## Teaching Competency in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: A Review of Teachers' Attitudes, Adaptability, and Professional Commitment

Pratima devi<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. Anjana sonker<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>research scholar Achary Narendra dev Nagar Nigam mahila Mahavidyalaya Kanpur

<sup>2</sup>Assistant professor A.N.D.N.N.M. Mahavidyalay Kanpur

**Received:** 1st August, 2026; **Revised:** 5th August, 2026; **Accepted:** 8th August, 2026; **Available Online:** 20th August, 2026

### ABSTRACT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into these aspects of educational environments has initiated more of a paradigm shift in pedagogical structural methodologies, assessment strategies, and the use of fundamental role of educators. This comprehensive review always seems to be examined the current state of teaching competency in the age of AI, focusing here on three pivotal dimensions: teachers' attitudes towards AI, their adaptability of such aspects in utilizing intelligent tools, and their professional commitment in an era of rapid technological disruption-based aspects. Drawing upon a more natured based synthesis of recent literature, we therefore explore how factors such as AI literacy, generative based AI competence, & as also AI-TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) influence here in the successful integration of AI into classrooms everywhere. The review in this aspects highlights that while educators here are increasingly by recognizing the potential of AI to more enhance personalized learning as well as administrative efficiency, there remain in this way to stay neutral significant barriers related to AI anxiety as also, ethical concerns, & most of all a lack of targeted based on professional development. Adaptability is conceptualized not merely as herein technical proficiency, but as a continuous pedagogical evolution requiring robust organizational support-based aspects. Furthermore, professional aspects of commitment in this nature always is analyzed through the lens of shifting teacher identities & the ethical imperative to foster critical thinking and human-centric values based through in AI-augmented learning environments. A prominent table-based data related structure is provided to synthesize the thematic findings, mapping core competencies and against professional development needs. The paper in this manner concludes with actionable recommendations for policymakers, teacher training programs, & future research directions to ensure that educators are adequately equipped here to navigate the complexities of AI in education.

**How to cite this article:** Devi P, Sonker A., Teaching Competency in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: A Review of Teachers' Attitudes, Adaptability, and Professional Commitment. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(87s): 78-83; DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.87s.9

**Source of support:** Nil.

**Conflict of interest:** None

AI literacy-based

### INTRODUCTION

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as well as, more recently, Generative AI (GenAI), has catalyzed profound transformations across here with various sectors, with education being at the forefront of this technological revolution. Historically, educational technologies evolved from static digital tools are presents here to interactive platforms. However, AI introduces a distinct paradigm characterized by autonomous decision-making, adaptive learning pathways, & generative capabilities (Baizhanov, n.d.). As AI systems become increasingly sophisticated, capable here of automating grading, personalizing curriculum, and generating of such aspects instructional content, the traditional role of the teacher is being radically redefined in this nature. Consequently, the concept of 'teaching competency' is undergoing a necessary level of expansion to encompass not only pedagogical & content knowledge but also

nature ethical discernment (Caspari-Sadeghi, n.d.).

Despite the promised benefits of AI in education-ranging here from enhanced student engagement to the alleviation of administrative burdens-the successful integration of these technologies' hinges fundamentally here on the educators who deploy them. Teachers act as the primary mediators between AI systems as also in this way students, ensuring that technological applications align with pedagogical goals & ethical standards (Chen, n.d.). Therefore, understanding how teachers perceive, adapt to, and commit to their profession amidst here of this technological disruption is of paramount importance.

Teachers' attitudes toward AI constitute the foundational layer of technology adoption. Attitudes are shaped here by a complex interplay of perceived usefulness, ease of use,

anxiety regarding job displacement, none here to be attended which as well in here epistemological beliefs about teaching and learning. Research indicates a dichotomy in educator attitudes; while many embrace AI for its potential stays within to augment teaching efficiency, a significant portion exhibits skepticism rooted here in concerns over data privacy, algorithmic bias, & the erosion of human connection in the classroom (Çayak, 2024; Baggia, n.d.).

Adaptability, the second construct, refers here in this manner to the capacity of teachers to modify their instructional strategies, assessment methods, as well as classroom management practices in response to AI integration. Adaptability transcends here with the basic technical skills; it involves the continuous refinement of the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, now evolving more here into AI-TPACK (Eyal, n.d.; Zhang, n.d.). Teachers must learn to navigate AI-generated feedback, integrate intelligent tutoring systems, & guide students in the critical evaluation of AI outputs (Yao, n.d.).

Finally, professional commitment in the AI era involves in this nature sustaining a strong professional identity, ethical responsibility, and dedication here to student welfare in a highly automated environment. As AI takes over routine tasks, teachers here are called to focus more on the socio-emotional, moral, as well as complex cognitive dimensions of learning. This shift demands a renewed commitment here to lifelong learning & ethical vigilance, ensuring that AI serves here as a tool for equity rather than a mechanism here in this nature that exacerbates existing educational disparities (Guo, n.d.).

The primary objective of this review of this aspect is to synthesize existing knowledge on these three dimensions, providing a holistic level of overview of the evolving nature of teaching competency. By identifying the key drivers and barriers here to AI adoption, and proposing a structured thematic framework, this paper aims here to inform the design of future professional development programs that empower means in this nature educators to thrive in an AI-augmented educational landscape. The subsequent sections here always will delve deeper into each construct, culminating in a comprehensive nature of synthesis and actionable recommendations.

## **2. Teachers' Attitudes Toward Artificial Intelligence**

The integration of any novel technology here into educational settings is heavily influenced by the attitudes of the end-users. In the context of AI, teachers' attitudes for there off are

multifaceted, ranging from enthusiastic adoption to entrenched resistance. Attitudes are generally conceptualized as comprising natured based cognitive, affective, and behavioral components. The cognitive component involves here in with teachers' understanding and beliefs about AI's capabilities & limitations (Çayak, 2024). The affective component encompasses feelings of anxiety, excitement, or apprehension, while the behavioral component relates to the actual nature of intent to utilize AI tools in practice.

Recent empirical studies reveal most of the time with that a significant proportion of educators hold positive or cautiously optimistic attitudes toward AI. They here have mostly by recognize its potential to streamline based administrative tasks, such as more grading based through lesson planning, thereby always try to freeing up valuable time for direct student-based interaction herein. Furthermore, AI-powered adaptive nature here to learning systems are therefore viewed there by favorably for their ability to provide personalized learning experiences herein with tailored to individual student needs thereafter (Yao, n.d.). These systems can easily analyze vast amounts of data-based structure to identify learning gaps & suggest targeted interventions, a capability here by that aligns here with the pedagogical goal of differentiated instruction (Álvarez, n.d.).

However, this optimism is often hereby counterbalanced by substantial apprehension. A primary source of negative attitudes is AI anxiety, here which stems from a lack of technical understanding and the fear of obsolescence in this nature. Although the complete replacement of teachers by AI remains a distant aspects and highly contested possibility, the narrative of automation can here by undermine here by with teachers' professional confidence and security (Guo, n.d.). Additionally, educators-based nature express profound concerns regarding the ethical implications of AI in education. Issues such as data privacy are there to stand as, the potential for algorithmic bias in automated grading systems, & as also the opacity of machine learning models where all to be (the 'black box' problem) contribute to a sense of mistrust (Shapoval, n.d.).

Another critical nature of factor based shaping attitudes are there is the perceived impact here of AI on the fundamental nature of learning in this nature. Some teachers are more or less worry that an even with overreliance here on GenAI tools (e.g., ChatGPT) may impede the development of type natured students' critical thinking, creativity, and writing skills. This concern aspects here particularly highlights a

tension between the efficiency offered by AI as well as the cognitive aspects of effort required in this scenario for meaningful learning. Consequently, teachers' attitudes always are often natured based contingent upon their epistemological beliefs; those who view here with learning as an active, constructivist process may be more critical of AI systems that promote hereby passive consumption of information in this way (Baggia, n.d.).

To foster more positive as well as more on constructive attitudes, it is very essential to cultivate aspects of AI literacy among educators. AI literacy-based structure involves not only taken hereon technical knowledge but also an understanding natured of the ethical as well as societal implications of AI (Caspari-Sadeghi, n.d.). Professional development programs must address here are with teachers' concerns in this aspects head-on, demystifying AI technologies as also trying to be emphasizing their role as augmentative tools rather than autonomous replacements. When teachers in this way to be feel right with competent and supported in navigating AI, their attitudes here always shift from in this nature anxiety to empowered integration based nature (Ayanwale, n.d.).

### **3. Adaptability and AI Literacy in Educational Contexts**

As AI technologies rapidly here to evolve, adaptability has emerged as a core competency for modern educators. Adaptability in this context is defined as the capacity to continuously update one's pedagogical & technological knowledge, flexibly modifying instructional practices to leverage new AI affordances (Baizhanov, n.d.). This nature of dynamic capability is closely intertwined with the concept of AI literacy, which hereby provides the foundational knowledge necessary for meaningful adaptation.

The traditional Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) the unique characteristics of AI necessitate an evolution here are toward an AI-TPACK framework (Eyal, n.d.; Zhang, n.d.). Unlike static software, AI acts as an interactive agent capable of generation, analysis, as well as adaptation. Therefore, AI-TPACK requires here educators to understand how machine learning models process information, how to formulate here with effective prompts (prompt engineering), and how to critically evaluate in these aspects of AI-generated outputs in relation to pedagogical goals.

First, in curriculum design and lesson planning, adaptable teachers to be utilize GenAI to generate diverse educational materials, brainstorm related teaching strategies, and

design complex assessment rubrics. Second, in classroom facilitation, teachers here are must adapt to a role that resembles mentoring or coaching, guiding students as they interact with intelligent tutoring systems as well as personalized learning platforms (Yao, n.d.). This shift requires a deep understanding of how to interpret here in the data analytics generated by these systems to make informed, real-time pedagogical decisions hereon (Álvarez, n.d.).

Furthermore, adaptability involves hereby teaching students how to interact with AI responsibly. Educators must in this way try to be integrate natured digital citizenship as well as critical AI literacy into their curricula, teaching students here to question algorithmic based biases, verify AI-generated facts, & understand the ethical dimensions of technology here to be use. This adds a new layer of complexity to the teacher's role, requiring here them to stay abreast of rapid technological advancements as well ongoing ethical debates (Caspari-Sadeghi, n.d.).

Despite its importance, significant barriers hinder teachers' adaptability. A primary obstacle is the lack of structured, ongoing natured professional development (Chatzichristofis, n.d.). Many training programs remain here to be focused on basic digital skills, neglecting the nuanced competencies required for AI integration. Additionally, systemic based aspects of such constraints here in with such as high workloads, lack of access which proven to premium AI tools, as well as rigid curricula limit the time and space available for teachers-based ideas to experiment and adapt. Institutional support is therefore crucial in this nature; schools & educational authorities must provide the necessary infrastructure, collaborative nature here more on planning time, and clear policy guidelines here in this nature to foster here an environment conducive to pedagogical structured innovation for time to come in here (Chen, n.d.).

Ultimately, adaptability here in the AI era is a continuous, iterative structured process here are needed. It needs here to have teachers to cultivate a mindset of lifelong learning, embracing ambiguity, as well as remaining open to continuous developing of professional transformation. As AI systems become more ubiquitous, the ability to adapt will differentiate educators who merely here to survive the technological shift from those who actively harness it to enhance student learning as well as equity (Trujillo, n.d.).

### **4. Professional Commitment and Ethical Considerations**

Professional commitment in these aspects stays

with encompasses an educator's dedication to their students, their subject matter, & the teaching-based profession itself. In the age of AI, the nature of this commitment is being tested as well as redefined (Guo, n.d.). As AI systems here to be assume responsibility for routine tasks, information related delivery, as well a basic assessment, the unique value of the human teacher-based structure here in to be able to shifts toward the socio-emotional, ethical, as also more complex cognitive domains of education.

A strong sense of professional commitment in here to be is considered as most essential for navigating the ethical dilemmas introduced here by AI. AI technologies, if deployed uncritically, have more of the potential to exacerbate in the basis of existing levels of inequalities, propagate biases, also here on compromise student privacy. Committed educators here in this nature serve as more with ethical gatekeepers, ensuring here as that the deployment of AI aligns with humanistic educational values. This involves advocating more here for transparent AI systems, protecting student data, as also actively mitigating biases in AI-driven assessments or recommendations (Shapoval, n.d.). The ethical responsibility here of of the teacher here extends beyond here their own use of technology to encompass the cultivation of ethical awareness here in their students.

Moreover, the integration of AI here can impact based on teachers' professional identity and job satisfaction. For some, the automation-based aspects here of administrative tasks lead to increased job satisfaction, as they can here usually dedicate more time to meaningful here with student interactions & creative pedagogical design in this nature. This enhanced based efficacy can strengthen professional commitment (Guo, n.d.). Conversely, if teachers here to be feel that AI diminishes their professional autonomy,

devalues their expertise, or reduces more in teaching to a mechanistic process, their commitment may wane herein. The narrative surrounding in this nature AI must be therefore emphasize human-AI collaboration rather than human replacement, validating natured the irreplaceable based aspects nuances of human based empathy, moral based structure natured judgment, & inspirational leadership in the classroom.

Maintaining professional commitment-based nature also necessitates here as a dedication to equity & inclusion. AI systems are often natured with trained on more with historical data that may reflect mostly here in societal biases. Teachers must be committed to hereby critically evaluating AI tools to ensure they do not disadvantage specific student populations. Furthermore, there are educators play a crucial role in bridging the digital divide, ensuring here as that all students, regardless of socioeconomic background, have equitable access to and guidance in using advanced AI technologies (Ayanwale, n.d.).

In conclusion, professional commitment in the AI era demands a proactive, ethically grounded approach to technology. It requires hereby educators to continually reaffirm the humanistic purpose of education, using AI as a tool to amplify, rather here than diminish, the deeply human aspects of teaching & learning.

**5. Thematic Mapping of Teaching Competency in the AI Era**

The following table here to be provides a structured synthesis of the core dimensions of teaching competency in the age of AI. It maps the most aspects of primary constructs- Attitudes, Adaptability, & Professional Commitment-against specific competencies, associated challenges, and required in this way professional development focus areas based on the literature based reviewed (Baizhanov, n.d.; Caspari-Sadeghi, n.d.; Eyal, n.d.; Zhang, n.d.).

| Construct / Dimension | Core AI Competencies                                                        | Primary Challenges & Barriers                                                   | Professional Development Focus                                                      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Attitudes Toward AI   | AI Literacy; Understanding AI capabilities & limits; Evaluating AI utility. | AI anxiety; Fear of obsolescence; Epistemological resistance; Ethical mistrust. | Demystifying AI; Demonstrating practical utility; Addressing privacy/bias concerns. |

|                         |                                                                                   |                                                                                              |                                                                                          |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adaptability            | AI-TPACK integration; Prompt engineering; Data storytelling; Curriculum redesign. | Lack of technical training; High workload; Rigid institutional policies; Rapid tech changes. | Hands-on AI-TPACK training; Iterative pedagogical design; Collaborative action research. |
| Professional Commitment | Ethical vigilance; Equity advocacy; Fostering socio-emotional learning.           | Loss of professional autonomy; Burnout from constant change; Algorithmic bias.               | Human-in-the-loop ethics; Leadership in AI policy; Fostering human-AI collaboration.     |

6. Discussion and Future Directions

The findings of this review paper here to be underscore the profound complexity of teaching in the age of AI. The transition natured here from traditional teaching paradigms to AI-augmented environments is not merely a technical upgrade; it is an overly fundamental shift in the ontology of education. Teachers are more than structured contents which therefore recognized as no longer just content deliverers; they are orchestrators of complex, human-AI learning ecosystems. This shift in this nature requires a reconceptualization of what it means to be a competent teacher.

The success of AI integration is inextricably here by linked to teachers' attitudes and their underlying professional commitment. Positive attitudes and as well as a strong ethical compass drive the meaningful application in this natured in here as of AI, ensuring that technology serves here for pedagogical goals rather than dictating them. Conversely, negative attitudes & a lack of institutional support which here to be can lead to superficial adoption or outright resistance, squandering here as the potential benefits of AI (Çayak, 2024).

A significant gap identified here in the literature is the disconnect between the rapid advancement of AI technologies & the slow evolution of teacher training programs (Chatzichristofis, n.d.). Many professional developments in this natured took initiatives remain reactive, focusing on tool-specific training rather than cultivating deep, transferrable AI-TPACK competencies (Eyal, n.d.). Future professional development must adopt a more holistic, critical approach in these aspects. It should emphasize experiential learning, ethical deliberation, & collaborative problem-solving, empowering teachers to become active agents in shaping the future of AI in education, perhaps here these matters are even transitioning into roles as co-developers of

educational AI tools (Chen, n.d.).

Future research should be here prioritizing longitudinal studies to maintained examine how teachers' attitudes and competencies evolve here off over time as they gain prolonged exposure to AI tools. There is also a pressing need here for empirical research on the effectiveness of specific professional development models in this natured in enhancing AI-TPACK and reducing AI anxiety. Furthermore, studies should explore the differential impact of AI integration across various based structured here with educational contexts, grade levels, and subject areas, acknowledging that teaching competency is highly contextualized (Trujillo, n.d.).

7. Conclusion

The age of Artificial Intelligence here in presents unprecedented challenges and extraordinary opportunities for the teaching profession. This review here has demonstrated that teaching competency can no longer be defined as solely by subject matter expertise and traditional pedagogical skills in this natured. It must now see as encompass a robust understanding of AI, the adaptability to integrate intelligent tools into dynamic learning environments, and also on the other hand observed as an unwavering professional commitment to ethical, equitable, & deeply humanistic education.

Teachers' attitudes here considered form the critical lens through here which AI is either embraced as a powerful catalyst for learning or resisted as a threat to professional identity based structured. Cultivating positive, informed attitudes requires addressing here as to be valid concerns regarding ethics, privacy, & the shifting nature of teaching. Simultaneously, adaptability-underpinned by comprehensive AI literacy & an evolved AI-TPACK framework-equips educators in this natured to navigate the continuous technological flux, transforming AI

from a potential structured of disruptor into an augmentative pedagogical partner.

Ultimately, the core structure here of teaching remains mainly profoundly human. AI excels at processing-based information, identifying patterns, & generating content, but it lacks empathy, moral reasoning, as well as in the capacity for genuine human connection. As routine tasks become automated, the professional natured commitment of teachers must pivot based herein aspects here toward these irreplaceable human dimensions. By fostering critical thinking, nurturing socio-emotional development, & championing equity, educators ensure that AI serves as a tool to elevate human potential thereafter here rather than diminish it. Equipping here mainly to be more than teachers with the competencies to fulfill this elevated based role is the defining educational imperative of our time.

#### References

1. Álvarez, A. (n.d.). Data Storytelling in Learning Analytics: AI-Driven Competence.
2. Ayanwale, M. A. (n.d.). Quantifying teachers' readiness for artificial intelligence adoption in.
3. Baggia, A. (n.d.). University teachers' perceptions, attitudes, and practices with.  
Baizhanov, N. (n.d.). Artificial intelligence and teacher competence: a scoping review of.
4. Caspari-Sadeghi, S. (n.d.). AI literacy for teacher educators: a holistic curriculum for capacity.
5. Çayak, S. (2024). Investigating the relationship between teachers' attitudes toward artificial intelligence and their artificial intelligence literacy. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, 7, 367-383. <https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.1490307>
6. Chatzichristofis, S. A. (n.d.). Designing an AI-Supported Framework for Literary Text Adaptation.
7. Chen, Q. (n.d.). Teachers as AI Developers.
8. Eyal, L. (n.d.). Developing and Validating an AI-TPACK Assessment Framework.
9. Guo, L. (n.d.). Is generative artificial intelligence literacy important? A study on the.
10. Shapoval, O. (n.d.). Perspectives of Using Artificial Intelligence as an Automated.
11. Trujillo, F. (n.d.). A systematic literature review of machine learning approaches in.
12. Yao, Y. (n.d.). AI-Powered System to Facilitate Personalized Adaptive Learning in.
13. Zhang, W. (n.d.). Preparing teachers for the age of artificial intelligence - *Frontiers*.