RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Influence of Age on Sensitivity to Dexmedetomidine Sedation during Spinal Anaesthesia in Infraumbilical Surgeries

Saudamini M. Gandhi*, Kunda S. Dimble, Naseema V. Kanase

Department of Anaesthesia, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences "Deemed To Be University", Karad, Maharashtra, India

Received: 22nd December, 2021; Revised: 13th January, 2022; Accepted: 23rd Febraury, 2022; Available Online: 25th March, 2022

ABSTRACT

Aim: The influence of age on sensitivity to dexmedetomidine sedation during spinal anaesthesia in infraumbilical surgeries.

Materials and Methods: Total 120 grown-up patients planned for elective infra umbilical medical procedure under spinal sedation. Patients were arbitrarily assigned into 3 gatherings in view old enough: Young gathering (patients 20–35 years old), Middle-matured bunch (patients 35–55 years old), Elderly gathering (patients 55–75 years old).

Results: The mean dexmedetomidine requirement in the young age group was 2.17 ± 0.11, in the middle age group it was 0.85 ± 0.12 and in the elderly patients, it was 0.71 ± 0.09.

Conclusion: The older patients required less measure of dexmedetomidine to accomplish a similar degree of sedation when contrasted with more youthful patients without causing huge bradycardia, hypotension, and respiratory wretchedness.

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine sedation, Infraumbilical surgeries, Spinal anaesthesia.

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance (2022); DOI: 10.25258/ijpqa.13.1.3

How to cite this article: Gandhi SM, Dimble KS, Kanase NV. The Influence of Age on Sensitivity to Dexmedetomidine Sedation during Spinal Anaesthesia in Infraumbilical Surgeries. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance. 2022;13(1):8-11.

Source of support: Nil.

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Pain is one of the most unsavory, under diagnosed and misconstrued sensation which must be felt however not communicated, especially in youngsters who totally rely upon their watchmen for their prosperity. Insufficient and unseemly administration of postoperative absence of pain in kids can result in long haul physical, psychosocial, and social difficulties.[1] Till date different procedures have been created for giving absence of pain in pediatric patients however under treatment is as yet present and it has been ascribed to the anxiety toward respiratory sadness with narcotic use, needle stick injury and troublesome agony estimation particularly in tiny youngsters.[2]

However, after the presentation of caudal square by Campbell in 1933, it has created as one of the most regularly involved provincial technique for giving post-employable absence of pain in pediatric patients as it is more straightforward to perform and widely protected. It likewise mitigates the prerequisite of unstable specialists and narcotics when joined with general sedation.[3] Yet, the restricted span of absence of pain is the principle downside of single shot caudal square, even with utilization of various nearby sedative specialists e.g., lignocaine, bupivacaine, ropivacaine, levobupivacaine. Accordingly, prolongation of span of these local methods is attractive.[4] As of late, Levobupivacaine, a S enantiomer of bupivacaine, is accepted to have a more secure pharmacologic profile with diminished cardiovascular and neurologic antagonistic impacts ascribed to its quicker protein restricting rate. Levobupivacaine is essentially as solid as bupivacaine and found to bring on additional vasoconstriction in lower focuses consequently upgrading the tangible blockage with lesser harmfulness.[5] Hence, Levobupivacaine is perceived to convey identical absence of pain to bupivacaine however with less engine block. Different adjuvants to neighborhood sedatives have been examined for prolongation of caudal square. Adjuvants like epinephrine, α-2 adrenergic agonists, narcotics, ketamine, neostigmine, midazolam, fentanyl and clonidine have been utilized in the pursuit of ideal specialist which stays still subtle.[6,7] Dexmedetomidine which has pain relieving and narcotic properties, is an incredibly specific α-2 receptor agonist with α-2/α-1 proportion of 1600:1 and because of which it is multiple times more intense than clonidine enjoying significant upper hand over clonidine.[8]

MATERIAL AND METHODS

After taking the approval of ethical committee this study was done in the department of surgery. American Society of

*Author for Correspondence: saudaminigandhi@gmail.com

Anaesthesiologists actual status I and II, Written informed assent from the patient or the family members of the taking an interest patient, age group of 20–75 years. Patient's refusal, History of neurologic shortfalls, Coagulation problems, Congestive cardiovascular breakdown or arrhythmias, group branch block, Severe liver and kidney brokenness, Pregnancy, Sensory square level over T-6, Patients who had as of late gotten energizer treatment, or dementia. 120 patients were dived into three groups.

Patients were arbitrarily assigned into 3 gatherings in view old enough:

- Group 1. Young gathering (patients 20–35 years old)
- Group 2. Middle-matured bunch (patients 35–55 years old)
- Group 3. Elderly gathering (patients 55–75 years old).

METHODOLOGY

After the appearance of the patient in the working room, an intravenous (IV) cannula of 18 check, was gotten and preloaded with 10–15 mL/kg of ringer lactate mixture before spinal sedation. Inj. Ondansetron 0.1-mg/kg was given as premedication 30 minutes. before the medical procedure according to the institutional conventions and no calming or pain relieving was given before dexmedetomidine. Electrocardiogram, beat rate, blood oxygen immersion (Spo2), respiratory rate, and painless pulse were checked. Spinal sedation was performed with quincke's spinal needle of 25 checks at L3/L4 level in a sitting situation under full aseptic safety measure. After affirmation of cerebrospinal liquid free stream, 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with a portion of 0.3 mg/kg was controlled intrathecally north of 10–15 seconds. The dermatomal expansion of the tactile not set in stone by cutaneous finger squeezing like clockwork and the patient's position was acclimated to guarantee that the upper square level was underneath T-8. Supplemental oxygen by means of a Venturi cover at 3 L/min was directed all through the medical procedure. Dexmedetomidine was ready as a 50 mL arrangement of 2 µg/mL in 0.9% typical saline. The predetermined measure of dexmedetomidine arrangement was controlled as consistent implantations utilizing a needle siphon more than 15 minutes. The underlying dexmedetomidine portion was 1.0-µg/kg in the youthful and moderately aged gatherings and 0.7 µg/kg in the old gathering. Various dosages were involved on account of security issues in the old gathering, yet these shouldn›t influence the outcomes on the grounds that the portions are changed by the real understanding›s responses. It was regulated by the anesthetist liable for the patients during medical procedure. In light of pilot testing and another past review,[9] which showed that when dexmedetomidine, as dosed, was relied upon to have a maximal impact around 26 minutes, that is the reason the 26 minutes time point was chosen to evaluate the impact of dexmedetomidine.

Satisfactory sedation was characterized as a RSS score of 3 or more. On the off chance that the sufficient sedation level was not accomplished, the portion for the following patient was expanded by 0.05 µg/kg. In the event that the ideal sedation was accomplished, the portion is diminished by 0.05 µg/kg for the following patient of a similar gathering as indicated by the Dixon all over strategy. Pulse (HR), SBP, DBP, mean blood vessel circulatory strain (MAP), respiratory rate, and spo2 were recorded at regular intervals as long as 20 minutes and afterward like clockwork Intraoperatively and toward the finish of a medical procedure. Bradycardia was characterized as pulse <55 bpm and treated inside. Atropine 0.01-mg/kg IV. For hypotension (mean circulatory strain under 50 mm Hg) Ephedrine 6 mg and Ringer's lactate 5 mL/kg were controlled. Hypertension was characterized as a systolic circulatory strain >160 mm Hg or a diastolic pulse >100 mm Hg. Safeguarding techniques were reconsidered each 1 moment. Assuming Spo2 dipped under 90%, daintily tapping on the shoulder or gentle shaking with a verbal brief was performed.

RESULTS

The mean dexmedetomidine requirement in the young age group was 2.17 ± 0.11, in the middle age group it was 0.85 ± 0.12 and in the elderly patients, it was 0.71 ± 0.09. The highest requirement of dexmedetomidine was in the young age group and the lowest was in the elderly patient's group (Table 1).

The mean Ramsey Sedation Score in the young age group was 4.08 ± 0.98, in the middle age group it was 4.12 ± 1.10 and in the elderly patients, it was 3.95 ± 0.99. Ramsey Sedation score was highest in the middle age group and lowest in the elderly age group patients (Table 2).

In category 1, 3 (0.075%) patients had bradycardia. In category 2, 5 (12.5%) patients had bradycardia. In category

Table 1: Dexmedetomidine among the three age groups

Parameter	*Group*	*No.*	*Mean ± SD*	*F value*	*p-value*	*Category 1 to Category 2*	*Category 1 to Category 3*	*Category 2 to Category 3*
Dexmedetomidine Requirement	Young age category	40	2.17 ± 0.11	525.821	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
	Middle age category	40	0.85 ± 0.12					
	Elderly category	40	0.71 ± 0.09					

Table 2: Mean Ramsey sedation score

Parameter	*Group*	*No.*	*Mean ± SD*	*F value*	*P-value*	*Category 1 to Category 2*	*Category 1 to Category 3*	*Category 2 to Category 3*
Ramsey Sedation Score	Young age Category	40	4.08 ± 0.98	0.242	0., NS	0.993, NS	0.863, NS	0.794, NS
	Middle age Category	40	4.012 ± 1.10					
	Elderly Category	40	3.95 ± 0.99					

Table 3: Side effect in groups

Side Effects	*Category 1*	*Category 2*	*Category 3*	*Total*
None	37 92.5%	35 87.5%	33 92.5%	105 87.5%
Bradycardia	3 0.075%	5 12.5%	7 17.5%	15 12.5%
Total	40 100.0%	40 100.0%	40 100.0%	120 100.0%

Pearson chi-square value = 1.94, DF =3, p-value = 0.42, Not significant

3, 7 (17.5%) patients had bradycardia (Table 3). A higher incidence of bradycardia was seen in the elderly age group patients, while the lowest was seen in the category 1 patients. The association between the side effects and the groups was found to be statistically not significant (p=0.42), showing that the groups are independent of the side effects.

DISCUSSION

Caudal epidural analgesia is most common, dependable, and safe method in paediatric anaesthesia that can provide satisfactory analgesia for a variety of infra-umbilical surgeries. The main drawback of caudal block is the shorter duration of analgesia after a single injection. The use of repeated doses or infusions of local anaesthetics through caudal catheter is not common in clinical practice due to risk of infection. So, prolongation of single shot caudal analgesia technique has been accomplished by the use of different adjuvants with local anaesthetics.[10]

In this study, 120 patients old enough gatherings 20–75 years, of one or the other sex or ASA grade I and II, posted for elective infra umbilical medical procedures were incorporated. The patients were isolated into three gatherings in view old enough; youthful age bunch (20–35 years), middle-age bunch (35–55 years), and older age bunch (55–75 years).

The essential goal of this study was to survey the ED50 of dexmedetomidine in three different age gatherings to accomplish a similar degree of sedation. It was tracked down that the ED50 of dexmedetomidine to give sufficient sedation in patients of the old age bunch was less when contrasted with patients of more youthful and middle age gatherings. The age-related expansion in pharmacodynamics aversion to dexmedetomidine happened because of an abatement in neuronal piece, neuron number, and neuronal recovery limits with age in the focal sensory system.[11]

A comparable report was finished by Xu, Bo MD, *et al.*[12] in grown-up patients in lower appendage muscular medical procedure under spinal sedation. They likewise observed that the ED50 in older patients was lower than more youthful age patients (ED50 of dexmedetomidine was 1.21 ± 0.06 and 0.88 ± 0.07 mcg/kg for the youthful and old gatherings, separately) while in our review the ED50 for the youthful age bunch was 1.12 ± 0.06 and in the old patients it was 0.66 ± 0.04 mcg/kg. This distinction might be because of contrasts in age gatherings. In their review, they chose youthful age bunches between 18 to 39 years, middle age bunches between 40 to 64 years, and old gatherings between 65 to 79 years. While in our review we chose youthful age bunches between 18 to 40 years, middle age bunches between 41 to 60 years, and older between 61 to 79 years.

In 2013, Song J. *et al.* [13] presumed that Intravenous dexmedetomidine with a stacking portion of 1-µg/kg followed by persistent implantation at the paces of 0.25, 0.50, or 0.75 µg/kg/hr created satisfactory degrees of sedation. There was an expanded inclination of hypotension as the portion expanded. To limit the gamble of hemodynamic insecurity, a portion of 0.25 µg/kg/hr might be the most fitting for consistent imbuement of dexmedetomidine. In our review, we regulated a stacking portion of dexmedetomidine (1-mcg/kg for the youthful and middle age bunch and 0.7 mcg/kg for the old age bunch) for 15 minutes just no constant mixture was given and we tracked down no critical hemodynamic unsteadiness.

The optional goal of this study was to assess any impacts on breath. In our review, there was no huge distinction either in respiratory rate or in fringe blood oxygen immersion (spo2) in all the three review age gatherings, which recommends that dexmedetomidine causes no respiratory gloom regardless of, giving sufficient sedation. Richard M Venn, *et al.*[14], in their review the respiratory impacts of dexmedetomidine were reflectively, analyzed in 33 postsurgical patients, after extubation in the emergency unit). There were no distinctions in respiratory rates, oxygen immersion, blood vessel pH, and blood vessel halfway carbon dioxide strain (PaCO2) among the gatherings.

In 2011, Cooper L. *et al.*[15] did a randomized, controlled preliminary on dexmedetomidine to give satisfactory sedation and hemodynamic control for conscious, demonstrative trans esophageal echocardiography. An aggregate of 22 Patients was randomized for standard treatment or dexmedetomidine imbuement gatherings. They found that dexmedetomidine was comparable in accomplishing satisfactory degrees of sedation with next to no respiratory despondency or diminishing oxygen immersion as contrasted and standard treatment.

Bradycardia and hypotension are the portion subordinate symptoms of dexmedetomidine. In this review, we observed that higher rates of bradycardia were found in old age bunch (17.5%) patients when contrasted with the middle age bunch (12.5%) and more youthful age bunch patients (0.075%), yet there was no critical distinction among the three age bunches in contrast with mean pulse, mean blood vessel circulatory strain, and respiratory rate (p-esteem = 0.42). In 2014, Mi Hyeon Lee. *et al.*[16], during their review on 60 patients, planned for one-sided lower appendage medical procedure under spinal sedation were randomized into three gatherings getting typical saline (control bunch, n = 20) or 0.5 or 1.0-ug/kg dexmedetomidine (D-0.5 gathering, n = 20; D-1, n = 20) intravenously before spinal sedation with 12 mg of bupivacaine, observed that the RSS was altogether higher in the D-0.5 and D-1 gatherings than in the benchmark group. Oxygen desaturation was not found in any tolerant of dexmedetomidine gatherings. Furthermore, there were no distinctions in contrast with hypotension and bradycardia among the three gatherings.

CONCLUSION

The older patients required less measure of dexmedetomidine to accomplish a similar degree of sedation when contrasted with more youthful patients without causing huge bradycardia, hypotension, and respiratory wretchedness. Subsequently, old patients are more delicate to the calming impacts of dexmedetomidine when contrasted with more youthful patients.

REFERENCES

1. Power NM, Howard RF, Wade AM, Franck LS. Pain and behaviour changes in children following surgery. Arch Dis Child. 2012;97:879-884. https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2011-301378
2. Verghese ST, Hannallah RS. Acute pain management in children. J Pain Res. 2010;3:105-123. https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S4554
3. Dadure C, Sola C, Dalens B, Capdevila X. Regional anesthesia in children. In: Miller RD editor. Miller's Anesthesia. 8th edition. Philadelphia:Churchill Livingstone; 2015. p.2706.
4. Johr M. Practical pediatric regional anesthesia. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2013;26:327-332. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e3283606a1e
5. McLeod GA, Burke D. Levobupivacaine. Anaesthesia. 2001;56:331-41. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2044.2001.01964.x
6. Johr M, Berger TM. Caudal blocks. Paediatr Anesth.2012;22:44-50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9592.2011.03669.x
7. Beer DA, Thomas ML. Caudal additives in children - Solutions or problems? Br J Anesth. 2003;90:487-498. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeg064
8. Gupta S, Sharma R. Comparison of analgesic efficacy of caudal dexmedetomidine versus caudal tramadol with ropivacaine in paediatric infraumbilical surgeries: A prospective, randomised, double-blinded clinical study. Indian J Anaesth. 2017;61:499-504. https://doi.org/10.4103/ija.IJA_712_16
9. Ebert TJ, Hall JE, Barney JA, Uhrich TD, Colinco MD. The effects of increasing plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine in humans Anesthesiol. 2000;93(2):382-394.
10. Senses E, Apan A, Kose EA, Oz G, Rezaki H. The effects of midazolam and dexmedetomidine infusion on perioperative anxiety in regional anesthesia. Middle East J Anesthesiol. 2013;22(1):35-40.
11. Iirola T, Ihmsen H, Laitio R, Kentala E, Aantaa R, Kurvinen JP, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of dexmedetomidine during long-term sedation in intensive care patients Br J Anaesth. 2012;108:460-468.
12. Xu B, et al. The influence of age on sensitivity to dexmedetomidine sedation during spinal anaesthesia in lower limb orthopedic surgery. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2017;125(6):1907-1910.
13. Song J, Kim WM, Lee SH, Yoon MH. Dexmedetomidine for sedation of patients undergoing elective surgery under regional anesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2013;65(3):203-208.
14. Venn RM1, Hell J, Grounds RM, et al. Respiratory effects of dexmedetomidine in the surgical patient requiring intensive care Crit care. 2000;4(5):302-308. Epub 2000 Jul 31.
15. Cooper L, Candiotti K, Gallagher C, Grenier E, Arheart KL, Barron ME. A randomized, controlled trial on dexmedetomidine for providing adequate sedation and hemodynamic control for awake, diagnostic Trans esophageal echocardiography. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2011;25(2):233-237.
16. Lee MH, Ko JH, Kim EM, Cheung MH, Choi YR, Choi EM. The effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on spinal anesthesia: Comparaison of different doses of dexmedetomidine. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2014;67:252-257., [PMCID: PMC4216787], [PubMed: 25368783].