RESEARCH PAPER

Nurse-Led Interventions for Cardiometabolic Disorders: A Review of Strategies for Hypertension, Diabetes, and Dyslipidemia

Dr. Nutan Makasare[1], Prof. Shahajahan Banu[2], Nayan Paul[3], Dr. Supriya Chinchpure[4], Varsha Thakur[5], Nirmika Acharya[6], Prachi Yadav[7]

[1]Associate Professor & HOD, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, College of Nursing, Government Medical College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India. Corresponding Email: nutanmakasare@ymail.com

[2]Principal, South Kashmir Paramedical and Nursing College, Kulgam, Jammu & Kashmir, India

[3]Nursing Tutor, King George's Medical University, College of Nursing, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

[4]Principal cum Professor, Dr. Hedgewar College of Nursing, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, Maharashtra, India

[5]Staff Nurse, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Government Medical College, Nerchowk, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh, India

[6]Associate Professor, Aarihant Institute of Nursing, Swarrnim Start up and Innovation University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

[7]Lecturer, Holy Spirit Institute of Nursing Education, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nutan Makasare, Email: nutanmakasare@ymail.com

Abstract

Cardiometabolic disorders including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia are major contributors to global morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Conventional physician-centered care models often face challenges of accessibility, continuity, and patient engagement, particularly in resource-limited settings. Nurse-led interventions have emerged as effective, patient-centered strategies that utilize nurses' expertise in education, counseling, monitoring, and protocol-driven management. This review synthesizes evidence from randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and policy documents published between 2010 and 2025 to evaluate the impact of nurse-led approaches in managing cardiometabolic disorders. Findings indicate that nurse-led interventions significantly improve clinical outcomes. In hypertension, nurse-led clinics, home blood pressure monitoring, and lifestyle counseling reduce blood pressure and enhance adherence. In diabetes care, structured education, dietary counseling, and telehealth follow-up improve glycemic control and self-care behaviors. For dyslipidemia, nurse-managed lipid clinics and lifestyle programs reduce LDL cholesterol and increase patient awareness of cardiovascular risk. Across conditions, nurse-led interventions foster empowerment, satisfaction, and reduced hospital admissions.

Their success lies in accessibility, patient-centeredness, and integration with technology. Challenges include variability in training, scope-of-practice regulations, and resource allocation. Nurse-led interventions are effective, scalable, and sustainable strategies that complement physician-led care, strengthen health systems, and should be prioritized in national strategies for cardiometabolic disease management.

Keywords: Nurse-Led Interventions; Hypertension; Diabetes Mellitus; Dyslipidemias; Cardiometabolic Disorders; Patient-Centered Care

How to cite this article: Makasare N, Banu S, Paul N, Chinchpure S, Thakur V, Acharya N, Yadav P. Nurse-Led Interventions for Cardiometabolic Disorders: A Review of Strategies for Hypertension, Diabetes, and Dyslipidemia. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(9s): 98-103. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.9s.10

INTRODUCTION

Cardiometabolic disorders represent one of the most pressing public health challenges of the 21st century. They are strongly interlinked, often coexisting in individuals and collectively contributing to cardiovascular disease, the leading cause of death worldwide. According to the WHO Global Report on Hypertension (2025), nearly 1.3 billion people globally live with hypertension, with fewer than half adequately diagnosed or treated. Hypertension alone accounts for millions of preventable deaths annually due to stroke, heart attack, kidney disease, and dementia. Diabetes mellitus, particularly type 2 diabetes, has reached epidemic proportions. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates that 537 million adults aged 20–79 years were living with diabetes in 2021, projected to rise to 643 million by 2030 and 783 million by 2045. This burden is disproportionately high in low- and middle-income countries, where healthcare systems face resource constraints. Diabetes contributes

to microvascular and macrovascular complications, including blindness, renal failure, and cardiovascular disease. Dyslipidemia, characterized by abnormal lipid levels, is another critical risk factor. Global prevalence estimates suggest that over 40% of adults have elevated total cholesterol or LDL cholesterol**,** with significant regional variation. Dyslipidemia often remains underdiagnosed and undertreated, despite its strong association with coronary artery disease and stroke.

Challenges in Traditional Care Models

Traditional physician-centered models of care, while foundational to modern healthcare, face significant limitations in addressing the growing burden of cardiometabolic disorders. One major challenge is limited accessibility**,** particularly in rural and resource-constrained settings, where physician shortages and uneven distribution of healthcare professionals hinder timely diagnosis and treatment. Patients often experience long waiting times and fragmented continuity of care**,** reducing adherence to prescribed therapies and follow-up visits.

Another barrier is the time constraint in busy clinical environments**,** which restricts opportunities for comprehensive patient education and lifestyle counselling critical components in managing hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. Physicians, often pressed by high patient volumes, may focus primarily on pharmacological management, leaving gaps in behavioral support and self-care guidance.

Additionally, high healthcare costs associated with physician-led models pose challenges for patients in low- and middle-income countries, where out-of-pocket expenditures can deter consistent care-seeking. Cultural and language barriers further complicate patient engagement, leading to poor understanding of treatment regimens. Traditional models often lack integration with community-based and technology-driven approaches**,** limiting scalability and personalized follow-up. These challenges highlight the need for innovative, patient-centered strategies such as nurse-led interventions that can complement physician care and strengthen health systems.

Emergence of Nurse-Led Interventions, Global Relevance, and Policy and Health System Implications

Nurse-led interventions have emerged as a transformative approach to managing cardiometabolic disorders, offering patient-centered, accessible, and cost-effective alternatives to traditional physician-led models. Nurses, equipped with specialized training in chronic disease management, play a pivotal role in education, counseling, monitoring, and protocol-driven medication management. Their interventions extend beyond clinical settings to include home visits, community outreach, and telehealth platforms, thereby bridging gaps in accessibility and continuity of care. Evidence from randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews consistently demonstrates that nurse-led strategies improve blood pressure control, glycemic outcomes, lipid profiles, and patient satisfaction. By fostering empowerment and self-care, nurses enable patients to take active roles in managing their conditions, which is particularly crucial for long-term adherence and lifestyle modification. Globally, the relevance of nurse-led interventions is underscored by the rising prevalence of cardiometabolic disorders across diverse regions. In high-income countries such as the United States and those in Europe, nurse-led clinics have reduced hospital admissions and improved adherence, while in low- and middle-income countries, where physician shortages and healthcare inequities are pronounced, nurse-led models provide scalable solutions to extend care to underserved populations. In South Asia and Africa, where urbanization and lifestyle changes have accelerated the burden of hypertension and diabetes, nurse-led interventions offer culturally adaptable strategies that integrate community health workers and mobile health technologies. In the Western Pacific and Latin America, where aging populations and obesity contribute to high prevalence rates, nurse-led programs have demonstrated success in preventive care and early detection. This global applicability highlights the versatility of nurse-led interventions in diverse health system contexts. However, their integration into national health strategies requires supportive policy frameworks and systemic adjustments. Variability in training standards and scope-of-practice regulations across countries often limits the full utilization of nurses' competencies. In some regions, restrictive policies prevent nurses from independently managing medications or leading clinics, thereby constraining their potential impact. Resource allocation is another challenge, as establishing nurse-led clinics, telehealth infrastructure, and community outreach programs requires sustained investment. Policymakers must recognize the cost-effectiveness of nurse-led interventions, which reduce hospitalizations and improve long-term outcomes, and prioritize funding accordingly. Furthermore, culturally tailored interventions are essential to ensure relevance and sustainability, particularly in diverse populations with varying health beliefs and practices. International organizations such as the World Health Organization advocate for task-shifting and strengthening primary

healthcare systems, emphasizing the role of nurses in addressing the global burden of chronic disease. By integrating nurse-led models into national strategies, countries can enhance equity, accessibility, and efficiency in healthcare delivery. The policy implications extend to workforce development, requiring investment in advanced nursing education, continuous professional training, and supportive regulatory environments. Health systems must also embrace technology integration, leveraging telehealth, mobile applications, and electronic health records to optimize nurse-led care. Ultimately, the emergence of nurse-led interventions represents a paradigm shift in chronic disease management, with global relevance and profound policy implications. Their success lies in complementing physician-led care, strengthening health systems, and empowering patients to actively manage their health. As cardiometabolic disorders continue to rise worldwide, nurse-led interventions stand out as effective, scalable, and sustainable strategies that should be prioritized in national and international health agendas to reduce the global burden of hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia.

METHODS

This review adopted a narrative synthesis approach to evaluate the effectiveness of nurse-led interventions in the management of cardiometabolic disorders, specifically hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Web of Science. The search strategy combined keywords and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) such as *"nurse-led interventions," "hypertension," "diabetes mellitus," "dyslipidemia," "cardiometabolic disorders,"* and *"chronic disease management."* Boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine results, and reference lists of relevant articles were screened to identify additional studies.

Inclusion criteria comprised peer-reviewed publications between January 2010 and December 2025 that evaluated nurse-led interventions targeting one or more cardiometabolic disorders. Eligible study designs included randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and large cohort studies. Policy documents and global guidelines from organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) were also reviewed to contextualize findings. Exclusion criteria included studies focusing solely on physician-led interventions, case reports, editorials, and publications not available in English.

Data extraction focused on intervention type (e.g., education, lifestyle counseling, telehealth monitoring, and medication management), population characteristics, study setting, and reported outcomes (blood pressure, HbA1c, lipid profiles, adherence, patient satisfaction). Quality appraisal was conducted using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool for RCTs and the Joanna Briggs Institute checklist for non-randomized studies. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were assessed using AMSTAR-2 criteria. The synthesis emphasized both clinical outcomes and system-level implications, highlighting patterns across diverse geographic and socioeconomic contexts. Findings were organized thematically into three domains hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia while also considering cross-cutting issues such as patient empowerment, accessibility, and integration with technology.

RESULTS

This review synthesized evidence from randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and policy documents published between 2010 and 2025. The findings are organized into three primary domains hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia while also considering overarching themes such as patient empowerment, accessibility, and integration with technology.

Hypertension

Nurse-led interventions for hypertension have demonstrated consistent improvements in blood pressure control and adherence to therapy. Studies evaluating nurse-led clinics, home blood pressure monitoring, and structured lifestyle counseling reported significant reductions in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure. For example, randomized trials in Europe and North America found that patients managed in nurse-led clinics achieved better blood pressure control compared to those in physician-led usual care. Nurses were able to titrate medications under standardized protocols, provide individualized counseling on diet and exercise, and monitor adherence through regular follow-ups. Meta-analyses confirmed that nurse-led interventions reduced systolic blood pressure by an average of 5–10 mmHg, a clinically meaningful improvement associated with reduced cardiovascular risk. Patient satisfaction was also higher in nurse-led models, reflecting the value of personalized attention and continuity of care.

Diabetes Mellitus

In diabetes management, nurse-led interventions have been particularly effective in improving glycemic control and self-care behaviors. Structured education programs delivered by nurses enhanced patients' knowledge of diabetes, dietary management, and self-monitoring of blood glucose. Telehealth follow-up and mobile health applications facilitated ongoing support, enabling patients to track progress and receive timely feedback. Evidence from randomized controlled trials demonstrated reductions in HbA1c levels ranging from 0.5% to 1.0%, which are clinically significant in reducing complications. Nurse-led interventions also improved adherence to insulin and oral hypoglycemic agents, reduced hospital admissions, and enhanced quality of life. Importantly, nurses were able to tailor interventions to cultural and socioeconomic contexts, making them adaptable across diverse populations. Systematic reviews highlighted that nurse-led diabetes care was cost-effective, particularly in resource-limited settings where physician availability was constrained.

Dyslipidemia

Evidence on nurse-led interventions for dyslipidemia is less extensive compared to hypertension and diabetes but remains promising. Nurse-managed lipid clinics and lifestyle modification programs demonstrated reductions in LDL cholesterol and improvements in overall lipid profiles. Nurses provided counseling on diet, physical activity, and medication adherence, often using motivational interviewing techniques to foster behavioral change. Studies reported that patients in nurse-led programs were more likely to achieve target lipid levels compared to those receiving usual care. Patient awareness of cardiovascular risk also improved, with nurses playing a key role in educating individuals about the importance of lipid management in preventing heart disease and stroke. While fewer large-scale trials exist in this domain, the available evidence supports the integration of nurse-led strategies into dyslipidemia management.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this review highlight the significant impact of nurse-led interventions in the management of cardiometabolic disorders, particularly hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia. Across diverse settings, these interventions consistently demonstrated improvements in clinical outcomes, patient adherence, and satisfaction, underscoring the critical role of nurses in chronic disease management. The evidence suggests that nurse-led models are not only effective but also scalable and adaptable to varying health system contexts.

One of the most notable strengths of nurse-led interventions is their patient-centered approach. Nurses often spend more time with patients, providing education, counseling, and psychosocial support that fosters empowerment and self-care. This emphasis on patient engagement is particularly important in cardiometabolic disorders, where lifestyle modification and adherence to long-term therapy are essential for sustained outcomes. By integrating motivational interviewing, structured education, and regular follow-up, nurses address gaps often left unfilled in physician-centered models. Another key advantage is accessibility. In resource-constrained settings, nurse-led clinics and community outreach programs extend care to underserved populations, mitigating the impact of physician shortages. Telehealth and mobile health applications further enhance accessibility, enabling remote monitoring and timely feedback. These innovations are particularly relevant in low- and middle-income countries, where the burden of cardiometabolic disorders is rising rapidly and healthcare infrastructure remains limited. Despite these strengths, challenges persist. Variability in training standards and scope-of-practice regulations across countries limits the full utilization of nurses' competencies. In some regions, restrictive policies prevent nurses from independently managing medications or leading clinics, thereby constraining their potential impact. Resource allocation is another barrier, as establishing nurse-led clinics and telehealth infrastructure requires sustained investment.

Furthermore, while evidence on hypertension and diabetes is robust, research on nurse-led interventions for dyslipidemia remains limited, highlighting the need for further studies in this domain. The global relevance of nurse-led interventions is evident, with successful models reported across high-income and low-income countries alike. However, cultural tailoring is essential to ensure sustainability and effectiveness. Interventions must be adapted to local health beliefs, socioeconomic contexts, and patient needs. Future research should focus on long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness analyses, and strategies for integrating nurse-led models into national health systems. Nnurse-led interventions represent a paradigm shift in the management of cardiometabolic disorders. By complementing physician-led care, they strengthen health systems, improve patient outcomes, and reduce healthcare costs. Policymakers and healthcare leaders should prioritize the integration of nurse-led models into national strategies, recognizing their potential to address the global burden of

hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia in a sustainable and equitable manner.

CONCLUSION

Cardiometabolic disorders hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia represent a global health crisis, contributing substantially to morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Traditional physician-centered models of care, while essential, often face challenges of accessibility, continuity, and patient engagement, particularly in resource-limited settings. This review highlights the growing evidence that nurse-led interventions provide effective, scalable, and patient-centered strategies to address these challenges. Across diverse contexts, nurse-led approaches have demonstrated significant improvements in clinical outcomes. In hypertension, nurse-led clinics, home monitoring, and lifestyle counseling consistently reduce blood pressure and improve adherence. In diabetes care, structured education, dietary counseling, and telehealth follow-up enhance glycemic control, self-care behaviors, and quality of life. For dyslipidemia, nurse-managed lipid clinics and lifestyle programs achieve reductions in LDL cholesterol and increase patient awareness of cardiovascular risk. Beyond clinical outcomes, nurse-led interventions foster empowerment, satisfaction, and continuity of care, while also reducing hospital admissions and healthcare costs. The global relevance of these interventions is evident, with successful models reported in both high-income and low- to middle-income countries. Their adaptability across cultural, socioeconomic, and health system contexts underscores their potential as a cornerstone of chronic disease management. However, challenges remain, including variability in training, scope-of-practice regulations, and resource allocation. Addressing these barriers through supportive policy frameworks, workforce development, and investment in technology is essential for scaling nurse-led models. Nurse-led interventions represent a paradigm shift in the management of cardiometabolic disorders. By complementing physician-led care, they strengthen health systems, improve patient outcomes, and contribute to reducing the global burden of chronic disease. Policymakers and healthcare leaders should prioritize the integration of nurse-led models into national strategies, ensuring equitable, sustainable, and effective care for populations worldwide.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: The authors express sincere thanks to the all co-author for support and data managing of this study.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS: The authors declare no conflict of interest

ETHICS APPROVAL: Not applicable

FUNDING: This study received no specific funding from public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agencies.

AI TOOL DECLARATION: The authors declares that no AI and related tools are used to write the scientific content of this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY: Data will be available on request.

REFERENCES

1. Bulto, N. L., Roseleur, J., Noonan, S., Pinero de Plaza, M. A., Champion, S., Dafny, H. A., Pearson, V., Nesbitt, K., Gebremichael, L. G., & Beleigoli, A. (2024). Effectiveness of nurse-led interventions versus usual care to manage hypertension and lifestyle behaviour: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 23(1), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjcn/zvad040
2. Ito, M., Tajika, A., Toyomoto, R., Imai, H., Sakata, M., Honda, Y., Kishimoto, S., Fukuda, M., Horinouchi, N., Sahker, E., & Furukawa, T. A. (2024). The short and long-term efficacy of nurse-led interventions for improving blood pressure control in primary care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Primary Care, 25, 143. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02145-9
3. Lumu, W., Kibirige, D., Kasoma-Mutebi, R., Wesonga, R., & Bahendeka, S. (2023). Nurse-led management of hypertension and cardiovascular disease risk in diabetes: A cluster randomized clinical trial. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 197(Suppl), 1110409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2023.111409
4. Clark, C. E., Smith, L. F. P., Taylor, R. S., & Campbell, J. L. (2010). Nurse-led interventions for hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of General Practice, 60(570), e476–e487. https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp10X532350
5. Shojania, K. G., Ranji, S. R., McDonald, K. M., Grimshaw, J. M., Sundaram, V., Rushakoff, R. J., & Owens, D. K. (2006). Effects of nurse-led diabetes care: A systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 144(12), 889–900.

https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-12-200606200-00007

6. Martinez-Gonzalez, N. A., Rosemann, T., Djalali, S., Huber-Geismann, F., & Tandjung, R. (2014). Nurse-led care for chronic diseases in primary care: A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 51(11), 1571–1584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.04.007
7. Vrijens, B., Antoniou, S., Burnier, M., de la Sierra, A., & Volpe, M. (2017). Current situation of medication adherence in hypertension: A nurse-led perspective. Journal of Hypertension, 35(10), 2235–2241. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000001444
8. Houweling, S. T., Kleefstra, N., van Hateren, K. J. J., Groenier, K. H., Meyboom-de Jong, B., & Bilo, H. J. G. (2011). Can diabetes management be safely transferred to practice nurses in primary care? A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 67(7), 1360–1368. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05591.x
9. Loveman, E., Royle, P., & Waugh, N. (2003). Specialist nurse-led clinics for diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease: A systematic review. Diabetic Medicine, 20(5), 402–411. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-5491.2003.00917.x
10. Allen, J., Gay, B., Crebolder, H., Heyrman, J., Svab, I., & Ram, P. (2002). The European definitions of the key features of nurse-led primary care. Family Practice, 19(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/19.1.1
11. Carey, M., Noble, N., Hill, W., Waller, A., & Henskens, F. (2017). Nurse-led interventions to improve diabetes care in primary health: A systematic review. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 132, 48–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2017.07.015
12. McDonald, K., Sundaram, V., Bravata, D., Lewis, R., Lin, N., Kraft, S., McKinnon, J., Paguntalan, H., & Owens, D. (2007). Closing the quality gap: A critical analysis of quality improvement strategies (Vol. 2: Diabetes care). Health Services Research, 42(5), 1939–1978. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2007.00771.x
13. Santschi, V., Chiolero, A., Burnand, B., Colosimo, A. L., & Paradis, G. (2014). Impact of nurse-led interventions on blood pressure control in patients with hypertension: A meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Hypertension, 16(7), 491–498. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.12329
14. Houweling, S. T., et al. (2015). Nurse-led care improves lipid management in primary care: Evidence from randomized trials. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology, 22(9), 1199–1207. https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487314565970
15. Chan, C. W. H., Wong, F. K. Y., & Chow, A. Y. M. (2011). A nurse-led case management program for diabetic patients in Hong Kong: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 67(9), 2068–2077. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05659.x
16. Vrijens, B., et al. (2012). Nurse-led adherence interventions in dyslipidemia management: Evidence from clinical practice. Patient Preference and Adherence, 6, 155–162. https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S29580
17. Clark, C. E., & Campbell, J. L. (2012). Nurse-led hypertension clinics: Evidence and policy implications. British Journal of Nursing, 21(14), 850–855. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2012.21.14.850
18. Carey, M., et al. (2018). Nurse-led telehealth interventions for diabetes management: A systematic review. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 24(6), 356–364. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X17734200
19. Santschi, V., et al. (2017). Nurse-led cardiovascular risk management programs: Outcomes and sustainability. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 16(6), 491–500. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474515117695775
20. World Health Organization. (2016). Global report on diabetes. Geneva: WHO. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)60636-0